

## Probability Theory III - Homework Assignment 9

Due date: **Friday, January 9, 12:00 h**

*Solutions to the assigned homework problems must be deposited in Christian Wiesel's drop box 55 located in V3-128 no later than 12:00 h on the due date. Homework solutions must be completely legible, on A4 paper, in the correct order and stapled, with your name neatly written on the first page.*

### Exercise 9.I [4 pts]

Show that

a) the one-dim. stochastic differential equation

$$dX_t = -\operatorname{sgn}(X_t) dt + dW_t, \quad X_0 = 0,$$

has a weak solution which is unique in the sense of probability law holds.

b) if  $(X, W), (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, Q), \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_t$  is a solution considered in a) and  $L_t(0)$  is the local time at the origin for the Brownian family  $\{(X_t)_t, \{\mathbb{P}^x\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}}\}$  on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ , adapted on a filtration  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_t$ , then

$$Q\{X_t \in \Gamma\} = e^{-t/2} \mathbb{E}^0 \left[ \mathbb{1}_{\{X_t \in \Gamma\}} e^{-|X_t| + L_t(0)} \right], \quad \text{for } \Gamma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}).$$

### Exercise 9.II [4 pts]

Consider following  $d$ -dim. stochastic differential equation

$$dX_t = b(X_t, t) dt + \sigma(X_t, t) dW_t, \tag{1}$$

with  $\sigma(x, t)$  a nonsingular  $(d \times d)$ -matrix for every  $t \geq 0$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Assume that  $b(x, t)$  is uniformly bounded, the smallest eigenvalue of  $\sigma(x, t)\sigma(x, t)^T$  is bounded away from zero, and the equation

$$d\tilde{X}_t = \sigma(\tilde{X}_t, t) dW_t, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq T,$$

has a weak solution with initial distribution  $\mu$ . Show that (1) also has a weak solution for  $0 \leq t \leq T$  with initial distribution  $\mu$ .

### Exercise 9.III [4 pts]

Prove the following claim. For fixed  $t \geq 0$  and  $F \in \mathcal{B}_t(\mathcal{C}[0, \infty)^d)$ , the mapping  $(x, \omega) \mapsto Q_j^t(x, \omega; F)$  is  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_t$ -measurable, where  $\{\hat{\mathcal{B}}_t\}_t$  is the augmentation of the filtration  $\{\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d) \otimes \mathcal{B}_t(\mathcal{C}[0, \infty)^r)\}_t$  by the null sets of  $\mu(dx) \mathbb{P}_*(d\omega)$ .

*Hint: Consider the regular conditional probabilities  $Q_j^t(x, \omega; F): \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{C}[0, \infty)^r \times \mathcal{B}_t(\mathcal{C}[0, \infty)^d) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  for  $\mathcal{B}_t(\mathcal{C}[0, \infty)^d)$ , given  $(x, \varphi_t \omega)$ . These enjoy properties analogous to those of  $Q_j(x, \omega; F)$ ; in particular, for every  $F \in \mathcal{B}_t(\mathcal{C}[0, \infty)^d)$ , the mapping  $(x, \omega) \mapsto Q_j^t(x, \omega; F)$  is  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d) \otimes \mathcal{B}_t(\mathcal{C}[0, \infty)^r)$ -measurable, and*

$$\mathbb{P}_j(G \times F) = \int_G Q_j^t(x, \omega; F) \mu(dx) \mathbb{P}_*(d\omega) \tag{2}$$

for every  $G \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d) \otimes \mathcal{B}_t(\mathcal{C}[0, \infty)^r)$ . If (2) is shown to be valid for every  $G \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{C}[0, \infty)^r)$ , then comparison of (2) with

$$\forall F \in \mathcal{B}_t(\mathcal{C}[0, \infty)^d) : (x, \omega) \mapsto Q_j(x, \omega; F) \text{ is } \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d) \otimes \mathcal{B}_t(\mathcal{C}[0, \infty)^r)\text{-measurable}$$

shows that  $Q_j(x, \omega; F) = Q_j^t(x, \omega; F)$  for  $\mu \times \mathbb{P}_*$ -a.e.  $(x, \omega)$ , and the conclusion follows. Establish (2), first for sets of the form

$$G = G_1 \times (\varphi_t^{-1}G_2 \cap \sigma_t^{-1}G_3), \quad \text{for } G_1 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad G_2, G_3 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{C}[0, \infty)^r),$$

where  $(\sigma_t \omega)(s) := \omega(t + s) - \omega(t)$ ,  $s \geq 0$ , and then in the generality required.

### Exercise 9.IV

Prepare a mini-presentation for the tutorial on Wednesday, January 14, on weak solutions to functional stochastic differential equations.

Suppose the following definition is known:

**Definition:** Let  $b_i(y, t)$  and  $\sigma_{ij}(y, t)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq d$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq r$ , be progressively measurable functionals from  $\mathcal{C}[0, \infty)^d \times [0, \infty)$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ . A weak solution to the functional stochastic differential equation

$$dX_t = b(X, t) dt + \sigma(X, t) dW_t, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t < \infty, \quad (3)$$

is a triple  $(X, W)$ ,  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ ,  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_t$  satisfying

- i)  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  is a probability space, and  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_t$  is a filtration of sub- $\sigma$ -fields of  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfying the usual conditions;
- ii)  $X = (X_t)_t$  is a continuous,  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_t$ -adapted,  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued process, and  $W = (W_t)_t$  is an  $r$ -dim.,  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_t$ -adapted Brownian motion
- iii)  $\int_0^t \{|b_i(X, s)| + \sigma_{ij}^2(X, s)\} ds < \infty$ , for  $t \geq 0$  and  $1 \leq i \leq d$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq r$ ;
- iv)  $X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t b(X, s) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(X, s) dW_s$ , for  $0 \leq t < \infty$  a.s.

Assume  $b_i(y, t)$  and  $\sigma_{ij}(y, t)$  ( $1 \leq i \leq d$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq r$ ) are progressively measurable functionals from  $\mathcal{C}[0, \infty)^d \times [0, \infty)$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  satisfying

$$\|b(y, t)\|^2 + \|\sigma(y, t)\|^2 \leq K \left(1 + \max_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|y(s)\|^2\right) \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq t < \infty, \quad y \in \mathcal{C}[0, \infty)^d,$$

where  $K$  is a positive constant. Show that, if  $(X, W)$ ,  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ ,  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_t$  is a weak solution to (3) with  $\mathbb{E} \|X_0\|^{2m} < \infty$  for some  $m \geq 1$ , then for any finite  $T > 0$ , we have

- i)  $\mathbb{E} \left[ \max_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|X_s\|^{2m} \right] \leq C \left(1 + \mathbb{E} \|X_0\|^{2m}\right) e^{Ct}$ , for  $0 \leq t \leq T$ ;
- ii)  $\mathbb{E} \|X_t - X_s\|^{2m} \leq C \left(1 + \mathbb{E} \|X_0\|^{2m}\right) (t - s)^m$ , for  $0 \leq s < t \leq T$ ;

where  $C$  is a positive constant depending only on  $m$ ,  $T$ ,  $K$  and  $d$ .