

1. By the axiom of choice  $\underline{\mathcal{M}} = \prod_{i \in I} \underline{\mathcal{M}}_i$  is non-empty such that  $\mathcal{M}$  is well-defined.

(a) For tuples  $\vec{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  of distinct variable symbols in  $S_V$  and  $\vec{a} = (a^1, \dots, a^n)$  of elements in  $\underline{\mathcal{M}}$  we easily get by structural induction for all  $S$ -terms  $t$

$$(\boxtimes) \quad t^{\mathcal{M}_{\vec{a}}} = \left( t^{(\mathcal{M}_i)_{\vec{a}_i}} \right)_{i \in I}$$

where  $\vec{a}_i = (a_i^1, \dots, a_i^n)$ . We also get by structural induction for all  $S$ -formulas  $\pi$

$$(\star) \quad \pi^{\mathcal{M}_{\vec{a}}} = \left( \pi^{(\mathcal{M}_i)_{\vec{a}_i}} \right)_{i \in I}.$$

In particular,  $\pi^{\mathcal{M}} = \left( \pi^{\mathcal{M}_i} \right)_{i \in I}$ . Therefore, to obtain Łoś Theorem it suffices to show that  $\mathcal{M}$  has witnesses since this will imply  $\mathcal{M}/\mathfrak{m} \models \pi \Leftrightarrow \pi^{\mathcal{M}} \notin \mathfrak{m}$  by Lemma 1.3.9. To do this, assume  $\pi = \bigwedge_x \varphi$ . Since all  $\mathcal{M}_i$  have witnesses, there exists  $b \in \underline{\mathcal{M}}$  with

$$\pi^{\mathcal{M}_{\vec{a}}} = \left( \varphi^{(\mathcal{M}_i)_{(\vec{a}_i, b_i)}} \right)_{i \in I} = \varphi^{\mathcal{M}_{(\vec{a}, b)}}$$

which finishes the proof.

We'll need for (b) the following fact:

**Lemma.** *Every subset  $Y$  of a boolean ring  $R$  with the finite-join property, i.e. with  $y_1 \vee \dots \vee y_n \neq 1$  for all  $y_1, \dots, y_n \in Y$ , is contained in a maximal ideal of  $R$ .*

*Proof.* From Exercise 1.1.5 (xii) and Lemma 1.1.4 it follows that the ideal generated by  $Y$  is  $\{z \in R : z \leq y_1 \vee \dots \vee y_n \text{ for some } y_1, \dots, y_n \in Y\}$ . The finite-join property guarantees that it is proper and therefore contained in a maximal ideal.  $\square$

(b) The “only if” part is obvious. So let's assume all finite subsets of  $T$  are satisfiable.

Let  $I$  be the set of finite subsets of  $T$  and consider  $\mathcal{M} = \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{M}_i$  with unassigned models  $\mathcal{M}_i$  of  $i \in I$ , which exist by assumption.

Let  $i^* \in \mathcal{R}^{\mathcal{M}} = {}^I \mathbb{F}_2$  be the characteristic function of  $\{k \in I : i \subseteq k\}$  and let  $i_* = \neg i^*$ . The subset  $I_* = \{i_* : i \in I\}$  in  $R^{\mathcal{M}}$  has the finite-join property, since  $i \cup j \in I$  is not in the support of  $i_* \vee j_* = (i \cup j)_*$  for all  $i, j \in I$ . By the lemma there is a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  in  $R^{\mathcal{M}}$  that contains  $I_*$ . Then  $i^* \notin \mathfrak{m}$  for all  $i \in I$  by Lemma 1.1.4.

Given that  $\mathcal{M}_i \models i$ , we then have  $\{\pi\}^* \leq \left( \pi^{\mathcal{M}_i} \right)_{i \in I}$  for every  $\pi \in T$ , so  $\left( \pi^{\mathcal{M}_i} \right)_{i \in I} \notin \mathfrak{m}$  since  $\{\pi\}^* \notin \mathfrak{m}$  and  $\mathfrak{m}$  is downward closed. Thus  $\mathcal{M}/\mathfrak{m} \models T$  by (a).

(c) We have the following chain of equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} T \not\models \varphi &\Leftrightarrow T \cup \{\neg \varphi\} \text{ satisfiable} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \text{for all finite subsets } T' \text{ of } T: T' \cup \{\neg \varphi\} \text{ satisfiable} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \text{for all finite subsets } T' \text{ of } T: T' \not\models \varphi \end{aligned}$$

where the middle one due to (b).

2. Without loss of generality we can assume that  $X$  contains no symbol of  $S$ . Let  $S'$  be the vocabulary agreeing with  $S$  except that  $S'_C = S_C \cup X$  and  $T' = T \cup T_X$  with

$$T_X = \{x \neq y : x, y \in X, x \neq y\}.$$

Every finite subset  $T''$  of  $T'$  has a model: Indeed, let  $X''$  be the subset of  $X$  consisting of the symbols that occur in the sentences in  $T'' \cap T_X$ . Given an infinite  $\equiv$ -respecting model  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $T$ , we can choose an injective function  $f: X'' \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{M}}$  and extend  $\mathcal{M}$  to an  $S'$ -structure  $\mathcal{M}''$  with  $x^{\mathcal{M}''} = f(x)$  for  $x \in X''$ , which will then be a model of  $T''$ .

Hence, by the Compactness Theorem  $T'$  admits a model and then by Lemma 1.4.2 even a model  $\mathcal{M}'$  that respects  $\equiv$ . Because of  $T' \supseteq T$  it is clear that  $\mathcal{M}'$  is a model of  $T$  and because of  $T' \supseteq T_X$  the rule  $x \mapsto x^{\mathcal{M}'}$  yields an embedding  $X \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{M}'}$ .

3. (a) Let  $\text{Th}(\mathbb{Z})$  be the set of  $S^{\text{Ring}}$ -sentences  $\pi$  with  $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}} \models \pi$  and  $S'$  be a vocabulary agreeing with  $S^{\text{Ring}}$  except that  $S'_C \setminus S_C^{\text{Ring}} = \{c\}$  where  $c$  is not a symbol of  $S$ .

Let  $[1] = 1$  and  $[n] = [n-1] \oplus 1$  for  $n > 1$ . Define  $T = \text{Th}(\mathbb{Z}) \cup T_c$  with

$$T_c = \{c \neq 0\} \cup \{\varphi_n = \bigvee_z ([1] \odot \cdots \odot [n] \odot z) \equiv c : n \in \mathbb{N}_+\}.$$

Every finite subset  $T'$  of  $T$  admits a model: Indeed, let  $m = \max\{n \in \mathbb{N}_+ : \varphi_n \in T'\}$ . Then  $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}$  can be extended to an  $S'$ -structure  $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}'$  with  $c^{\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}'} = m!$ , which is a model of  $T'$ .

Due to the Compactness Theorem the  $S'$ -theory  $T$  admits model  $\mathcal{R}$ , which can be assumed to respect  $\equiv$  by Lemma 1.4.2. Since  $\text{Th}(\mathbb{Z})$  contains  $S^{\text{Ring}}$ -sentences characterizing commutative integral domains,  $\mathcal{R}$  yields a commutative integral domain  $R = \underline{\mathcal{R}}$  with  $+ = \oplus^{\mathcal{R}}$ ,  $\cdot = \odot^{\mathcal{R}}$  and  $\tilde{R} = \mathcal{R} \models \pi$  for all  $S^{\text{Ring}}$ -sentences  $\pi$  with  $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}} \models \pi$ .

Let  $x = c^{\tilde{R}}$ . Then  $x \neq 0$  because of  $\mathcal{R} \models c \neq 0$  and for  $n > 1$  there are  $x_n \in R \setminus \{0\}$  with  $n! \cdot x_n = x$  because of  $\mathcal{R} \models \varphi_n$ . Hence, canceling in

$$(n-1)! \cdot x_{n-1} = x = n! \cdot x_n$$

gives  $x_{n-1} = n \cdot x_n$  such that with  $I_n = (x_n)$  we get an increasing chain of ideals

$$I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq \cdots$$

To prove that  $R$  is non-noetherian it is enough to check that all these inclusions are proper. If this were not the case, say  $I_{n-1} = I_n$ , then there would be a  $y \in R$  with

$$x_n = y \cdot x_{n-1} = y \cdot n \cdot x_n,$$

so  $1 = y \cdot n$  in contradiction with  $\bigwedge_u 1 \neq (u \odot [n]) \in \text{Th}(\mathbb{Z})$  for  $n > 1$ .

(b) If there were an  $S^{\text{Ring}}$ -sentence  $\varphi$  characterizing noetherianity, then  $\varphi \in \text{Th}(\mathbb{Z})$  and the non-noetherian ring  $R$  in (a) would yield the contradiction  $\tilde{R} \models \varphi$ .

4. It's easy to see that there is an  $S^{\text{Ring}}$ -theory  $\text{ACF} \supseteq \text{CRT}$  such that rings  $R$  satisfy  $\tilde{R} \models \text{ACF}$  iff they are algebraically closed fields. Then the  $\equiv$ -respecting models of

$$\text{ACF}_0 = \text{ACF} \cup \{\psi_n = [n] \neq 0 : n \in \mathbb{N}_+\}$$

correspond to algebraically closed fields of characteristic 0.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): By the assumption we obtain  $\text{ACF}_0 \models \varphi$  and by Exercise 1 (c) there is a finite subset  $T'$  of  $\text{ACF}_0$  with  $T' \models \varphi$ . But then every algebraically closed field  $K$  of characteristic greater than  $\max\{n \in \mathbb{N}_+ : \psi_n \in T'\}$  satisfies  $\tilde{K} \models T'$ , so  $\tilde{K} \models \varphi$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Let  $\mathcal{M} = \prod_{i \in I} \tilde{K}_i$  where  $I$  and  $K_i$  are chosen as indicated in the hint.

The characteristic functions of the finite subsets of  $I$  form an ideal in the boolean ring  $R^{\mathcal{M}} = {}^I \mathbb{F}_2$  by Lemma 1.1.4. Since  $I$  is infinite, this ideal is proper and thus contained in a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $R^{\mathcal{M}}$ .

Define  $\mathcal{K} = (\mathcal{M}/\mathfrak{m})/\equiv$ . Then we have by Lemma 1.4.2 and Exercise 1 (a)

$$\mathcal{K} \models \pi \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{M}/\mathfrak{m} \models \pi \Leftrightarrow (\pi^{\tilde{K}_i})_{i \in I} \notin \mathfrak{m}$$

for all  $S^{\text{Ring}}$ -sentences  $\pi$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{K} \models \text{ACF} \cup \{\varphi\}$  because of  $\tilde{K}_i \models \text{ACF} \cup \{\varphi\}$  for all  $i \in I$ . Consequently,  $\mathcal{K} = \tilde{K}$  for an algebraically closed field  $K$ .

To conclude the proof it suffices to show that  $K$  has characteristic 0, i.e. for every prime  $p$  we must show  $\mathcal{K} \not\models [p] \equiv 0$ , which is equivalent to  $x_p = (([p] \equiv 0)^{\tilde{K}_i})_{i \in I} \in \mathfrak{m}$ . But this is true, since  $x_p = 0 \in \mathfrak{m}$  if  $p \notin I$  and  $x_p = \chi_p \in \mathfrak{m}$  if  $p \in I$ .