# Graphs associated with simplicial complexes

Alexander Grigor'yan<sup>\*</sup> Department of Mathematics University of Bielefeld 33501 Bielefeld, Germany Yuri Muranov<sup>†</sup> Department of Mathematics Grodno State University Grodno 230023, Republic of Belarus

Shing-Tung Yau Department of Mathematics Harvard University Cambridge MA 02138, USA

July 2013

#### Abstract

The cohomology of digraphs were introduced for the first by Dimakis and Müller-Hoissen. Their algebraic definition is based on a differential calculus on an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of functions on the set of vertices with relations that follow naturally from the structure of the set of edges. A dual notion of homology of digraphs based on the notion of path complex was introduced in [7], where the first methods for computing the cohomology groups were developed. The interest to cohomology on the digraphs is motivated by physical applications and relations between algebraic and geometrical properties of quivers. The digraphs  $B_S$  of the partially ordered set of simplexes of a simplicial complex S has the graph homology that are isomorphic to simplicial homology of S. In the present paper, we introduce a digraph  $G_S$ , that is a subgraph of  $B_S$ , with a natural cubical structure and whose homologies are isomorphic to the similicial homologies of S.

### Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Simplicial and cubical complexes	4
3	Homologies of digraphs3.1Forms and paths on finite sets3.2Forms and paths on digraphs3.3Some examples	6 6 9 11

\*Partially supported by SFB 701 of German Research Council

<sup>†</sup>Partially supported by the CONACyT Grant 98697 and SFB 701 of German Research Council

4	Digraphs associated with simplicial complexes	14
	4.1 Cubical graphs	14
	4.2 $\partial$ -invariant paths associated with cubes	15
	4.3 Spaces of $n$ -forms and $n$ -paths on cubical graphs $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	18
<b>5</b>	Identity of homologies of $S$ and $G_S$	20

### 1 Introduction

In a recent paper [7] the authors developed the theory of homology of path complexes, that can be considered as a natural generalization of a simplicial homology theory (see, for example, [9], [11], and [12]). This approach allows to define the notion of homology for digraphs that is dual to the notion of cohomology of [2], [3], and [8].

Any graph can be naturally regarded as an one-dimensional simplicial complex, so that its simplicial homologies of all dimensions  $n \ge 2$  are trivial. However, as it was shown in [7] on many examples, the graph homologies of a digraph can be highly non-trivial for any n, as this theory detects automatically higher dimensional substructures of the digraph, for example, a graphical simplex or cube with an appropriate direction of edges.

Generally speaking, a digraph G can be turned into a simplicial complex S in many ways, by spanning on some of its cliques<sup>1</sup> higher dimensional simplexes, that however do not have to match the higher dimensional substructures of G that are predetermined by G (see, for example, [10] and [1]).

On the other hand, any simplicial complex S determines naturally a (undirected) graph  $S_1$  that is the 1-skeleton of S. The graph  $S_1$  can be turned into a digraph by choosing arbitrarily directions of the edges. Simple examples show that the simplicial homologies of S and the graph homologies of  $S_1$  can be different regardless of the choice of the digraph structure on  $S_1$  (see Example in Section 3.3).

Now let S be a finite simplicial complex. Consider a graph  $B_S$  with the set of vertices V that coincides with the set of simplexes from S and we have an arrow  $\sigma \to \tau$  if and only if  $(\tau \subset \sigma)\&(\tau \neq \sigma)$ . Then the dual chain complex to the complex for graph cohomology of  $B_S$  is isomorphic to the simplicial chain complex of the first barycentric subdivision of S (see [8]).

In this paper, for any finite simplicial complex S we construct in a canonical natural way another finite digraph  $G_S$  such that the homology groups  $H_*(S)$  and  $H_*(G_S)$  over a field  $\mathbb{K}$  are isomorphic, where  $H_*(S)$  refers to the simplicial homologies of S and  $H_*(G_S)$ refers to the graph homologies of  $G_S$ . The digraph  $G_S$  is a subgraph of  $B_S$ , and it has a natural cubical structure associated with a certain cubical complex. In particular, this provides a possibility of computing homologies of complicated cubical graphs.

The set of vertices of  $G_S$  coincides with the set of all simplexes from S, and two simplexes s, t are connected in  $G_S$  by a directed edge  $s \to t$  if and only if

 $s \supset t$  and  $\dim s = \dim t + 1.$  (1.1)

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ A clique in a graph is a subset of its vertices such that every two vertices in the subset are connected by an (undirected) edge.



Figure 1: A simplicial complex S, the digraph  $G_S$  realized on the barycenters and abstractly, and the cubical complex  $Q_S$ 

The graph  $G_S$  can be realized geometrically as follows. Denote by  $b_s$  the barycenter of a simplex  $s \in S$ , and consider the set  $B_S$  of the barycenters of all  $s \in S$ . Define the edges  $b_s \to b_t$  between two barycenters by the same rule (1.1), which makes  $B_S$  into a digraph (see Fig. 1(b)).

Furthermore, it is not difficult to see that  $B_S$  is an 1-skeleton of a natural cubical complex associated with S, that will be denoted by  $Q_S$ . More precisely,  $Q_S$  can be constructed as follows. For each simplex  $s \in S$  consider a full barycentric subdivision  $s^b$  of s and for any vertex v of s take the union of all the elements of  $s^b$  containing v. This union is topological cube, and the family of all such cubes of all simplexes  $s \in S$ forms a cubical complex  $Q_S$  that is a cubillage of S (cf. [4, §5]). Thus we obtain new relation between graph homologies, cubical lattices of topological spaces (see [4] and [6] for physical applications of cubical lattices).

The complexes S and  $Q_S$  have the same topological realization, which implies that their cell homologies are the same. On the other hand, we prove in Section 5 that the cell homology chain complex of  $Q_S$  and the graph homology chain complex of  $G_S$  are isomorphic, which implies the isomorphism of  $H_*(S)$  and  $H_*(G_S)$ .

It is worth mentioning that the assignment  $S \mapsto G_S$  is a functor from the category of simplicial complexes with inclusion maps to the category of digraphs with inclusion maps.

In Section 2, we give necessary preliminary material about simplicial and cubical complexes and their homology properties following [5] and [12]. In particular, we discuss in details the procedure of constructing of the cubical complex  $Q_S$  mentioned above. In Section 3 we give a brief account of the graph homology theory following [7]. In Section 4, we construct the digraph  $G_S$  and describe explicitly the associated chain complex, using specific properties of the graph  $G_S$ . Finally, in Section 5 prove the main result – Theorem 5.1.

## 2 Simplicial and cubical complexes

In this section we state necessary material about simplicial and cubical complexes and describe the construction of a cubical complex associated with a given simplicial complex. The details can be found in [12] and [5].

By an n-dimensional simplex we mean a non-degenerate affine image of a standard simplex

$$\Delta^{n} = \left\{ (x_{0}, x_{1}, \dots, x_{n}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : x_{0} + x_{1} + \dots + x_{n} = 1, \ x_{i} \ge 0 \text{ for all } i = 0, \dots, n \right\}$$

in some space  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Recall, that a finite simplicial complex S is a finite family of simplexes in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  (possibly, of various dimensions) such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- 1. if S contains a simplex s then S contains all the faces<sup>2</sup> of s;
- 2. if  $s_1, s_2$  are two simplexes from S then the intersection  $s_1 \cap s_2$  is either empty or a simplex from S.

Let us describe a less known notion of a cubical complex. A standard *n*-dimensional cube  $I^n$  is defined for  $n \ge 1$  by:

$$I^{n} = \{ (x_{1}, \dots, x_{n}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} : 0 \le x_{i} \le 1, \ i = 1, \dots, n \},\$$

and for n = 0 by  $I^0 = \{0\}$ . A *n*-dimensional cube *q* is a non-degenerate piecewise linear image of  $I^n$  in some  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . A *k*-dimensional face of  $I^n$  is any of the *k*-cubes

$$\{(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\in I^n: x_{i_1}=\varepsilon_1,\ldots,x_{i_{n-k}}=\varepsilon_{n-k}\}$$

where  $1 \leq i_1 < ... < i_{n-k} \leq n$  and  $\varepsilon_j = 0$  or 1, and a k-dimensional face of q is the image under the same mapping  $I^n \to \mathbb{R}^N$  of one of the k-dimensional faces of  $I^n$ .

A finite cubical complex Q is a finite collections of cubes in some  $\mathbb{R}^N$  such that

- (i) if Q contains a cube q then Q contains all the faces of q;
- (*ii*) if  $q_1, q_2$  are two cubes from Q then the intersection  $q_1 \cap q_2$  is either empty or a cube from Q.

In this paper we will consider only finite simplicial and cubical complexes, so that the adjective "finite" will be omitted. Clearly, both simplicial and cubical complexes have an underlaying structure of a topological space and even a structure of a polyhedron. Denote by |S| the union of all simplexes from a simplicial complex S and similarly by |Q| – the union of all cubes from Q. Both |S| and |Q| will be regarded as topological spaces with the induced topology from the ambient space  $\mathbb{R}^N$ .

Fix a field K. It is well known that each simplicial complex S gives rise to a chain complex  $C_*(S)$  over K with a boundary operator  $\partial$ , and, hence, to the *simplicial homolo*gies  $H_*(C_*(S))$ . The construction of cubical homologies is not commonly known and will be outlined below. In in the essence, one obtains a cubical chain complex  $C_*(Q)$  over K

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Contrary to a common convention, we do not regard  $\emptyset$  as a face.



Figure 2: Construction of a cube  $q_{s,v}$ 

with a boundary operator  $\partial$  and the corresponding cubical homologies  $H_*(C_*(Q))$ . In the both cases one has the fundamental isomorphisms of homology groups

$$H_*(C_*(S)) \cong H_*(|S|)$$
 and  $H_*(C_*(Q)) \cong H_*(|Q|)$  (2.1)

where  $H_*(|S|)$  and  $H_*(|Q|)$  are the singular homologies of the topological spaces |S| and |Q|, respectively.

For any simplicial complex S, we will construct an associated cubical complex  $Q_S$  with the same underlying topological space, that is,

$$|S| = |Q_S|. \tag{2.2}$$

Denote by  $S^b$  the barycentric subdivision of S that is defined as follows. For any simplex  $s \in S$  let us connect its barycenter by segments to the barycenters of all the faces of s thus dividing s into a collection  $s^b$  of smaller simplexes of the same dimension. Then set  $S^b = \bigcup_{s \in S} s^b$ . It is easy to see that  $S^b$  is also a simplicial complex, and  $|S| = |S^b|$ . Now for any k-simplex  $s \in S$  and a vertex v of s define a set  $q_{s,v}$  by

$$q_{s,v} = \bigcup_{\left\{t \in s^b: v \in t\right\}} t,$$

that is,  $q_{s,v}$  is the union of all simplexes from  $s^b$  that contain the vertex v. It is not difficult to see that  $q_{s,v}$  is a k-cube (see [4] and [13] for the details). It is also clear that s is the union of all the cubes  $q_{s,v}$  over all vertices v of s (cf. Fig. 2).

The collection of all cubes  $\{q_{s,v}\}$  over all  $s \in S$  and  $v \in s$  is then a cubical complex that will be denoted by  $Q_S$ . It is clear from the construction that it satisfies (2.2), which implies by (2.1) that

$$H_*(C_*(S)) \cong H_*(C_*(Q_S)).$$
 (2.3)

By construction, the set of vertices of  $Q_S$  coincides with the set  $B_S$  of the barycenters of all simplexes of S. The one-dimensional skeleton of the cubical complex  $Q_S$  can be described as follows. Given two simplexes s, t of S, let us connect their barycenters  $b_s$ and  $b_t$  by a segment  $[b_s, b_t]$  if and only if  $s = t \cup \{v\}$  for some vertex  $v \notin t$ . Then the one-dimensional skeleton of  $Q_S$  is given by the union of all such segments  $[b_s, b_t]$  (cf. Fig. 1).

Now we briefly describe (to the extend that we need in the proof) construction of homology groups over a field  $\mathbb{K}$  of a cubical complex Q that is a particular case of homology groups of cell complexes. An orientation in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is one of the two equivalence classes of the basis in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , where two basis  $\mathbf{f} = {\mathbf{f}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_n}$  and  $\mathbf{g} = {\mathbf{g}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{g}_n}$  are called equivalent if the matrix A of transformation  $A\vec{\mathbf{f}} = \vec{\mathbf{g}}$  has a positive determinant. The orientation that is determined by a basis  $\vec{\mathbf{f}}$  will be denoted by  $[\vec{\mathbf{f}}]$ . An orientation of a cubical complex Qis determined by an arbitrary choice of orientations of all constituent cubes of Q. Let Dbe an arbitrary *n*-cube from Q. Let  $\varphi : D \to I^n$  be a piecewise linear mapping that exists by definition of a *n*-cube, and  $[\vec{\mathbf{f}}] = [\{\mathbf{f}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_n\}]$  be an orientation of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then the pair  $(\varphi, [\vec{\mathbf{f}}])$  determines an orientation of D.

Let D' be a (n-1)-dimensional face of D, and let its orientation be given by a pair  $(\psi, [\vec{\mathbf{g}}])$  where  $\psi : D' \to I^{n-1}$  is a piecewise linear mapping and  $\vec{\mathbf{g}} = \{\mathbf{g}_1, \mathbf{g}_2, \dots, \mathbf{g}_{n-1}\}$  is a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ . Let us identify  $I^{n-1}$  with a face of  $I^n$  by means of the following through map:

$$\Phi: I^{n-1} \xrightarrow{\psi^{-1}} D' \xrightarrow{\text{inclusion}} D \xrightarrow{\varphi} I^n.$$

Considering  $I^{n-1}$  as a face of  $I^n$ , denote by  $\mathbf{g}_0$  the outer normal unit vector to  $I^{n-1}$ . The map  $\Phi$  induces the orientation

$$\left[\left\{\mathbf{g}_{0}, d\Phi\left(\mathbf{g}_{1}\right), d\Phi\left(\mathbf{g}_{2}\right), ..., d\Phi\left(\mathbf{g}_{n-1}\right)\right\}\right]$$

of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . If this orientation is the same as  $[\vec{\mathbf{f}}]$  then we set  $\mathcal{O}(D, D') = 1$ , and if it is different, then set  $\mathcal{O}(D, D') = -1$ . We refer to  $\mathcal{O}(D, D')$  as the *relative orientation* of D' in D.

In order to define the homology groups of a cubical complex Q, fix first an orientation of every cube of the complex, where we do not assume that the orientations of the cubes are agreed in any way. For any  $n \ge 0$ , let  $C_n(Q)$  be the space of *n*-chains of Q, that is, the  $\mathbb{K}$ -linear space formed by all formal linear combinations of all *n*-dimensional cubes of Q. Also set  $C_{-1}(Q) = \{0\}$ . Define for any  $n \ge 1$  the boundary map

$$\partial \colon C_n\left(Q\right) \to C_{n-1}\left(Q\right)$$

first for any *n*-cube  $D \in Q$  by

$$\partial D = \sum_{D'} \mathcal{O}(D, D') D', \qquad (2.4)$$

where the sum is taken over all (n-1)-faces D' of D, and then extend  $\partial$  to all elements of  $C_n(Q)$  by linearity. For n = 0 set by definition  $\partial D = 0$ .

Then one proves that  $\partial^2 = 0$  so that  $C_*(Q) = \{C_n(Q)\}$  with the boundary maps  $\partial$  is a chain complex, and the homology groups of the chain complex  $C_*(Q)$  are isomorphic to  $H_*(|Q|)$  (see [12, §1.5.2]).

## 3 Homologies of digraphs

In this section we cite a necessary material from [7]. As before,  $\mathbb{K}$  is a fixed field.

#### **3.1** Forms and paths on finite sets

Let V be a finite set, whose elements will be called vertices. A *p*-form on V is a K-valued function on  $V^{p+1}$ . For example, 0-forms are just functions on V, 1-forms are functions on  $V \times V$ , etc. The set of all *p*-forms is a linear space over K that is denoted by  $\Lambda^p(V)$  or simply by  $\Lambda^p$ .

Denote by  $e^{i_0...i_p}$  the *p*-form that takes value 1 at the point  $(i_0, i_1, ..., i_p)$  and 0 at all other points. Let us refer to  $e^{i_0...i_p}$  as an *elementary p*-form. The family  $\{e^{i_0...i_p}\}$  of all elementary *p*-forms forms a basis in  $\Lambda^p$  and, for any  $\omega \in \Lambda^p$ , we have an expansion

$$\omega = \sum_{i_0, \dots, i_p \in V} \omega_{i_0 \dots i_p} e^{i_0 \dots i_p}$$

where  $\omega_{i_0...i_p} = \omega(i_0, ..., i_p)$ .

Define the exterior derivative  $d: \Lambda^p \to \Lambda^{p+1}$  by

$$(d\omega)_{i_0\dots i_{p+1}} = \sum_{q=0}^{p+1} (-1)^q \,\omega_{i_0\dots \hat{i_q}\dots i_{p+1}},\tag{3.1}$$

where  $\omega \in \Lambda^p$  and the hat  $\hat{i_q}$  means omission of the index  $i_q$ . For example, for a function  $f \in \Lambda^0$  we have

$$(df)_{ij} = f_j - f_i,$$

and for 1-form  $\omega \in \Lambda^1$ 

$$(d\omega)_{ijk} = \omega_{jk} - \omega_{ik} + \omega_{ij}.$$

It follows from (3.1) that

$$de^{i_0\dots i_p} = \sum_{k\in V} \sum_{q=0}^{p+1} (-1)^q e^{i_0 i_1\dots i_{q-1} k i_q\dots i_p}$$

For example, we have

$$de^{i} = \sum_{k \in V} \left( e^{ki} - e^{ik} \right),$$
  
$$de^{ij} = \sum_{k \in V} \left( e^{kij} - e^{ikj} + e^{ijk} \right).$$

An easy calculation shows that, for any  $p \ge 0$  and all  $\omega \in \Lambda^p$ ,

$$d^2\omega = 0.$$

An elementary *p*-path on a finite set V is any (ordered) sequence  $i_0, ..., i_p$  of p + 1 vertices of V that will be denoted by  $i_0...i_p$  or by  $e_{i_0...i_p}$ . Denote by  $\Lambda_p = \Lambda_p(V)$  the linear space of all formal linear combination of all elementary *p*-paths  $e_{i_0...i_p}$  with coefficients from K. The elements of  $\Lambda_p$  are called *p*-paths. By definition, each *p*-path  $v \in \Lambda_p$  has the form

$$v = \sum_{i_0, \dots, i_p \in V} v^{i_0 i_1 \dots i_p} e_{i_0 i_1 \dots i_p},$$

where  $v^{i_0 i_1 \dots i_p}$  are the coefficients of v. For example, 0-paths are linear combinations of the vertices  $e_i$ :

$$v = \sum_{i \in V} v^i e_i,$$

and 1-paths are linear combinations of pairs of vertices  $e_{ij}$ :

$$v = \sum_{i,j \in V} v^{ij} e_{ij}.$$

For any p-form  $\omega \in \Lambda^p$  and p-path  $v \in \Lambda_p$  there is a natural pairing

$$(\omega, v) := \sum_{i_0, \dots, i_p \in V} \omega_{i_0 \dots i_p} v^{i_0 \dots i_p},$$

which implies, in particular, that the spaces  $\Lambda^p$  and  $\Lambda_p$  are dual.

The operator  $d: \Lambda^p \to \Lambda^{p+1}$  has then the dual *boundary* operator  $\partial: \Lambda_{p+1} \to \Lambda_p$  that is given by

$$\partial e_{i_0\dots i_{p+1}} = \sum_{q=0}^{p+1} (-1)^q e_{i_0\dots \hat{i_q}\dots i_{p+1}}.$$
(3.2)

For example,

$$\partial e_{ij} = e_j - e_i$$
  
$$\partial e_{ijk} = e_{jk} - e_{ik} + e_{ij}$$

It follows from (3.2) that, for any  $v \in \Lambda_{p+1}$ ,

$$(\partial v)^{i_0 \dots i_p} = \sum_{k \in V} \sum_{q=0}^{p+1} (-1)^q v^{i_0 \dots i_{q-1} k i_q \dots i_p}$$

This formula holds for all  $p \ge 0$ . We need also the operator  $\partial : \Lambda_0 \to \Lambda_{-1}$  where we set  $\Lambda_{-1} = \{0\}$  and  $\partial v = 0$  for all  $v \in \Lambda_0$ .

If v is an 1-path, then  $\partial v$  is given by

$$\left(\partial v\right)^{i} = \sum_{k \in V} \left( v^{ki} - v^{ik} \right).$$

If v is a 2-path then

$$\left(\partial v\right)^{ij} = \sum_{k \in V} \left( v^{kij} - v^{ikj} + v^{ijk} \right).$$

By duality, we have  $(d\omega, v) = (\omega, \partial v)$  for any  $\omega \in \Lambda^{p-1}$  and any  $v \in \Lambda_p$ . It follows that, for any *p*-path *v*,

$$\partial^2 v = 0.$$

An elementary *p*-path  $e_{i_0...i_p}$  (the same is  $i_0...i_p$ ) is called *regular* if  $i_k \neq i_{k+1}$  for all k. We would like to define the boundary operator  $\partial$  on the subspace of  $\Lambda_p$  spanned by regular elementary paths. Just restriction of  $\partial$  does not work as  $\partial$  is not invariant on this subspace.

Let  $I_p$  be the subspace of  $\Lambda_p$  that is spanned by all irregular  $e_{i_0...i_p}$ . Consider the quotient space

$$\mathcal{R}_p = \mathcal{R}_p\left(V\right) = \Lambda_p/I_p.$$

The elements of  $\mathcal{R}_p$  are the equivalence classes  $v \mod I_p$  where  $v \in \Lambda_p$ , and they are called *regularized p*-paths. One verifies that the boundary operator  $\partial$  is well-defined for regularized paths. Clearly,  $\mathcal{R}_p$  is linearly isomorphic to the space of regular *p*-paths:

span 
$$\{e_{i_0...i_p}: i_0...i_p \text{ is regular}\}$$
.

For simplicity of notation, we will identify  $\mathcal{R}_p$  with this space, by setting all irregular p-paths to be equal to 0.

#### **3.2** Forms and paths on digraphs

A digraph is a pair G = (V, E) where V is an arbitrary set and E is a subset of  $V \times V \setminus \text{diag}$ . In this paper the set V will be always assumed non-empty and finite. The elements of V are called *vertices* and the elements of E are called *(directed) edges*.

The edge starting at a vertex a and ending at b will be denoted by ab. The fact that there exists an edge starting at a and ending at b will be denoted by  $a \rightarrow b$ .

Let  $i_0...i_p$  be a regular elementary *p*-path on *V*. It is called *allowed* if  $i_{k-1} \to i_k$  for any k = 1, ..., p, and *non-allowed* otherwise. We say that an elementary *p*-form  $e^{i_0...i_p}$  is allowed if  $i_0...i_p$  is allowed, and non-allowed if  $i_0...i_p$  is non-allowed.

We would like to reduce the space  $\mathcal{R}_p$  of regular *p*-paths on *V* to adapt it to the digraph structure *G*. Denote by  $\mathcal{A}_p = \mathcal{A}_p(G)$  the subspace of  $\mathcal{R}_p$  spanned by the allowed elementary *p*-paths, that is,

$$\mathcal{A}_p = \operatorname{span} \left\{ e_{i_0 \dots i_p} : i_0 \dots i_p \text{ is allowed} \right\}.$$

The elements of  $\mathcal{A}_p$  are called *allowed* p-paths. Note that  $\mathcal{A}_0$  consists of linear combination of vertices, and  $\mathcal{A}_1$  consists of linear combinations of the edges.

In general, the spaces  $\mathcal{A}_p$  are not invariant for operator  $\partial$ . For example, if ab and bc are edges then  $e_{abc} \in \mathcal{A}_2$  while

$$\partial e_{abc} = e_{bc} - e_{ac} + e_{ab}$$

is non-allowed if ac is not an edge.

Consider the following subspace of  $\mathcal{A}_p$ 

$$\Omega_p = \Omega_p(G) = \{ v \in \mathcal{A}_p : \partial v \in \mathcal{A}_{p-1} \}.$$
(3.3)

Then the family  $\{\Omega_p\}$  is  $\partial$ -invariant. Indeed, if  $v \in \Omega_p$  then  $\partial v \in \mathcal{A}_{p-1}$  and  $\partial (\partial v) = 0 \in \mathcal{A}_{p-2}$  whence  $\partial v \in \Omega_{p-1}$ . The elements of  $\Omega_p$  are called  $\partial$ -invariant p-paths.

We obtain a chain complex

 $0 \leftarrow \Omega_0 \stackrel{\partial}{\leftarrow} \Omega_1 \stackrel{\partial}{\leftarrow} \dots \stackrel{\partial}{\leftarrow} \Omega_{p-1} \stackrel{\partial}{\leftarrow} \Omega_p \stackrel{\partial}{\leftarrow} \dots$ (3.4)

and the notion of homology groups of the digraph G:

$$H_p(G) := \ker \partial|_{\Omega_p} / \operatorname{Im} \partial|_{\Omega_{p+1}}$$

Let G' = (V', E') be a subgraph of G, that is, V' is a subset of V and

$$E' = \{ab \in E : a, b \in V'\}.$$
(3.5)

It is frequently useful to know that any  $\partial$ -invariant path v in G' is also  $\partial$ -invariant in G. Indeed, any allowed path in G' is allowed in G by (3.5). Denoting by  $\partial'$  the boundary operator in G', let us verify that  $\partial' v = \partial v$ . Indeed, it follows from (3.2) that, for an elementary p-path  $e_{i_0...i_p}$  in G', both  $\partial' e_{i_0...i_p}$  and  $\partial e_{i_0...i_p}$  are determined by the (p-1)paths  $e_{i_0...i_q...i_{p+1}}$  that are the same in G' and G. Hence,  $\partial' v = \partial v$  follows, which by (3.3) implies that v is  $\partial$ -invariant in G.

Now we would like to reduce the space  $\mathcal{R}^p$  of regular *p*-forms on *V* according to the digraph structure. Denote by  $\mathcal{A}^p = \mathcal{A}^p(G)$  the subspace of  $\mathcal{R}^p$ , spanned by the allowed elementary *p*-forms:

$$\mathcal{A}^p = \operatorname{span}\left\{e^{i_0\dots i_p} : i_0\dots i_p \text{ is allowed}\right\},\,$$

and by  $\mathcal{N}^{p} = \mathcal{N}^{p}(G)$  the subspace of  $\mathcal{R}^{p}$ , spanned by the non-allowed elementary *p*-forms:

$$\mathcal{N}^p = \operatorname{span} \left\{ e^{i_0 \dots i_p} : i_0 \dots i_p \text{ is non-allowed} \right\}.$$

Consider the following subspace of  $\mathcal{R}^p$ :

$$\mathcal{J}^{p} = \mathcal{J}^{p}\left(G\right) = \mathcal{N}^{p} + d\mathcal{N}^{p-1}, \qquad (3.6)$$

and observe that  $d\mathcal{J}^p \subset \mathcal{J}^{p+1}$ . Hence, the operator d is well-defined on the quotient spaces

$$\Omega^p = \Omega^p \left( G \right) = \mathcal{R}^p \left/ \mathcal{J}^p \right|$$

The elements of  $\Omega^p$  are called *d*-invariant *p*-forms. In other words, the elements of  $\Omega^p$  are the equivalence classes of regular *p*-forms under the following equivalence relations:

$$\omega_1 \simeq \omega_2 \Leftrightarrow \omega_1 - \omega_2 \in \mathcal{J}^p. \tag{3.7}$$

Using (3.6), we can rewrite the definition of  $\simeq$  more explicitly as follows:

$$\omega_1 \simeq \omega_2 \Leftrightarrow \omega_1 - \omega_2 = \varphi + d\psi \text{ for some } \varphi \in \mathcal{N}^p, \psi \in \mathcal{N}^{p-1}.$$
 (3.8)

Since  $\mathcal{R}^p = \mathcal{A}^p \oplus \mathcal{N}^p$ , every equivalence class contains a representative from  $\mathcal{A}^p$ , so that  $\Omega^p$  is the space of equivalence classes of allowed *p*-forms.

We obtain a cochain complex

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega^0 \xrightarrow{d} \dots \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^p \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^{p+1} \xrightarrow{d} \dots$$
(3.9)

which allows us to define the cohomologies of the digraph G by

$$H^p(G) := \ker d|_{\Omega^p} / \operatorname{Im} d|_{\Omega^{p-1}}.$$

It is possible to show that the spaces  $\Omega^{p}(G)$  and  $\Omega_{p}(G)$  are dual (in particular, their dimensions are the same), and so are the operators d and  $\partial$ . Therefore, the cochain complex (3.9) and the chain complex (3.4) are dual, and so are the K-linear spaces  $H^{p}(G)$ and  $H_{p}(G)$ . We will refer to  $H^{p}(G)$  and  $H_{p}(G)$  as the graph (co)homologies, in order to distinguish from other theories of (co)homologies.

#### **3.3** Some examples

Let G = (V, E) be a finite digraph as before. The space  $\Omega_0$  has always the basis  $\{e_a\}_{a \in V}$ and  $\Omega_1$  has the basis  $\{e_{ab}\}_{ab \in E}$ . Let us give examples of  $\partial$ -invariant paths in  $\Omega_n$  with  $n \geq 2$ .

**Example 3.1** Let us call by a *triangle* a sequence  $\{a, b, c\}$  of three distinct vertices a, b, c of G such that ab, bc, ac are edges:

$$\stackrel{a}{\underset{b}{\longrightarrow}} \stackrel{\rightarrow}{\underset{b}{\longrightarrow}} \stackrel{\circ}{\underset{b}{\longrightarrow}} c \tag{3.10}$$

The triangle determines a 2-path  $e_{abc} \in \Omega_2$  as  $e_{abc} \in \mathcal{A}_2$  and

$$\partial e_{abc} = e_{bc} - e_{ac} + e_{ab} \in \mathcal{A}_1.$$

More generally, a graphical *n*-simplex is a sequence  $\{a_k\}_{k=0}^n$  of n+1 distinct vertices from V such that  $a_i \to a_j$  for all i < j. Then  $e_{a_0...a_n}$  and  $\partial e_{a_0...a_n}$  are allowed so that the *n*-path  $e_{a_0...a_n}$  is  $\partial$ -invariant. One can say that this *n*-path determines the simplex.

**Example 3.2** Let us called by a *square* a sequence  $\{a, b, b', c\}$  of four distinct vertices  $a, b, b', c \in V$  such that ab, bc, ab', b'c are edges:

The square determines a 2-path

$$v = e_{abc} - e_{ab'c} \in \Omega_2$$

as  $v \in \mathcal{A}_2$  and

$$\partial v = (e_{bc} - e_{ac} + e_{ab}) - (e_{b'c} - e_{ac} + e_{ab'}) = e_{ab} + e_{bc} - e_{ab'} - e_{b'c} \in \mathcal{A}_1.$$

**Example 3.3** More generally, a graphical *n*-cube is a set C of  $2^n$  vertices of V that any vertex  $\alpha \in C$  can be identified with a sequences  $(\alpha_1...\alpha_n)$  of binary digits so that  $\alpha \to \beta$  if and only if the sequence  $(\beta_1...\beta_n)$  is obtained from  $(\alpha_1...\alpha_n)$  by replacing a digit 0 by 1 at exactly one position. The digraph  $\bullet \to \bullet$  is an 1-cube, a square is a 2-cube, and a 3-cube is shown on Fig. 3.3.

With any graphical *n*-cube one can associate a  $\partial$ -invariant *n*-path as it was shown in [7, Example 6.7] (cf. Section 4.2 below). For example, for 3-cube as on Fig. 3.3 this is

$$v = e_{0457} - e_{0157} + e_{0137} - e_{0467} + e_{0267} - e_{0237}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\partial v = (e_{457} - e_{467}) - (e_{013} - e_{023}) + (e_{015} - e_{045}) - (e_{237} - e_{267}) + (e_{137} - e_{157}) - (e_{026} - e_{046}).$$

In other words,  $\partial v$  is an alternating sum of six 2-paths each of them corresponding to a geometric face of the cube. This observation will be put in a general context in Section 4.2, and it is a key to the proof of our main Theorem 5.1.



Figure 3: A graphical 3-cube. The binary representations of the vertices are shown in brackets



Figure 4: A digraph with linearly dependent squares

**Example 3.4** It is clear that the  $\partial$ -invariant 2-paths associated to different triangles, are linearly independent. Let us give an example showing that the  $\partial$ -invariant 2-paths associated to different squares can form a linear dependence. Consider the digraph on Fig. 3.4.

It has three squares  $\{0, 1, 2, 4\}$ ,  $\{0, 1, 3, 4\}$ ,  $\{0, 2, 3, 4\}$  that give rise to the following three  $\partial$ -invariant 2-paths

$$e_{014} - e_{024}, \ e_{014} - e_{034}, \ e_{024} - e_{034},$$

that are obviously linearly dependent. It is possible to show that in this case dim  $\Omega_2 = 2$  (cf. [7, Proposition 5.2]).

**Example 3.5** Consider a (undirected) graph G on Fig. 3.5 with 6 vertices and 12 edges.

As an one-dimensional simplicial complex, G has simplicial homologies  $H_*(C_*(G))$ . On the other hand, let us introduce arbitrarily a set D of directions on the edges of G, so that (G, D) is a digraph and, hence, has the graph homologies  $H_*(G, D)$ . We claim that for, any choice of D,

$$H_1(C_*(G)) \neq H_1(G,D).$$
 (3.11)

As above let  $\{\Omega_n\}$  be the chain complex of the digraph (G, D). In particular, dim  $\Omega_0 = 6$  that is the number of vertices, and dim  $\Omega_1 = 12$  that is the number of edges. By



Figure 5: Graph G in two representations: embedded on the Möbius band (left) and in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  (right). On the left picture the vertices with the same number are merged.

homological algebra, we have the following universal identity

$$\dim H_1(\Omega) - \dim H_0(\Omega) = \dim \Omega_1 - \dim \Omega_0 - \dim \partial \Omega_2$$

(see, for example, [7, Lemma 3.4]) and an analogous identity for the simplicial homologies. Since the graph G is connected, we have dim  $H_0(\Omega) = 1$  (cf. [7, Proposition 4.2]). It follows that

$$\dim H_1(\Omega) = 7 - \dim \partial \Omega_2.$$

A similar formula holds for the simplicial homologies:

$$\dim H_1\left(C_*\left(G\right)\right) = 7 - \dim \partial C_2\left(G\right).$$

Since  $C_{2}(G)$  is trivial, we obtain

$$\dim H_1\left(C_*\left(G\right)\right) = 7$$

(the same can be seen using the homotopy invariance of simplicial homologies as the 1dimensional simplicial complex G is homotopy equivalent to a wedge sum of seven circles  $\mathbb{S}^1$ ).

It remains to show that the space  $\partial \Omega_2$  is non-trivial for any choice D of the edge directions, which will yield

$$\dim H_1(G,D) \le 6$$

Given a direction of the edge 03, this requirement determines uniquely the directions of all other edges (cf. Fig. 3.5), up to the edge 23. However, with any direction on 23 the sequence  $\{0, 2, 3\}$  will become a triangle, which finishes the proof.



Figure 6: An attempt to introduce on G the direction of edges. Any direction of the edge 23 will create a triangle

### 4 Digraphs associated with simplicial complexes

#### 4.1 Cubical graphs

Let M be a finite set with m elements. Let us introduce in the power set  $2^M$  of M the structure of a digraph as follows: for arbitrary two sets  $s_1, s_2 \in 2^M$  define the edge between them by the rule

 $s_1 \to s_2 \Leftrightarrow s_2$  is obtained from  $s_1$  by removing of exactly one element. (4.1)

Denote this digraph by  $G_M$ . Let us fix an enumeration of the elements of M by integers 0, 1, ..., m-1, in fact, identify M with the set  $\{0, 1, ..., m-1\}$ . For any set  $s \in 2^M$  define its *anti-indicator* N(s) by

$$N\left(s\right) = \sum_{i \in M \setminus s} 2^{i}.$$

For example,  $N(\emptyset) = 2^m - 1$  and N(M) = 0. Clearly, if  $s_1 \to s_2$  then

$$N(s_2) = N(s_1) + 2^i \tag{4.2}$$

where *i* is the unique element in  $s_1 \setminus s_2$ .

Let S be a family of subsets of M, that is,  $S \subset 2^M$ . Denote by  $G_{S,M}$  the digraph with the vertex set S, whose edges are all the edges from  $G_M$  with the endpoints in S. If no confusion arrises, we write shortly  $G_S$  instead of  $G_{S,M}$ .

**Definition 4.1** The digraph  $G_S$  is called *cubical* if the family  $S \subset 2^M$  possesses the following property: if s, t are two elements of S then any subset u of M such that  $s \subset u \subset t$ , is also an element of S.

For example, the full digraph  $G_M$  is a cubical graph. The reason for the term "cubical" is that  $G_M$  is, in fact, a graphical *m*-cube. Indeed, with each element  $s \in 2^M$  consider N(s) as a binary number, which provides an one-to-one correspondence between  $2^M$  and the sequences of *m* binary digits. Moreover,  $s_1 \to s_2$  means by (4.2) that  $N(s_2)$  is obtained from  $N(s_1)$  by replacing one binary digit 0 by 1. Hence,  $G_M$  is a graphical *m*-cube (cf. Fig. 4.1). In fact,  $G_M$  is nothing other than the inverted Hasse diagram of the partially ordered set  $2^M$ .



Figure 7: The cubical graph  $G_M$  for  $M = \{0, 1, 2\}$  drawn in two ways. On the right picture each vertex s is assigned the number N(s)

**Example 4.2** With any simplicial complex S we associate a cubical digraph as follows. Denote by M the set of all vertices of S (with a fixed enumeration as above). Then any k-simplex in S can be regarded as a (k + 1)-subset of M, and S can be regarded as a subset of  $2^M$ . By the above construction, we obtain a digraph  $G_S$ . It satisfies the definition of a cubical graph because by definition of a simplicial complex, if a subset s of M is a simplex from S then any non-empty subset s' of s is also a simplex of S.

Equivalently, one can describe the graph  $G_S$  of a simplicial complex S as follows. The set of vertices of  $G_S$  coincides with the set of all simplexes from S. The edges in  $G_S$  are defined by (4.1) or, equivalently, by

$$s \to t \Leftrightarrow s \supset t \text{ and } \dim s = \dim t + 1,$$

$$(4.3)$$

where s, t are simplexes from S (cf. Fig. 1 in Introduction).

In this section we prove certain properties of general cubical digraphs that will be applied in the proof of Theorem 5.1 to special cubical digraphs that arise from simplicial complexes.

#### 4.2 $\partial$ -invariant paths associated with cubes

Fix a set  $M = \{0, 1, ..., m - 1\}$  as above, and consider the digraph  $G_M$ . Let  $\{\alpha_k\}_{k=0}^n$  be an allowed path in  $G_M$ , that is,  $\alpha_{k-1} \to \alpha_k$  for all k = 1, ..., n. Define a non-negative integer  $\sigma(\alpha)$  as follows. Since  $\alpha_{k-1} \to \alpha_k$ , there is a unique value  $i_k \in \{0, 1, ..., m - 1\}$ such that

$$\alpha_{k-1} \setminus \alpha_k = \{i_k\}$$

or, equivalently,

$$N(\alpha_k) = N(\alpha_{k-1}) + 2^{i_k}.$$
(4.4)

Then define  $\sigma(\alpha)$  as the number of inversions in the sequence  $\{i_1, ..., i_n\}$  (cf. Fig. 4.2).

**Lemma 4.3** Let  $\alpha = {\alpha_k}_{k=0}^n$  be an allowed path in  $G_M$ .



Figure 8: For the path  $\alpha = 0237$ , the sequence  $\{i_1, i_2, i_3\}$  is  $\{1, 0, 2\}$ , and it has one inversion. Hence,  $\sigma(\alpha) = 1$ 

- (a) Denote by  $\alpha'$  the truncated sequence  $\{\alpha_k\}_{k=1}^n$  so that  $\alpha'$  is an allowed path. Then the difference  $\sigma(\alpha) \sigma(\alpha')$  depends only on  $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_n$ .
- (b) Denote by  $\alpha'$  the truncated sequence  $\{\alpha_k\}_{k=0}^{n-1}$  so that  $\alpha'$  is an allowed path. Then the difference  $\sigma(\alpha) - \sigma(\alpha')$  depends only on  $\alpha_0, \alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n$

**Proof.** Indeed, let  $i_k$  be as in (4.4). Then  $\sigma(\alpha)$  is the number of inversions in the sequence  $\{i_1, i_2, ..., i_n\}$  while  $\sigma(\alpha')$  is the number of inversions in the sequence  $\{i_2, i_3, ..., i_n\}$ . Therefore, the difference  $\sigma(\alpha) - \sigma(\alpha')$  is the number of inversions of  $i_1$  in  $\{i_1, i_2, ..., i_n\}$ , that is, the number of the values  $i_2, ..., i_n$  that are smaller than  $i_1$ . Since by (4.4)

$$N(\alpha_n) - N(\alpha_1) = 2^{i_2} + 2^{i_3} + \dots + 2^{i_n},$$

and all  $i_k$  are different, the values of  $i_2, ..., i_n$  (but not the order) are uniquely determined by  $N(\alpha_n) - N(\alpha_1)$ . Since  $i_1$  is determined by  $N(\alpha_1) - N(\alpha_0)$ , the number of the values  $i_2, ..., i_n$  that are smaller than  $i_1$  is determined by  $N(\alpha_n) - N(\alpha_1)$  and  $N(\alpha_1) - N(\alpha_0)$ , which finishes the proof of (a). Part (b) is proved similarly.

For any two subsets s, t of M, such that  $t \subset s$ , denote by  $D_{s,t}$  the family of all subsets  $u \subset M$  such that  $t \subset u \subset s$ . We consider  $D_{s,t}$  as a digraph with the edges as in (4.1). Clearly,  $D_{s,t}$  is a subgraph of  $G_M$  and  $D_{s,t}$  is isomorphic to the full digraph  $G_{s\setminus t}$  so that  $D_{s,t}$  is a graphical *n*-cube, where n = |s| - |t|. Note that if  $S \subset 2^M$  satisfies the property of Definition 4.1 and s, t are two elements of S such that  $t \subset s$  then  $D_{s,t}$  is a subgraph of S.

For any *n*-cube  $D_{s,t} \subset G_M$  denote by  $P(D_{s,t})$  the set of all allowed paths  $\{\alpha_k\}_{k=0}^n$ such that  $\alpha_0 = s$  and  $\alpha_n = t$ . Then  $t \subset \alpha_k \subset s$  for any k, so that all  $\alpha_k$  belong to  $D_{s,t}$ . Any path  $\alpha \in P(D_{s,t})$  is called a *full chains* in  $D_{s,t}$ . With each *n*-cube  $D = D_{s,t}$  let us associate a *n*-path  $\omega = \omega(D)$  by

$$\omega(D) = \sum_{\alpha \in P(D)} (-1)^{\sigma(\alpha)} e_{\alpha}.$$
(4.5)

Since each *n*-path  $e_{\alpha} = e_{\alpha_0...\alpha_n}$  is allowed in *D*, the *n*-path  $\omega(D)$  is also allowed. We will show below that  $\omega(D)$  is, in fact, is  $\partial$ -invariant in *D*.

Let  $D = D_{s,t}$  be an *n*-cube in  $G_M$ . For any (n-1)-cube  $D' \subset D$  define the number  $\sigma(D, D')$  as follows. For D' there are two possibilities:

- 1. either  $D' = D_{s',t}$  where  $s \to s'$ ,
- 2. or  $D' = D_{s,t'}$  where  $t' \to t$ .

In the first case consider any full chain  $\alpha \in P(D)$  with  $\alpha_1 = s'$  and set  $\alpha' = \{\alpha_k\}_{k=1}^n$  so that  $\alpha' \in P(D')$ . Then define

$$\sigma(D, D') = \sigma(\alpha) - \sigma(\alpha'). \qquad (4.6)$$

In the second case consider a full chain  $\alpha \in P(D)$  with  $\alpha_{n-1} = t'$  and set  $\alpha' = \{\alpha_k\}_{k=0}^{n-1}$  so that  $\alpha' \in P(D')$ . Then define

$$\sigma(D, D') = (-1)^n \left(\sigma(\alpha) - \sigma(\alpha')\right). \tag{4.7}$$

Note that by Lemma 4.3 the value of  $\sigma(D, D')$  in the both cases does not depend on the choice of  $\alpha$ : in the first case  $\sigma(D, D')$  depends on s, s', t, in the second case – on s, t', t.

**Lemma 4.4** For any n-cube D in  $G_M$  we have

$$\partial \omega \left( D \right) = \sum_{D' \subset D} \left( -1 \right)^{\sigma(D,D')} \omega \left( D' \right) \tag{4.8}$$

where the sum is taken over all (n-1)-cubes  $D' \subset D$ . Consequently,  $\omega(D)$  is a  $\partial$ -invariant path in the digraph D.

**Proof.** We have

$$\partial \omega = \sum_{\alpha} (-1)^{\sigma(\alpha)} \partial e_{\alpha_0 \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}$$
  
= 
$$\sum_{\alpha} (-1)^{\sigma(\alpha)} \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k e_{\alpha_0 \dots \widehat{\alpha_k} \dots \alpha_n}$$
  
= 
$$\sum_{\alpha} (-1)^{\sigma(\alpha)} e_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n} + (-1)^n \sum_{\alpha} (-1)^{\sigma(\alpha)} e_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_{n-1}}$$
  
+ 
$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-1)^k \sum_{\alpha} (-1)^{\sigma(\alpha)} e_{\alpha_0 \dots \widehat{\alpha_k} \dots \alpha_n}.$$

Observe that for any k = 1, ..., n - 1

$$\sum_{\alpha} (-1)^{\sigma(\alpha)} e_{\alpha_0 \dots \widehat{\alpha_k} \dots \alpha_n} = 0.$$

Indeed, it suffices to show that

$$\sum_{\alpha_k} (-1)^{\sigma(\alpha)} e_{\alpha_0 \dots \widehat{\alpha_k} \dots \alpha_n} = 0.$$

Since  $\alpha_{k-1}$  and  $\alpha_{k+1}$  are fixed, for  $\alpha_k$  there are only two possibilities, and  $\sigma(\alpha)$  for these two possibilities have different parity, so that the term  $e_{\alpha_0...\widehat{\alpha_k}...\alpha_n}$  cancel out.

Denoting by s' any successor of s and by t' any predecessor of t, we obtain

$$\partial \omega = \sum_{\alpha} (-1)^{\sigma(\alpha)} e_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n} + (-1)^n \sum_{\alpha} (-1)^{\sigma(\alpha)} e_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_{n-1}}$$
  
= 
$$\sum_{s'} \sum_{\alpha: \alpha_1 = s'} (-1)^{\sigma(\alpha)} e_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n} + (-1)^n \sum_{t'} \sum_{\alpha_{n-1} = t'} (-1)^{\sigma(\alpha)} e_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_{n-1}}.$$

The sequence  $\alpha_1...\alpha_n$  with  $\alpha_1 = s'$  and  $\alpha_n = t$  determines a (n-1)-subcube  $D' = D_{s',t}$  of  $D_{s,t}$ . Denoting  $\alpha' = \alpha_1...\alpha_n$  that is a full chain of  $D_{s',t}$ , we obtain

$$\sum_{\alpha:\alpha_1=s'} (-1)^{\sigma(\alpha)} e_{\alpha_1\dots\alpha_n} = \sum_{\alpha'\in P(D')} (-1)^{\sigma(\alpha)} e_{\alpha'_1\dots\alpha'_n}$$
$$= \sum_{\alpha'\in P(D')} (-1)^{\sigma(\alpha)-\sigma(\alpha')} (-1)^{\sigma(\alpha')} e_{\alpha'_1\dots\alpha'_n}$$
$$= (-1)^{\sigma(\sigma,\sigma)} \omega (D')$$

where we have used (4.6). Hence,

$$\sum_{\alpha} (-1)^{\sigma(\alpha)} e_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n} = \sum_{D' \subset D} (-1)^{\sigma(D,D')} \omega(D')$$
(4.9)

where the summation extends to all (n-1)-cubes  $D' \subset D$  with the same target t.

Similarly, a sequence  $\alpha_0...\alpha_{n-1}$  with  $\alpha_{n-1} = t'$  determines a (n-1)-subcube  $D' = D_{s,t'}$  of  $D_{s,t}$ . Denoting  $\alpha' = \alpha_0...\alpha_{n-1}$  we obtain

$$(-1)^{n} \sum_{\alpha' \in P(D')} (-1)^{\sigma(\alpha)} e_{\alpha'_{0} \dots \alpha'_{n-1}} = (-1)^{\sigma(D,D')} \omega(D')$$

where we have used (4.7). Therefore,

$$(-1)^{n} \sum_{\alpha} (-1)^{\sigma(\alpha)} e_{\alpha_{0}...\alpha_{n-1}} = \sum_{D' \subset D} (-1)^{\sigma(D,D')} \omega(D')$$
(4.10)

where the summation extends to all (n-1)-cubes  $D' \subset D$  with the same source s. Combining together (4.9) and (4.10) we obtain (4.8).

Finally, since all  $\omega(D')$  are allowed paths in D, we obtain that  $\partial \omega(D)$  is allowed and, hence,  $\omega$  is  $\partial$ -invariant.

#### 4.3 Spaces of *n*-forms and *n*-paths on cubical graphs

The main result of this section is the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.5** Let  $G_S$  be a cubical graph based in a set M. Denote by  $K_n$  the number of n-cubes that are contained in the graph  $G_S$ . Then

$$\dim \Omega^n \left( G_S \right) = \dim \Omega_n \left( G_S \right) = K_n$$



Figure 9: A full chain  $\alpha$  and its transposition  $\alpha'$  (dashed)

**Remark 4.6** This statement is not true for a general digraph. Although any *n*-cube D in an arbitrary digraph always gives rise to the  $\partial$ -invariant *n*-path  $\omega(D)$  as in Lemma 4.4, the paths  $\omega(D)$  associated with different cubes D can be linearly dependent as it was shown in Example 3.4.

**Proof.** The identity of dim  $\Omega^n$  and dim  $\Omega_n$  is a consequence of the duality of these spaces. As follows from Lemma 4.4, for any *n*-cube D from  $G_S$ , the *n*-path  $\omega(D)$  is  $\partial$ -invariant in D and, hence, in  $G_S$ . If  $D_1, D_2, ..., D_{K_n}$  are all different *n*-cubes in  $G_S$  then the corresponding *n*-paths  $\omega(D_j)$  are linearly independent because the sets of the basis elements of  $\Omega_n$  that are used in each  $\omega(D_j)$  are disjoint, which follows from the obvious fact that the families  $P(D_i)$  and  $P(D_j)$  of the full chains are disjoint provided  $i \neq j$ . Hence, we obtain

$$\dim \Omega_n \ge K_n$$

Let us prove that

 $\dim \Omega^n \le K_n.$ 

Any allowed *n*-path  $\alpha$  in  $G_S$  is a full chain in a *n*-cube  $D_{s,t}$  with  $s = \alpha_0$  and  $t = \alpha_n$ . Consider the associated allowed *n*-form  $e^{\alpha} = e^{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_n}$  and show that if  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are full chains in the same cube  $D_{s,t}$  then

$$e^{\alpha} \simeq \pm e^{\beta} \tag{4.11}$$

(see (3.7) for the definition of the equivalence relation  $\simeq$ ).

Given a full chain  $\alpha$  in  $D_{s,t}$  and some index k = 1, ..., n - 1, define another full chain  $\alpha'$  as follows. Observe that the cube  $D_{\alpha_{k-1}\alpha_{k+1}}$  is a square that has among the vertices  $\alpha_{k-1}, \alpha_k, \alpha_{k+1}$ . Denote by  $\alpha'_k$  the forth vertex of this square (see Fig. 4.3) and define  $\alpha'_j$  for  $j \neq k$  simply by setting  $\alpha'_j = \alpha_j$ . Hence, we obtain a full chain  $\alpha'$  in  $D_{s,t}$  that will be called the *transposition* of  $\alpha$  at position k.

Let us show that

$$e^{\alpha} \simeq -e^{\alpha'}.\tag{4.12}$$

For that consider a regular form  $\psi = e^{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_{k-1} \alpha_{k+1} \dots \alpha_n}$  where the index  $\alpha_k$  is dropped out, and observe that  $\psi$  is non-allowed because  $\alpha_{k-1} \alpha_{k+1}$  is not an edge (this is a consequence of the fact that  $G_S$  contains no triangles). Next, we have

$$d\psi = \sum_{\tau \in S} e^{\tau \alpha_0 \dots \alpha_{k-1} \alpha_{k+1} \dots \alpha_n} - \sum_{\tau \in S} e^{\alpha_0 \tau \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{k-1} \alpha_{k+1} \dots \alpha_n} + \dots$$
(4.13)

$$+ \left(-1\right)^{k-1} \sum_{\tau \in S} e^{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_{k-1} \tau \alpha_{k+1} \dots \alpha_n} \tag{4.14}$$

+...+ 
$$(-1)^n \sum_{\tau \in S} e^{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_{k-1} \alpha_{k+1} \dots \alpha_n \tau}$$
. (4.15)

All the terms in the right hand side of (4.13) and (4.15) are non-allowed because  $\alpha_{k-1}\alpha_{k+1}$  is not an edge. The term in (4.14) is equal to

$$(-1)^{k-1} \left( e^{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_{k-1} \alpha_k \alpha_{k+1} \dots \alpha_n} + e^{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_{k-1} \alpha'_k \alpha_{k+1} \dots \alpha_n} \right) + \text{non-allowed terms},$$

where we have used the fact that the only values of  $\tau$  for which  $\alpha_{k-1} \to \tau \to \alpha_{k+1}$  are  $\tau = \alpha_k$  and  $\tau = \alpha'_k$ . It follows that

$$d\psi = (-1)^{k-1} \left( e^{\alpha} + e^{\alpha'} \right) + \varphi$$

where both  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are non-allowed. By (3.8) this means that  $e^{\alpha} + e^{\alpha'} \simeq 0$ , which proves (4.12).

Since any full chain  $\beta$  in  $D_{s,t}$  can be obtained from  $\alpha$  by a sequence of transpositions, we see that (4.11) follows from (4.12). Hence, all the full chains of the same cube determine the same (up to a multiple) element of the space  $\Omega^n$ , which implies dim  $\Omega^n \leq K_n$ .

### 5 Identity of homologies of S and $G_S$

Now we can prove the main result of this paper stated in Introduction. All homologies are considered over a fixed field  $\mathbb{K}$ .

**Theorem 5.1** For any finite simplicial complex S and for any  $n \ge 0$ , we have isomorphism

$$H_n\left(C_*\left(S\right)\right) \cong H_n\left(G_S\right).$$

**Proof.** Let  $Q_S$  be the cubical complex associated with S, and  $C_*(Q_S)$  be the corresponding chain complex as described in Section 2. Then by (2.3) we have

$$H_n(C_*(S)) \cong H_n(C_*(Q)).$$
 (5.1)

As it follows from the construction of  $Q_S$  in Section 2 and  $G_S$  in Section 4.1, the graph  $G_S$  can be embedded into  $Q_S$  so that the vertices of  $G_S$  become the vertices of  $Q_S$ , and the edges of  $G_S$  become the 1-dimensional cubes in  $Q_S$ . Moreover, this embedding provides a bijection between the set of (geometric) *n*-cubes in  $Q_S$  and the set of discrete *n*-cubes in  $G_S$ .

For simplicity of notation, let us identify the cubes from  $Q_S$  and  $G_S$ . For example, one can always assume that the vertices of  $G_S$  are the barycenters of the simplexes from

S (cf. Fig. 1). As before, denote by  $M = \{1, ..., m\}$  the set of vertices of S, so that any simplex of S is determined by a subset of M.

Let us establish an one-to-one correspondence between the space  $C_n \equiv C_n(Q_S)$  of *n*-chains on  $Q_S$  and the space  $\Omega_n$  of  $\partial$ -invariant *n*-paths on  $G_S$ . Indeed, for any cube  $D \in Q_S$  we have defined in (4.5)  $\omega(D) \in \Omega_n$ . Extending the mapping  $\omega$  by K-linearity, we obtain a linear mapping  $\omega : C_n \to \Omega_n$ . As it follows from Lemma 4.5, this mapping is bijective, so that the spaces  $C_n$  and  $\Omega_n$  are K-linearly isomorphic.

Let us show that the boundary operators  $\partial$  on  $C_n$  and on  $\Omega_n$  commute with this isomorphism, that is, the following diagram is commutative:

By (4.5) we have, for any *n*-cube D from  $Q_S$ ,

$$\partial \omega (D) = \omega \left( \sum_{D' \subset D} (-1)^{\sigma(D,D')} D' \right),$$

where D' runs over all (n-1)-subcubes of D. Hence, it remains to show that

$$\sum_{D' \subset D} (-1)^{\sigma(D,D')} D' = \partial D,$$

which by (2.4) amounts to verifying that  $(-1)^{\sigma(D,D')}$  coincides with the relative orientation  $\mathcal{O}(D,D')$ .

So far we have not yet defined any orientation of the cubes in  $Q_S$ . Let us choose the orientation as follows. Each *n*-cube D has the form  $D = D_{s,t}$  where s and t are two simplexes of S such that  $s \supset t$  and  $|s \setminus t| = n$ . Let us define a mapping  $\varphi : D_{s,t} \to \mathbb{R}^n$  such that the image  $\varphi(D_{s,t})$  is the unit cube  $I^n$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . It suffices to define  $\varphi$  on the vertices of  $D_{s,t}$  and check that the images are all the vertices of  $I^n$ . Let us enumerate the elements of the set  $s \setminus t$  (that are integers from 1 to m) in the increasing order as follows:  $i_1, \ldots, i_n$ . For any vertex  $u \in D_{s,t}$ , the set  $s \setminus u$  has the form

$$s \setminus u = \{i_{k_1}, i_{k_2}, ..., i_{k_l}\}$$

where  $l = |s \setminus u|$  and  $k_1 < k_2 < ... < k_l$ ; in other words, the number N(u) satisfies the identity

$$N(u) - N(s) = 2^{i_{k_1}} + \dots + 2^{i_{k_l}}.$$
(5.3)

Denoting by  $\mathbf{e}_1, ..., \mathbf{e}_n$  the standard basis in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , define  $\varphi(u)$  by

$$\varphi\left(u\right) = \mathbf{e}_{k_1} + \mathbf{e}_{k_2} + \dots + \mathbf{e}_{k_l}.$$
(5.4)

For example, for the vertex s the sequence  $\{i_{k_j}\}$  is empty, that is l = 0, and, hence,  $\varphi(s) = 0$ , while for the vertex t the sequence  $\{i_{k_j}\}_{j=1}^l$  coincides with the full sequence  $\{i_k\}_{k=1}^n$  so that  $\varphi(t) = \mathbf{e}_1 + \ldots + \mathbf{e}_n$  (cf. Fig. 5). Clearly,  $\varphi$  maps  $D_{s,t}$  onto  $I^n$ . Then define the orientation of  $D_{s,t}$  by the sequence of vectors  $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_n\}$ .



Figure 10: A 3-cube  $D = D_{s,t}$  embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , its face  $D' = D_{s',t}$ , a full chain (dashed) from s to t, and the orientations of D, D'. For any vertex u of D, the set  $s \setminus u$  is written near u.

Let D' be a face of D attached to t, that is,  $D' = D_{s',t}$  with  $s \to s'$ . Then

$$N\left(s'\right) = N\left(s\right) + 2^{i\gamma}$$

for some  $\gamma$ , which implies that  $\varphi(s') = \mathbf{e}_{\gamma}$ . For any vertex  $u \in D'$ , the expansion (5.3) contains the term  $2^{i_{\gamma}}$ , which implies that  $\varphi(u)$  in (5.4) contains the term  $\mathbf{e}_{\gamma}$ . Hence, u lies on the face

$$I_{\gamma}^n = I^n \cap \{x_{\gamma} = 1\}$$

of  $I^n$ . Clearly,  $\varphi(D')$  coincides with the set of all vertices of  $I^n_{\gamma}$  (cf. Fig. 5 where  $\gamma = 2$ ). Identifying  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  with the hyperplane  $\{x_{\gamma} = 1\}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we see that the orientation of D' is determined by the sequence of vectors

$$\left\{ \mathbf{e}_{1},...\mathbf{e}_{\gamma-1},\mathbf{e}_{\gamma+1},..\mathbf{e}_{n}
ight\}$$
 .

Since  $\mathbf{e}_{\gamma}$  is the outer normal to D', the relative orientation of D' in D is given by the orientation of the sequence

$$\{\mathbf{e}_{\gamma},\mathbf{e}_{1},...,\mathbf{e}_{\gamma-1},\mathbf{e}_{\gamma+1},...\mathbf{e}_{n}\}$$

that is

$$\mathcal{O}\left(D,D'\right) = (-1)^{\gamma-1}$$

Let us show that

$$\sigma\left(D,D'\right) = \gamma - 1,\tag{5.5}$$

that will settle the claim. Indeed, consider the sequence  $\alpha = \{\alpha_k\}_{k=0}^n$  such that  $\alpha_0 = s$  and each of  $\alpha_k$  is obtained from the previous one by successive removal of the following elements of M, in the specified order:

$$i_{\gamma}, i_1, \dots, i_{\gamma-1}, i_{\gamma+1}, \dots, i_n.$$
 (5.6)

In particular,  $\alpha_n = t$  and, hence,  $\alpha$  is a full chain in  $D = D_{s,t}$ . Since  $\alpha_1 = s'$ , we see that the sequence  $\alpha' = \{\alpha_k\}_{k=1}^n$  is a full chain in  $D' = D_{s',t}$ . By definition,  $\sigma(\alpha)$  is equal to the number of inversions in the sequence (5.6), whence

$$\sigma\left(\alpha\right) = \gamma - 1.$$

Similarly,  $\sigma(\alpha')$  is equal to the number of inversions in the truncated sequence (5.6) without the first term  $i_{\gamma}$ , whence  $\sigma(\alpha') = 0$ . By (4.6) we obtain (5.5). The case when the face D' is attached to s is handled similarly.

The commutative diagram (5.2) implies that the chain complexes  $C_*$  and  $\Omega_*$  are isomorphic. Hence, we have

$$H_n(C_*) \cong H_n(\Omega_*) \cong H_n(G_S),$$

which together with (5.1) finishes the proof.

## References

- Biefang Chen, Shing-Tung Yau, and Yeong-Nan Yeh, Graph homotopy and Graham homotopy, Discrete Math. 241 (2001), 153–170.
- [2] Aristophanes Dimakis and Folkert Müller-Hoissen, Differential calculus and gauge theory on finite sets, J. Phys. A, Math. Gen. 27 (1994), no. 9, 3159–3178.
- [3] \_\_\_\_\_, Discrete differential calculus: Graphs, topologies, and gauge theory, J. Math. Phys. **35** (1994), no. 12, 6703–6735.
- [4] N.P. Dolbilin, M.A. Shtan'ko, and M.I. Shtogrin, Quadrillages and parametrizations of lattice cycles, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 196 (1991), 73–93.
- [5] \_\_\_\_\_, Cubic manifolds in lattices, Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat. 58 (1994), no. 2, 93–107.
- [6] N.P. Dolbilin, Yu.M. Zinoviev, A.S. Mishchenko, M.A. M.A. Shtan'ko, and M.I. Shtogrin, *Homological properties of dimer configurations for lattices on surfaces*, Funct. Anal. Appl **30** (1996), no. 3, 163–173.
- [7] Alexander Grigor'yan, Yong Lin, Yuri Muranov, and Shing-Tung Yau, *Homologies* of path complexes and digraphs, Preprint (2012).
- [8] Alexander Grigor'yan and Yuri Muranov, *Differential calculus on algebras and graphs*, Preprint (2012).
- [9] P. J. Hilton and S. Wylie, *Homology theory*, Cammbridge, University Press, 1960.
- [10] Alexander V. Ivashchenko, Contractible transformations do not change the homology groups of graphs, Discrete Math. 126 (1994), 159–170.
- [11] S. MacLane, *Homology*, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften. Bd. 114. Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag, 522 pp., 1963.
- [12] V. V. Prasolov, *Elements of homology theory*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics 81.
   Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS). ix, 418 p., 2007.
- [13] M. A. Shtan'ko and M. I. Shtogrin, Embedding cubic manifolds and complexes into a cubic lattice, Russian Math. Surveys 47 (1992), no. 1, 267–268.