# Pathwise regularity of solutions to some SPDEs

#### Lisa Beck

#### Hausdorff Center for Mathematics, University of Bonn

#### Workshop on Random Dynamical Systems Bielefeld 4 October 2012

universität**bonn** 

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三■ - のへぐ

## Part I: The deterministic case

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ● ● ● ● ●

#### Linear transport equation:

$$\partial_t u = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \mathbf{D}_i u + b_0 u$$
 in  $D \times (0, T)$ 

This is a first order PDE and models the evolution of the particle density u, driven by the vector field  $b: D \times [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}^n$  and with initial condition  $u(0,x) = u_0(x)$ .

#### **Heat equation:**

$$\partial_t u = \Delta u := \operatorname{div} \operatorname{D} u := \sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{D}_i \operatorname{D}_i u \quad \text{in } D \times (0, T)$$

This equation describes the distribution of temperature in the given domain  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  over time, starting from the initial heat distribution  $u(0,x) = u_0(x)$ . It is the prototype of the second order parabolic PDE

$$\partial_t u = \operatorname{div} \left( A(x, t) \mathrm{D} u \right)$$

with bounded, positive definite coefficients  $A: D \times [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}^{n^2}$ .

A **classical solution** usually refers to a function u for which all derivatives occurring in the PDE exist in  $C^0$ . This notion is in general too strong to guarantee existence.

#### Definition

A map  $u \in L^{\infty}(0,T; L^2(D)) \cap L^2(0,T; W^{1,2}(D))$  is called **weak** solution to the parabolic system with initial values  $u_0 \in L^2(D)$  if

$$\langle u(t) - u_0, \varphi \rangle_{\mathrm{L}^2(D)} = -\int_0^t \langle A(x, t) \mathrm{D}u, \mathrm{D}\varphi \rangle_{\mathrm{L}^2(D)} \mathrm{d}s$$

for all  $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(D, \mathbb{R}^N)$  and all  $t \in (0, T)$ .

(Similarly, again via integration by parts formula, one can define also weak solutions for the transport equation).

- Existence of weak solutions can be established easily by compactness and monotonicity methods
- Weak solutions by definition are not a priori regular, but rather have a certain degree of integrability and weak differentiability.

#### Note:

 $w(x) = |x|^{\alpha}$  for  $1 - n/p < \alpha < 1$  (with  $p \ge 1$ ) belongs to  $W^{1,p}(B_1(0))$ , but is not differentiable in the classical sense!

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

#### Aim in regularity theory:

Study regularity of weak solutions  $u: D \times [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}^N$  to general parabolic systems

$$\partial_t u = \operatorname{div} \left( A(x, t) \operatorname{D} u \right)$$
 (P)

with measurable, elliptic, bounded coefficients A, in the sense that

$$A(x,t)\xi \cdot \xi \ge \lambda_0 |\xi|^2$$
 and  $|A(x,t)| \le \lambda_1$ 

for some  $0 < \lambda_0 \leq \lambda_1$  and all  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{Nn}$ .

#### The scalar case:

all *scalar-valued* weak solutions are of class  $C_{loc}^0$ , without any regularity of the coefficients A(x,t) (Morrey, De Giorgi, Nash and Moser around the late 1950's);

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

#### The scalar case:

all *scalar-valued* weak solutions are of class  $C_{loc}^{0}$ , without any regularity of the coefficients A(x,t) (Morrey, De Giorgi, Nash and Moser around the late 1950's);

The vectorial case:

Different regimes: there exists constants  $c_1(n) \ge c_2(n) > 1$  such that

• whenever  $\lambda_1/\lambda_0 < c_1(n)$  and  $x \mapsto A(x,t)$  is Lipschitz or whenever  $\lambda_1/\lambda_0 < c_2(n)$ , then all *vector-valued* weak solutions are of class  $C_{loc}^0$ 

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

("Cordes-type condition", Koshelev 1993, Kalita 1994);

#### The scalar case:

all *scalar-valued* weak solutions are of class  $C_{loc}^{0}$ , without any regularity of the coefficients A(x,t) (Morrey, De Giorgi, Nash and Moser around the late 1950's);

#### The vectorial case:

Different regimes: there exists constants  $c_1(n) \ge c_2(n) > 1$  such that

• whenever  $\lambda_1/\lambda_0 < c_1(n)$  and  $x \mapsto A(x,t)$  is Lipschitz or whenever  $\lambda_1/\lambda_0 < c_2(n)$ , then all *vector-valued* weak solutions are of class  $C_{loc}^0$ 

("Cordes-type condition", Koshelev 1993, Kalita 1994);

• there exist coefficients A(x,t), with ellipticity constant  $\lambda_0$  and upper bound  $\lambda_1$  with  $\lambda_1/\lambda_0 > c_1(n)$ , such that (P) admits a discontinuous solution, starting from smooth initial data (Stará-John 1995).

Part II: The stochastic case

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ● ● ● ● ●

## Possible effects of random perturbations

**Aim:** Study the pathwise behavior of weak solutions with PDE techniques (applicable in more general cases than semi-group approaches). Possible scenarios:

- conservation of regularity?
- regularization by noise (in cases where the underlying deterministic system admits irregular solutions)?

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

roughening effects of noise?

## Possible effects of random perturbations

**Aim:** Study the pathwise behavior of weak solutions with PDE techniques (applicable in more general cases than semi-group approaches). Possible scenarios:

- conservation of regularity?
- regularization by noise (in cases where the underlying deterministic system admits irregular solutions)?
- roughening effects of noise?

The answers will depend on the type of SPDE and of noise, but the interplay between two facts might be crucial:

- the noise is irregular and might favor singularities;
- + development of coherent structures is prevented (in known counterexamples coefficients and solution interact in a very particular way!).

#### Setting:

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a complete probability space with a filtration  $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}$ , and let  $(B_t)_{t\geq 0}$  be a standard Brownian motion. We now study SPDEs of the form

 $du = div \left( A(x,t) Du \right) dt + H(x,t,Du) dB_t \quad \text{in } D \times (0,T) \,, \quad (\mathsf{N})$ 

with *H* regular,  $u: D \times (0,T) \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  a random function, and the stochastic integral understood in the Itô sense.

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

#### Definition

An  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -progressively measurable process u on  $[0, T] \times \Omega$  is called a *weak solution* to (N) with initial values  $u_0 \in L^2(D, \mathbb{R}^N)$  if (i) *P*-a. e. path satisfies  $u(\cdot, \omega) \in L^{\infty}(0, T; L^2) \cap L^2(0, T; W^{1,2})$ ;

(ii) for all  $t \in [0, T]$ , we have *P*-a. s. the identity

$$\langle u(t) - u_0, \varphi \rangle_{L^2(D)} = -\int_0^t \langle A(\cdot, s) \mathrm{D}u, \mathrm{D}\varphi \rangle_{L^2} \mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t \langle \varphi, H(\cdot, s, \mathrm{D}u) \mathrm{d}B_s \rangle_{L^2}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

for all  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(D, \mathbb{R}^N)$ .

#### Theorem (B.-Flandoli 2011)

Let  $u_0$  be regular, let H(x, t, z) be Lipschitz continuous in z with small Lipschitz constant, and let the coefficients A satisfy the Cordes-type condition (i. e. the underlying deterministic system has only regular solutions).

Then every weak solution to the initial boundary value to (N) with initial values  $u_0$  is of class  $C_{loc}^0$  with probability 1.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

#### Theorem (B.-Flandoli 2011)

Let  $u_0$  be regular, let H(x, t, z) be Lipschitz continuous in z with small Lipschitz constant, and let the coefficients A satisfy the Cordes-type condition (i. e. the underlying deterministic system has only regular solutions).

Then every weak solution to the initial boundary value to (N) with initial values  $u_0$  is of class  $C_{loc}^0$  with probability 1.

#### Note:

- Full extension of Kalita's result to the stochastic case;
- Includes additive and multiplicative noise;
- Holds for more general system equation with principle part which is "close" to the Laplace system.

## "Toy"-tool

The proof of this theorem is based on a combination of the PDEtechniques used by Kalita and stochastic methods, which finally leads to the pathwise regularity result (but the implementation is quite technical).

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

## "Toy"-tool

#### Toy case of a pathwise regularity criterion:

#### Kolmogorov's criterion:

A process u has a Hölder continuous version if

$$E[|u(x_1) - u(x_2)|^q] \le c|x_1 - x_2|^{n+\alpha q}$$
 for all  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

#### Campanato's criterion:

A function *u* is Hölder continuous if

$$\int_{B_r(x_0)} |u - (u)_{B_r(x_0)}|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \le cr^{n+2\alpha} \quad \text{for all } x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n, r \in (0,1) \,.$$

## "Toy"-tool

#### Toy case of a pathwise regularity criterion:

#### Kolmogorov's criterion:

A process u has a Hölder continuous version if

$$E[|u(x_1) - u(x_2)|^q] \le c|x_1 - x_2|^{n+\alpha q}$$
 for all  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

#### Campanato's criterion:

A function *u* is Hölder continuous if

$$\int_{B_r(x_0)} |u - (u)_{B_r(x_0)}|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \le cr^{n+2\alpha} \quad \text{for all } x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n, r \in (0,1) \,.$$

A combination of the proofs shows that pathwise Hölder continuity of a process u is guaranteed if

$$E\left[\left(r^{-n}\int_{B_r(x_0)}|u-(u)_{B_r(x_0)}|^2\,\mathrm{d}x\right)^q\right] \le Cr^{n+2q\alpha}\,.$$

With F. Flandoli we have (partial) results in two directions.

#### Linear PDE with Stratonovich multiplicative noise:

In the setting of the Stara'-John counterexample, we can show that the *average* solves a system with better parabolicity and is hence regular.

**Open question:** Pathwise regularity?

With F. Flandoli we have (partial) results in two directions.

#### Linear PDE with Stratonovich multiplicative noise:

In the setting of the Stara'-John counterexample, we can show that the *average* solves a system with better parabolicity and is hence regular.

**Open question:** Pathwise regularity?

## Linear transport equation with Stratonovich multiplicative noise:

Under the Ladyzhenskaya-Prodi-Serrin condition on the diffusion coefficients we can show no blow-up of derivatives (not true in the deterministic case).

## Thanks for your attention!!!