## QUASI-PROJECTIVE VARIETIES ARE QUIVER GRASSMANNIANS FOR EXACT CATEGORIES

Projective varieties are quiver Grassmannians in several ways as shown in Reineke [2] with a precursor already in [1] and further variants in [3], [4]. We slightly modify Reineke's construction to show that every quasi-projective variety (i.e. finite union of principal opens) is a quiver Grassmannian for an exact category.
We start recalling Reineke's isomorphism and first look at a single principle open subset of a projective variety. Let $K$ be an algebraically closed field. We consider $X=\operatorname{Proj}(\mathrm{R})$ with $R=K\left[T_{0}, \ldots, T_{n}\right] /\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{t}\right), f_{i}$ homogeneous polynomials of degree $d_{i}>0,1 \leq i \leq t$. Let $f$ be another homogeneous polynomial such that $\bar{f} \in R$ has a positive degree $d_{f}>0$ - in particular $f$ is not a scalar multiple of any $f_{i}$, $1 \leq i \leq t$. Then the principal open subset $D_{+}(\bar{f}) \subset X$ equals $\left\{x \in \mathbb{P}^{n}(K) \mid f_{i}(x)=\right.$ $0,1 \leq i \leq t, f(x) \neq 0\}$.
(1) We may assume that $d:=d_{i}=d_{j}=d_{f}$ for all $i \neq j$. Otherwise, take $\ell=\operatorname{lcm}\left(\mathrm{d}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{t}}, \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$ and replace $f_{i}$ by $f_{i}^{\frac{\ell}{d_{i}}}$ and $f$ by $f^{\frac{\ell}{d_{f}}}$. This does not change the variety, nor the open subset.
(2) We use the $d$-uple embedding of $\mathbb{P}^{n}$. More precisely: Let
$M_{n, d}:=\left\{\left(m_{0}, \ldots, m_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n+1} \mid \sum_{j=0}^{n} m_{j}=d\right\}, M:=\left|M_{n, d}\right|$ and $N:=$ $\left|M_{n, d-1}\right|$ the cardinalities. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& j: \mathbb{P}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{M-1}, \quad\left[x_{0}: \ldots: x_{n}\right] \mapsto\left[\cdots: x_{m}: \cdots\right]_{m \in M_{n, d}}, \\
& \quad \text { where } \quad x_{m}=x_{0}^{m_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{m_{n}} \text {, for } m=\left(m_{0}, \ldots, m_{n}\right) \in M_{n, d}
\end{aligned}
$$

This is a closed embedding called the $d$-uple embedding.
Now, we consider the quiver $Q=\left(Q_{0}, Q_{1}\right)$ with three vertices $1,2,3$ and $n+1$ arrows from 2 to 3 and $t$ arrows from 2 to 1 . We define the following $Q$-representations: We denote by $v_{m}, m \in M_{n, d}$ a vector space basis for $K^{M_{n, d}}$ (which is the set of all maps from $M_{n, d} \rightarrow K$, given a vector space structure with the pointwise addition and scalar multiplication). Let $f_{j}=\sum_{m \in M_{n, d}} a_{m}^{(j)} T^{m}, f=\sum_{m \in M_{n, d}} a_{m} T^{m}$, we denote by $\varphi_{j}$ resp. $\varphi$ the linear map $K^{M_{n, d}} \rightarrow K$ sending $v_{m} \mapsto a_{m}^{(j)}$ resp to $a_{m}$.
Let $V$ be the $Q$-representation with $V_{1}=K, V_{2}=K^{M_{n, d}}, V_{3}=K^{M_{n, d-1}}$ and linear maps $\varphi_{j}: V_{2} \rightarrow V_{1}, 1 \leq j \leq t, g_{i}: V_{2} \rightarrow V_{3}, 0 \leq j \leq n$ defined by $g_{i}\left(v_{m}\right):=v_{m-e_{i}}$ if $m_{i}>0$ and $g_{i}\left(v_{m}\right)=0$ if $m_{i}=0$.
Then [2] it has been shown that $j(X)$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Gr}_{Q}(V,(0,1,1))$.
We are going to extend this quiver to a quiver $\Sigma$ with vertices $\{1,2,3,4,5\}$

with $t$ arrows from 2 to 1 one arrow $\iota: 2 \rightarrow 4$ and one $\iota^{\prime}: 3 \rightarrow 5, n+1$ arrows $\alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ from 2 to 3 and $n+1$ arrows $\beta_{0}, \ldots, \beta_{n}$ from 4 to 5 . Additionally
we impose the commutativity relations $I=\left(\alpha_{u} \circ \iota-\iota^{\prime} \circ \beta_{u}, 0 \leq u \leq n\right)$. Let $\Lambda=K \Sigma / I$. We extend the $Q$-representation $V$ to a $\Lambda$-module $\mathbb{V}$ by imposing that at arrow $\iota$ and arrow $\iota^{\prime}$ have to be the identity map, then we have $\underline{\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{V}}=(1, M, N, M, N)$ (here dimension vector $\underline{\operatorname{dim}} L=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{5}\right)$ means $\left.d_{i}=\operatorname{dim}_{K} L_{i}\right)$. Observe that every $K Q \otimes K \mathbb{A}_{2}$-module, i.e. a $K Q$ module morphism $a: U \rightarrow V$, restricts to a $\Lambda$-module $e(a)$ when we leave out the vector space $V_{1}$ and the maps to it. Now let $d=(0,1,1, M, N)$ be a dimension vector, then it is straight forward to see that we get an isomorphism of varieties:

$$
\operatorname{Gr}_{Q}(V,(0,1,1)) \rightarrow \operatorname{Gr}_{\Lambda}(\mathbb{V}, d)
$$

which sends $i: U \subset V$ to $e(i) \subset \mathbb{V}$ and conversely, just restricts the inclusions to the vertices $1,2,3$, call this $i: U \rightarrow V$. Then the inclusion $i_{2}$ of $i$ at vertex 2 has the same image as $e(i) \subset \mathbb{V}$ at vertex 2 . This is a very silly map but it ensures that different point $x \neq x^{\prime}$ in $X$ correspond to non-isomorphic $\Lambda$-modules $U_{x}$ and $U_{x^{\prime}}$.
Now let $\mathbb{V}_{f}$ be the $\Lambda$-module with underlying vector space as $\mathbb{V}$ and the restriction to the subquiver given by $2,3,4,5$ is the same as for $\mathbb{V}$ but with all the linear maps $V_{2} \rightarrow V_{1}$ are all chosen to be $\varphi$.
We claim:
Lemma 0.1. (i) $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}\left(\mathbb{V}, \mathbb{V}_{f}\right)=0=\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}\left(\mathbb{V}_{f}, \mathbb{V}\right)$ and $\operatorname{End}_{\Lambda}\left(\mathbb{V}_{f}\right)=K=$ $\operatorname{End}_{\Lambda}(\mathbb{V})$.
(ii) If $U_{x}=e(i) \in \operatorname{Gr}_{\Lambda}(\mathbb{V}, d)$ such that $i: U \subset V$ corresponds under Reinekes isomorphism to $x \in X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n}(K)$ (i.e. $j(x)=\operatorname{Im} i_{2}$ ), then:
$\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}\left(U_{x}, \mathbb{V}_{f}\right)=0$ iff $f(x) \neq 0$.
Proof. (i) It is clear that we may restrict to the full subquiver $Q$ at vertices $1,2,3$ since for these modules at arrow $i$ and $j$ we have the identity. We look at the full subquiver $Q^{\prime}$ given by the vertices $\{2,3\}$. The restricted representation $V^{\prime}$ onto this subquiver is for $\mathbb{V}$ and $\mathbb{V}_{f}$ the same. In the last paragraph of loc. cit it is shown that $\operatorname{End}_{K Q^{\prime}}\left(V^{\prime}\right)=K$, more precisely every endomorphism consists of a pair of linear maps $\psi_{2}: V_{2} \rightarrow$ $V_{2}, \psi_{3}: V_{3} \rightarrow V_{3}$ with $\psi_{2}=C \mathrm{id}_{V_{2}}$ for a $C \in K$ and $\psi_{3}$ is determined by $\psi_{2}$. Now, since $f$ is not a scalar multiple of any of the $f_{i}$, the rest of the claim is clear from the definitions.
(ii) $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}\left(U_{x}, \mathbb{V}_{f}\right) \neq 0$ is equivalent to $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}\left(U_{x}, \mathbb{V}_{f}\right)=0$ (using [2], last paragraph). This is equivalent to that there is a monomorphism $U_{x} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{V}_{f}$ and this is equivalent to $U_{x} \subset \mathbb{V}_{f}$. The last statement is by the argument used for Reineke's isomorphism equivalent to $f(x)=0$.

Now let $\mathcal{E} \subset \Lambda-\bmod$ be the extension-closed subcategory consisting of all modules $L$ such that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}\left(L, \mathbb{V}_{f}\right)=0$. Observe that given a short exact sequence of $\Lambda$-modules $0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow V \rightarrow W \rightarrow 0$, with $U, V$ in $\mathcal{E}$, it follows that $W$ is in $\mathcal{E}$.
From the previous Lemma it follows directly:
Theorem 0.2. The isomorphism $\operatorname{Gr}_{\Lambda}(\mathbb{V}, d) \rightarrow j(X) \cong X,(i: U \subset \mathbb{V}) \mapsto$ $\operatorname{Im} i_{2}$, restricts to an isomorphism of open subsets $\operatorname{Gr}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{V}, d) \rightarrow D_{+}(\bar{f})$ where $\mathcal{E}$ is the exact category defined before.

In this second part, we look at a finite union of principal open subsets $D_{+}\left(\bar{h}_{1}\right) \cup \cdots \cup D_{+}\left(\bar{h}_{s}\right)$ inside the projective variety $X=V_{+}\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{t}\right)$. Let $\mathcal{E}_{i}$ be the full subcategory of $\Lambda-\bmod$ of objects $L$ such that $\operatorname{Hom}\left(L, \mathbb{V}_{h_{i}}\right)=0$. In this situation, we define $\mathcal{E}$ to be the full subcategory of $\Lambda-\bmod$ all objects $L$ such that there exists a filtration

$$
0=L_{0} \subset L_{1} \subset \cdots \subset L_{r}=L
$$

such that $L_{i} / L_{i-1} \in \mathcal{E}_{j_{i}}$ for some $j_{i} \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$.
Lemma 0.3. $\mathcal{E}$ is an extension-closed subcategory of $\Lambda-\bmod$.
Proof. Denote by Filt ${ }^{\mathrm{r}}$ the subcategory of modules $L$ admitting a filtration as above with $L_{r}=L$. Given a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$ with $A \in \mathcal{E}$ and $L \in$ Filt $^{r}$ one pulls back the short exact sequence along $L_{r-1} \rightarrow L$ to a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B^{\prime} \rightarrow L_{r-1} \rightarrow 0$. Inductively, one concludes that $B^{\prime} \in \mathcal{E}$. On the other hand the pullback induces an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow B^{\prime} \rightarrow B \rightarrow L / L_{r-1} \rightarrow 0$ and therefore $B \in \mathcal{E}$.

Lemma 0.4. $\operatorname{Gr}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{V}, d)=\operatorname{Gr}_{\mathcal{E}_{1}}(\mathbb{V}, d) \cup \cdots \cup \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{E}_{s}}(\mathbb{V}, d)$. In particular, this is an open subscheme of $\operatorname{Gr}_{\Lambda}(\mathbb{V}, d)$.

Proof. Clearly we have the right hand side is a subset of $\operatorname{Gr}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{V}, d)$. For the other inclusion we look at a submodule $U$ of $\mathbb{V}$ of dimension vector $d$ in $\mathcal{E}$. If $U$ is in none of the $\mathcal{E}_{i}$, then there exists non-zero morphisms $U \rightarrow \mathbb{V}_{h_{i}}$ for every $i$. In this case, since $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}\left(U, \mathbb{V}_{h_{i}}\right)=K$ it follows by the same argument used before that there is a monomorphism $U \rightarrow \mathbb{V}_{h_{i}}$ for every $i$. This implies that for every $i$ every non-zero submodule of $U$ is also not in $\mathcal{E}_{i}$ contradicting $U \in \mathcal{E}$.

As a corollary we obtain.
Theorem 0.5. The isomorphism $\operatorname{Gr}_{\Lambda}(\mathbb{V}, d) \rightarrow j(X) \cong X,(i: U \subset \mathbb{V}) \mapsto$ $\operatorname{Im} i_{2}$, restricts to an isomorphism of open subsets $\operatorname{Gr}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{V}, d) \rightarrow \bigcup_{a=1}^{s} D_{+}\left(\bar{h}_{a}\right)$ where $\mathcal{E}$ is the exact category as before. In particular, every quasi-projective variety is a quiver Grassmannian for an exact category.

But of course there are deep open questions: For which exact categories and dimension vectors and objects is a quiver Grassmannian(-functor) representable by a scheme (or variety)? For example, looking at the exact category $\mathcal{E}$ as before, do quiver Grassmannian for all dimension vectors and objects exist?
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