Non-commutative Algebra 3, SS 2020

Lectures: W. Crawley-Boevey Exercises: A. Hubery

Solutions 8

Throughout K will be an algebraically closed field. Recall that we have three partial orders on Mod(A, d).

Ext $M \leq_{\text{ext}} N$ if there exists a sequence $M = M_0, M_1, \ldots, M_n = N$ and short exact sequences

 $0 \to L'_i \to M_{i-1} \to L''_i \to 0, \quad M_i \cong L'_i \oplus L''_i.$

Deg $M \leq_{\text{deg}} N$ if $N \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_M$.

Hom $M \leq_{\text{hom}} N$ if dim Hom $(X, M) \leq$ dim Hom(X, N) for all finite dimensional A-modules X.

We saw in the lectures that $M \leq_{\text{ext}} N$ implies $M \leq_{\text{deg}} N$ implies $M \leq_{\text{hom}} N$.

1. Let A be a (finite dimensional) K-algebra, and $M, N \in Mod(A, d)$.

(a) Show that for finite dimensional A-modules M, N we have $M \cong N$ if and only if dim Hom $(X, M) = \dim \operatorname{Hom}(X, N)$ for all finite dimensional A-modules X.

Hint. We may proceed as follows. Take a basis f_1, \ldots, f_d for $\operatorname{Hom}(M, N)$. Use these to construct a morphism $f: M \to N^d$, and show that the induced map $\operatorname{Hom}(N^d, N) \to \operatorname{Hom}(M, N)$ is onto. Deduce that the map $\operatorname{Hom}(N^d, M) \to \operatorname{End}(M)$ is onto, and hence that f is a split monomorphism. By Krull-Remak-Schmidt, M and N have a common direct summand. Finish by induction on dim M.

(b) Given a minimal projective presentation $Q \to P \to X \to 0$, show that

 $\dim \operatorname{Hom}(X, M) - \dim \operatorname{Hom}(M, \tau X) = \dim \operatorname{Hom}(P, M) - \dim \operatorname{Hom}(Q, M).$

Deduce that $M \leq_{\text{hom}} N$ if and only if $\dim \text{Hom}(M, X) \leq \dim \text{Hom}(N, X)$ for all finite dimensional A-modules X.

Hint. We have the (minimal) projective presentation $P^{\vee} \to Q^{\vee} \to \text{Tr}X \to 0$ as right A-modules, where $P^{\vee} := \text{Hom}(P, A)$. Now tensor with M to obtain an exact sequence

 $0 \to \operatorname{Hom}(X,M) \to \operatorname{Hom}(P,M) \to \operatorname{Hom}(Q,M) \to D\operatorname{Hom}(M,\tau X) \to 0.$

Proof. (a) Given $h: M \to N$ we can write $h = \sum_i \lambda_i f_i$ for some $\lambda_i \in K$. Then the map $\operatorname{Hom}(N^d, N) \to \operatorname{Hom}(M, N)$ sends $(\lambda_i \cdot \operatorname{id}_N)$ to h, proving that f^* is onto.

We have an exact sequence $M \xrightarrow{f} N^d \to C \to 0$. Applying Hom(-, N) yields the short exact sequence

 $0 \to \operatorname{Hom}(C, N) \to \operatorname{Hom}(N^d, N) \to \operatorname{Hom}(M, N) \to 0.$

Applying Hom(-, M) yields the exact sequence

$$0 \to \operatorname{Hom}(C, M) \to \operatorname{Hom}(N^d, M) \to \operatorname{End}(M).$$

Comparing dimensions we see that $\operatorname{Hom}(N^d, M) \to \operatorname{End}(M)$ is onto, and hence that f is a split mono. By KRS M and N have a common direct summand T. Writing $M = M' \oplus T$ and $N = N' \oplus T$, we see that dim $\operatorname{Hom}(X, M') =$ dim $\operatorname{Hom}(X, N')$ for all X. By induction on dim M we deduce that $M' \cong N'$, and hence that $M \cong N$.

(b) Since P is a finitely generated projective we know that

 $P^{\vee} \otimes M = \operatorname{Hom}(P, A) \otimes_A M \cong \operatorname{Hom}(P, M).$

Also,

$$\operatorname{Hom}(M, DY) \cong \operatorname{Hom}(Y \otimes M, K) = D(Y \otimes M),$$

so taking duals again we get $\operatorname{Tr} X \otimes M \cong D \operatorname{Hom}(M, \tau X)$. Since the kernel of $\operatorname{Hom}(P, M) \to \operatorname{Hom}(Q, M)$ is $\operatorname{Hom}(X, M)$, we get the required four term exact sequence. Rearranging thus gives

 $\dim \operatorname{Hom}(X, M) - \dim \operatorname{Hom}(M, \tau X) = \dim \operatorname{Hom}(P, M) - \dim \operatorname{Hom}(Q, M).$

If $M \leq_{\text{hom}} N$, then dim $\text{Hom}(Ae, M) \leq \dim \text{Hom}(Ae, N)$, so dim $eM \leq \dim eN$, for all idempotents $e \in A$. Since dim $M = \dim N$, we deduce that dim $eM = \dim eN$ for all idempotents e. Hence dim $\text{Hom}(P, M) = \dim \text{Hom}(P, N)$ for all finitely generated projectives P. Dually, dim $\text{Hom}(M, I) \leq \dim \text{Hom}(N, I)$ for all finitely generated injectives I.

From the first part, dim $\operatorname{Hom}(M, \tau X) \leq \dim \operatorname{Hom}(N, \tau X)$ for all X. Since every finite dimensional module is of the form $\tau X \oplus I$ for some X and some injective I, it follows that dim $\operatorname{Hom}(M, Y) \leq \dim \operatorname{Hom}(N, Y)$ for all Y.

The other implication is analogous.



$$0 \to P_1 \to I_3 \to S_2 \to 0 \quad 0 \to P_2 \to I_3 \to S_1 \to 0$$

show that $M \leq_{\text{hom}} N$ implies $M \leq_{\text{ext}} N$.

Proof. (a) Simple knitting.

(b) We have dim M = (b + d + f, a + b + c + d, c + d + e). $\dim \operatorname{Hom}(S_3, M) = a + b + c + d, \dim \operatorname{Hom}(P_1, M) = b + d + f, \dim \operatorname{Hom}(P_2, M) = b + d + f$ c+d+e. $\dim \operatorname{Hom}(I_3, M) = d + e + f, \dim \operatorname{Hom}(S_2, M) = e, \dim \operatorname{Hom}(S_1, M) = f.$ (c) Given that they have the same dimension vector, the conditions become $e \leq e', f \leq f' \text{ and } d + e + f \leq d' + e' + f'.$ (d) Set $\delta := (e' - e) + (f' - f) + ((d' + e' + f') - (d + e + f)) = (d' - d) + 2(e' - d)$ $e) + 2(f' - f) \ge 0.$ Suppose d > d'. Then (e' - e) + (f' - f) > 0. If f' > f, then we can use $0 \to P_2 \to I_3 \to S_1 \to 0$ to get $M \leq_{\text{ext}} M'$ with $M' \leftrightarrow (a, b, c+1, d-1, e, f+1)$. Now $M' \leq_{\text{hom}} N$, and by induction on δ we know that $M' \leq_{\text{ext}} N$. Similarly if e' > e.

Suppose instead that d < d'. Then b+d+f = b'+d'+f', so b-b' = (d'-d)+(f'-f) > 0, and similarly c - c' > 0. We can then use $0 \to S_3 \to P_1 \oplus P_2 \to I_3 \to 0$ to get $M \leq_{\text{ext}} M'$ with $M' \leftrightarrow (a+1,b-1,c-1,d+1,e,f)$. Now $M' \leq_{\text{hom}} N$, and again by induction on δ we get $M' \leq_{\text{ext}} N$.

Finally, suppose d = d'. Then b - b' = f' - f. If this is positive, then we can use $0 \to S_3 \to P_1 \to S_1 \to 0$ to get $M \leq_{\text{ext}} M'$ with $M' \leftrightarrow (a+1, b-1, c, d, e, f+1)$. Again, $M' \leq_{\text{hom}} N$, so by induction $M' \leq_{\text{hom}} N$. Similarly for c - c' = e' - e. This proves that $M \leq_{\text{hom}} N$ implies $M \leq_{\text{ext}} N$.

Note also that replacing $P_1 \rightsquigarrow S_3 \oplus S_1$ can be regarded as the composition $P_1 \oplus P_3 \rightsquigarrow S_3 \oplus I_3$ with $I_3 \rightsquigarrow P_2 \oplus S_1$. In this sense, the Auslander-Reiten sequences determine the partial order \leq_{hom} .

3. Consider the algebra A = KQ/I given by the quiver

$$2 \xrightarrow{b} 1 \bigcap a$$

and I is the ideal generated by a^2 (c.f. Exercise 6.3 last semester). We know that this algebra is representation finite, having precisely seven indecomposables up to isomorphism. Moreover, there are two non-isomorphic indecomposables of dimension vector $2e_1 + e_2$, namely

$$X: K \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}} K^2_{\nearrow} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad Y: K \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}} K^2_{\nearrow} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Compute $\operatorname{End}(X)$ and $\operatorname{End}(Y)$. This again shows that $X \not\cong Y$. Set

$$X_t: K \xrightarrow{\binom{t}{1}} K^2_{\mathcal{F}} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix} \right)$$

Show that $X_t \cong X$ for all $t \neq 0$. This proves that $X \leq_{\text{deg}} Y$. Explain why $X \not\leq_{\text{ext}} Y$.

Proof. End $(X) \cong K$.

 $\operatorname{End}(Y) \cong K[t]/(t^2)$, consisting of pairs of matrices $\left(p, \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ q & p \end{pmatrix}\right)$.

The pair of matrices $(1, \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix})$ determines a morphism $X \to X_t$. This is an isomorphism for all $t \neq 0$.

Since $X_0 \cong Y$, it follows that $X \leq_{\deg} Y$.

Since Y is indecomposable and not isomorphic to X, we cannot have $X \leq_{\text{ext}} Y$.

4. We introduce a new partial order by saying $M \leq_{v.ext} N$ (virtual extension) provided $M \oplus X \leq_{ext} N \oplus X$ for some finite dimensional module X.

(a) Show that $M \leq_{v.ext} N$ implies $M \leq_{hom} N$.

As in Exercise 7.1, let $A = K[x, y]/(x^2, y^2)$, and let M_t be the twodimensional module where the x and y actions are given by

$$M_t(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and $M_t(y) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ t & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

We have shown that $A \not\leq_{\deg} M_s \oplus M_t$ for some s, t.

(b) Show that we have a short exact sequence (in fact an Auslander-Reiten sequence)

 $0 \rightarrow \operatorname{rad} A \rightarrow A \oplus \operatorname{rad} A / \operatorname{soc} A \rightarrow A / \operatorname{soc} A \rightarrow 0.$

(c) Show that for all s, t we have short exact sequences

 $0 \to M_s \to \operatorname{rad} A \to K \to 0$ and $0 \to K \to A/\operatorname{soc} A \to M_t \to 0$.

(d) Using that $\operatorname{rad} A / \operatorname{soc} A \cong K^2$ deduce that

 $A \oplus K^2 \leq_{\text{ext}} M_s \oplus M_t \oplus K^2 \quad \text{for all } s, t \in K,$

and hence that $A \leq_{v.ext} M_s \oplus M_t$.

Proof. (a) We know that \leq_{ext} implies \leq_{hom} and that \leq_{hom} has cancellation, so $M \oplus X \leq_{\text{hom}} N \oplus X$ implies $M \leq_{\text{hom}} N$.

(b) A is four dimensional and local, with basis 1, x, y, xy. It has radical Ax + Ay, and socle Axy.

We have the natural map $A \oplus \operatorname{rad} A / \operatorname{soc} A \to A / \operatorname{soc} A$, $(a, \overline{b}) \mapsto \overline{a} - \overline{b}$. This is clearly surjective. Its kernel is (a, \overline{a}) for $a \in \operatorname{rad} A$, so is isomorphic to $\operatorname{rad} A$.

(c) The elements sx + y, xy span a submodule of rad A isomorphic to M_s . Similarly, the submodule of $A / \operatorname{soc} A$ spanned by $t\bar{x} - \bar{y}$ has cokernel isomorphic to M_t .

(d) We have $A \oplus K^2 \leq_{\text{ext}} \operatorname{rad} A \oplus \operatorname{soc} A \leq_{\text{ext}} (M_s \oplus K) \oplus (M_t \oplus K)$. Thus $A \leq_{\text{v.ext}} M_s \oplus M_t$ for all $s, t \in K$.

- 5. The dominance order on partitions of n is given by $\lambda \triangleleft \mu$ provided $\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_i \leq \mu_1 + \cdots + \mu_i$ for all i.
- (a) Show that $\lambda \triangleleft \mu$ if and only if $\mu' \triangleleft \lambda'$.

Hint. Suppose that μ is obtained from λ by moving a 'bottom right corner' block to the next available space to the upper left. For example



Show that, taking dual partitions, the inverse move is of the same type, but now from μ' to λ' . As in the lectures, the covers in the dominance order are all of this type.

Let λ and μ be two partitions. Their sum $\lambda + \mu$ is the partition $(\lambda_1 + \mu_1, \lambda_2 + \mu_2, \ldots)$; their cup product $\lambda \cup \mu$ is the partition given by rearranging the parts of λ and μ into decreasing order.

(b) Show that $\lambda' + \mu' = (\lambda \cup \mu)'$.

Recall that for each partition λ we have a nilpotent K[t]-module $M(\lambda)$, having Jordan blocks of sizes λ'_i . In particular, M(d) is semisimple, and $M(1^d)$ is indecomposable.

- (c) Show that $M(\lambda) \oplus M(\mu) \cong M(\lambda + \mu)$.
- (d) Let $U \leq M(\lambda)$ be a submodule such that dim soc $U \leq r$. Show that dim $U \leq \lambda'_1 + \cdots + \lambda'_r$.

Hint. Show that dim $\operatorname{soc}(U/\operatorname{soc} U) \leq r$. Show that $M(\lambda)/\operatorname{soc} M(\lambda) \cong M(\lambda_{\geq 2})$, where $\lambda_{\geq 2} = (\lambda_2, \lambda_3, \ldots)$. Now use $U/\operatorname{soc} U \leq M(\lambda_{\geq 2})$ and induction.

- (e) Deduce that $\lambda'_1 + \cdots + \lambda'_r$ is the maximum dimension of a submodule $U \leq M(\lambda)$ having dim soc $U \leq r$.
- (f) Suppose now that we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \to M(\lambda) \to M(\xi) \to M(\mu) \to 0$$

Use the previous part to deduce that $\xi' \triangleleft \lambda' + \mu'$, and hence that $\lambda \cup \mu \triangleleft \xi$.

Proof. (a) This is clear. (The hard part is showing that the dominance order is generated by such moves.)

(b) The number λ'_i is the length of the *i*-th column of λ . The cup product $\lambda \cup \mu$ is formed by placing λ on top of μ , and then rearranging rows so that we get a partition. It is thus clear that $(\lambda \cup \mu)'_i = \lambda'_i + \mu'_i$.

(c) $M(\lambda) \oplus M(\mu)$ has Jordan blocks given by the entries of $\lambda' \cup \mu' = (\lambda + \mu)'$, and hence is isomorphic to $M(\lambda + \mu)$.

(d) We know that K[T]-modules are uniserial, so dim soc U is precisely the number of indecomposable summands, and hence dim soc $(U / \text{soc } U) \leq \dim \text{soc } U$.

dim soc $M(\lambda)$ is the number of Jordan blocks, which is λ_1 . In the quotient $M(\lambda / \operatorname{soc} M(\lambda))$, the size of each Jordan block decreases by one. Thus this quotient is isomorphic to $M(\lambda_{\geq 2})$.

 $\operatorname{soc} U = U \cap \operatorname{soc} M(\lambda)$, so $U/\operatorname{soc} U \leq M(\lambda_{\geq 2})$. By induction on λ we have $\dim U/\operatorname{soc} U \leq (\lambda'_1 - 1) + \cdots + (\lambda'_r - 1)$, so $\dim U \leq r + \dim U/\operatorname{soc} U \leq \lambda'_1 + \cdots + \lambda'_r$.

(e) There is a submodule $U \leq M(\lambda)$ given by the largest r Jordan blocks. This has socle of dimension r, and total dimension $\lambda'_1 + \cdots + \lambda'_r$.

(f) Take $U \leq M(\xi)$ given by the largest r Jordan blocks. Then $U' := U \cap M(\lambda)$ has socle of dimension at most r, and the image U'' of U in $M(\mu)$ also has at most r summands, so its socle is again of dimension at most r since it is uniserial. Now dim $U = \dim U' + \dim U''$, so by the previous part we have

$$\xi_1' + \dots + \xi_r' \le (\lambda_1' + \dots + \lambda_r') + (\mu_1' + \dots + \mu_r').$$

This holds for all r, so $\xi' \triangleleft \lambda' + \mu'$. Taking duals gives $\lambda \cup \mu \triangleleft \xi$.