

**Exercise 1**

1. Suppose first that  $X$  is Hausdorff. Then, if  $(x, y) \in X \times X$  is not in the diagonal, i.e. if  $x \neq y$ , we can find  $U, V$  opens with  $x \in U$  and  $y \in V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ . But in particular, the last equality implies that  $(U \times V) \cap \Delta_X = \emptyset$ , which means that  $U \times V$  is an open neighborhood of  $(x, y)$  in  $X \times X$  endowed with the product topology, hence  $\Delta_X$  is closed.

Now suppose  $\Delta_X$  is closed. If  $x \neq y$  then  $(x, y) \in X \times X \setminus \Delta_X$  which is open. We can therefore pick a basic open neighborhood of  $(x, y)$  of the form  $U \times V$  with  $U, V$  open. But note  $x \in U$  and  $y \in V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ .

2. The product topology on  $X \times X$  is such that  $f, g : Y \rightarrow X$  define a continuous function  $h := (f, g) : Y \rightarrow X \times X$ . Then  $\{y \in Y \mid f(y) = g(y)\} = h^{-1}(\Delta_X)$ . Since  $X$  is Hausdorff,  $\Delta_X$  is closed and since  $h$  is continuous, so is  $h^{-1}(\Delta_X)$ . This concludes.

**Exercise 2**

1. Since  $G$  is a topological group,  $f : G \times G \rightarrow G$  sending  $(x, y) \mapsto xy^{-1}$  is continuous and it suffices to check that  $f$  sends  $\overline{H} \times \overline{H}$  to  $\overline{H}$ . Since  $H$  is a group, we have

$$H \times H \subset f^{-1}(H) \subset f^{-1}(\overline{H})$$

But  $f$  is continuous, hence  $f^{-1}(\overline{H})$  is closed, hence contains the closure of  $H \times H$ . To conclude, it suffices to see that

$$\overline{H} \times \overline{H} \subset \overline{H \times H}$$

(and therefore, the two are equal). For any point  $(x, y)$  in the open  $(G \times G) \setminus \overline{H \times H}$ , there is an open neighbourhood of the form  $U \times V$  contained in the previous open, where  $U, V$  are opens of  $G$ . In particular,  $x \in U \subset G \setminus \overline{H}$  and  $y \in V \subset G \setminus \overline{H}$ , whence  $(x, y) \in (G \times G) \setminus (\overline{H} \times \overline{H})$ . Passing to complements, we get the wanted inclusion.

2. Suppose that  $G/H$  is Hausdorff. Then,  $\pi : G \rightarrow G/H$  is continuous and by a previous exercise,  $\{e\} \subset G/H$  is closed. It follows that  $\pi^{-1}(\{e\}) = H$  is closed in  $G$ .

Now suppose that  $H \subset G$  is closed. We want to prove that  $\Delta_{G/H}$  is closed; note that  $(g, g') \in G \times G$  is such that  $\pi(g, g') \in \Delta_{G/H}$  if and only if there exists  $h \in H$  such that  $g = g'h'$ , i.e.  $g(g')^{-1} \in H$ .

We note that that  $\{g, g' \in G \times G \mid g(g')^{-1} \in H\}$  is closed, as the preimage of the closed  $H$  by the continuous  $(x, y) \mapsto xy^{-1}$ . Note that closed sets in  $G/H$  are precisely those maps whose inverse image along  $\pi$  is closed in  $G$ , by definition of the quotient topology on  $G/H$ . But by the previous remark

$$\{g, g' \in G \times G \mid g(g')^{-1} \in H\} = (\pi \times \pi)^{-1}(\Delta_{G/H})$$

This proves that  $\Delta_{G/H}$  is closed in the quotient topology on  $(G \times G)/(H \times H)$ ; but note here that this is not *a priori* the same space as  $G/H \times G/H$ . There is a canonical, continuous map  $\Phi : (G \times G)/(H \times H) \rightarrow G/H \times G/H$  which is bijective; if it is a homeomorphism, we are done by applying exercise 1 and get that  $G/H$  is Hausdorff from the closure of  $\Delta_{G/H}$ .

The fact that  $\Phi$  is a homeomorphism follows from a result in the lecture notes, namely Lemma 3.32 and the example that follows. Indeed, in the case of topological groups, the projection  $\pi : G \rightarrow G/H$  is always open, hence we get

$$(G \times G)/(H \times G) \simeq G/H \times G$$

and then we can apply this again to the other factor to get

$$((G \times G)/(H \times G))/(G/H \times H) \simeq G/H \times G/H$$

Finally, the left hand side is as a group, equivalent to  $(G \times G)/(H \times H)$  and quotienting by  $H \times G$  followed by  $G/H \times H$  induces the same topology as quotienting once by  $H \times H$  because both topologies are coinduced from the same map, i.e. the projection from  $G \times G$ . Here we are using that if  $\mathcal{T}$  is a topology, then  $g_!f_!\mathcal{T} = (f \circ g)_!\mathcal{T}$ .

### Exercise 3

We know that  $e$  is surjective by standard facts about writing numbers in base  $b$ . We first prove that  $e$  is continuous: suppose  $x = e(a_0, \dots, a_n, \dots)$ , then the following open set

$$\prod_{i=1}^N \{a_i\} \times \prod_{i \geq N+1} \{0, \dots, b-1\}$$

is contained in the preimage of any interval centred around  $x$ , by making  $N$  large enough. This is because

$$\left| \sum_{i \geq N+1} \frac{f_k}{(b+1)^k} \right| < b \cdot \frac{\frac{1}{b^N}}{1 - \frac{1}{b}}$$

and the right hand side goes to 0 as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ .

To show that  $e$  is a quotient map, it now suffices to prove that a map  $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  is continuous, where  $X$  is any topological space, if and only if  $g \circ e$  is continuous. One direction is evident and for the converse, it suffices to prove that for a subset  $U \subset [0, 1]$ , if  $e^{-1}(U)$  is open then  $U$  is open in  $[0, 1]$ .

Suppose then  $e^{-1}(U)$  is open and let us pick  $x = e(a_i) \in U$  then the explicit description of opens in the product lets us find an open  $V \subset e^{-1}(U)$  of the following form

$$V := \prod_{i=1}^N \{a_i\} \times \prod_{i \geq N+1} \{0, \dots, b-1\}$$

by writing  $x$  in base  $b$  and truncating. Here we have to be a little more careful in some edge cases so let us modify  $V$  in the following cases: if  $a_i = 0$  for large  $n$  and  $a_{n_0}$  is non-zero, then replace  $\{a_{n_0}\}$  by  $\{a_{n_0}, a_{n_0} - 1\}$  and dually if  $a_i = b$  for  $n$  large enough and  $a_{n_0} < b$ , then replace  $\{a_{n_0}\}$  by  $\{a_{n_0}, a_{n_0} + 1\}$ . Finally, this leaves the two cases where  $a_i = 0$  for all  $i$  or  $a_i = b$  for all  $i$  which corresponds to the case  $x = 0$  and  $x = 1$  respectively, where we do not change anything. We have survived the case distinction and can return to the proof.

Now we prove that

$$e(V) = \left\{ y \in [0, 1] \mid y = \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{a_i}{(b+1)^i} \text{ for } (a_i) \in V \right\}$$

is an interval whose interior contains  $x$ . The claim about the interior is precisely why we modified the  $V$  a little in the edge cases; here, we note that interiors are taken *in*  $[0, 1]$  so in the case where  $x = 0$  or  $x = 1$ , they are only half-open intervals

To see that  $e(V)$  is an interval, we prove that it is connected; note that this is *not* the case of  $V$ . Still, any decomposition  $V = V_1 \sqcup V_2$  by non-empty opens  $V_1, V_2$  has to be such that after a certain rank  $N$ , both  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  contain  $\prod_{i \geq m+1} \{0, \dots, b-1\}$  and therefore, at rank  $m$ , a decomposition  $\{0, \dots, b-1\} = V_1^m \sqcup V_2^m$  where  $V_i^m$  is the set of  $m^{\text{th}}$ -coordinates in  $V_i$  (i.e. the projection onto the  $m^{\text{th}}$  factor).

Without loss of generality, using that everything is finite, we may assume that the  $V_i$  only differ at  $m$  and  $V_1^m = \{0, \dots, i\}$ ,  $V_2^m = \{i+1, \dots, b\}$  with  $i < b$ . But note that

$$e(a_0, \dots, i, b, \dots) = e(a_0, \dots, i+1, 0, \dots)$$

where the  $i$  and the  $i+1$  are in position  $m$ . In particular, any such decomposition is no longer disjoint after applying  $e$ . To conclude, note that any disjoint  $e(V) = W_1 \sqcup W_2$  will induce a disjoint decomposition of  $V = (e^{-1}(W_1) \cap V) \sqcup (e^{-1}(W_2) \cap V)$  which we just ruled out. This implies that  $e(V)$  is connected which concludes.

#### Exercise 4

Call of the map of the exercise  $\phi$ . It is clear that  $\phi$  is continuous, and note that  $\phi(x, y) = \phi(x', y')$  implies  $x = x'$  and either  $y = y'$  or  $y = 1$  and  $y' = 0$  or the converse, the latter condition we can summarize by  $\{y, y'\} = \{0, 1\}$ . In particular,  $\phi$  becomes injective when passing to the quotient.

Of course,  $f$  is also surjective onto  $Y := ]0, 1[ \times [0, 1] \sqcup \{(0, 1)\}$  so the real question is whether it is a homeomorphism. We claim this is not true: to see this, let us consider the following open set in  $X/\sim$ , which we identify *as a set* with  $[0, 1]^2$ :

$$U = \{(x, y) \in [0, 1]^2 \mid y > 1 - x\} \cup \{(0, 1)\}$$

This pulls back under  $\phi$  to the subset  $\{(x, y) \in ]0, 1[ \times [-1, 0] \mid y - 1 > 1 - x\} \sqcup [0, 1] \times \{1\}$ , which is open in  $X$  as both components are. Hence  $U$  is also open in the quotient  $X/\sim$ .

But  $U$  is not open in the usual topology on  $[0, 1]^2$ : the point  $(0, 1)$  has no open neighbourhood since  $U$  contains no ball centred  $(0, 1)$ , as any such ball has points of the form  $(\varepsilon, 1 - 2\varepsilon)$  and those are not in  $U$  for  $\varepsilon$  sufficiently small.