

# NOTES ON FREE ALTERNATIVE ALGEBRAS

MARKUS ROST

## Summary

We compute the free alternative algebra up to degree 4.

## Introduction

Let  $R$  be a ground ring (associative, commutative and unital) and let  $V$  be a  $R$ -module.

Consider the universal object for  $R$ -linear morphisms  $V \rightarrow A$  to  $R$ -algebras of some type. The algebra types to be considered are associative commutative, associative non-commutative, non-associative, alternative, respectively. We assume unitality. (The prefix “non-” stands for “not required”.)

In the first resp. second case the universal algebra is the symmetric resp. tensor algebra of  $V$ :

$$\begin{aligned} S^\bullet V &= R \oplus V \oplus S^2 V \oplus S^3 V \oplus \dots \\ T^\bullet V &= R \oplus V \oplus V^{\otimes 2} \oplus V^{\otimes 3} \oplus \dots \end{aligned}$$

Next consider the case of non-associative algebras.

If  $S$  is a set and  $V$  is the free  $R$ -module with basis  $S$ , the universal object is the free  $R$ -algebra on  $S$  together with an extra term  $1 \cdot R$  since we assume unitality. See Serre [6, Chap. IV, Free Lie Algebras, p. 18], Bourbaki [1, Chap. II, Algèbres de Lie libre].

More generally, for any  $R$ -module  $V$  there exists the universal  $R$ -linear morphism to (unital) non-associative  $R$ -algebras. (This is straightforward, but I don't know a reference.) The corresponding universal algebra looks as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} M^\bullet V &= M^0 V \oplus M^1 V \oplus M^2 V \oplus M^3 V \oplus \dots \\ &= R \oplus V \oplus [V \otimes V] \oplus M^3 V \oplus \dots \\ M^3 V &= [(V \otimes V) \otimes V] \oplus [V \otimes (V \otimes V)] \\ M^4 V &= [(V^{\otimes 2} \otimes V) \otimes V] \oplus [(V \otimes V^{\otimes 2}) \otimes V] \\ &\quad \oplus [V \otimes (V^{\otimes 2} \otimes V)] \oplus [V \otimes (V \otimes V^{\otimes 2})] \\ &\quad \oplus [V^{\otimes 2} \otimes V^{\otimes 2}] \\ M^n V &= [V^{\otimes n}]^{\oplus C_n} \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_n$  is the number of parenthesized expressions of length  $n$  (the Catalan number) with first values 1, 1, 2, 5, 14, 42. (The parentheses indicate the product in  $M^\bullet$ , the square brackets are added for readability.)

The summands of  $M^n V$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) are parameterized by the elements of the free magma  $X(\{*\})$  on one element,

$$\begin{aligned} & *, **, (**)*, *(**), \\ & (((**)*)*, (*(**))* , *((**)*), *((**)), (**)(**), \\ & (((**)*)*)* , \dots \end{aligned}$$

and one has

$$M^n V = \mathbf{Z}[X_n] \otimes V^{\otimes n}$$

where  $X_n$  is the subset of elements of length  $n$  of  $X(\{*\})$ .

Let

$$\sigma: X(\{*\}) \rightarrow (X(\{*\}))^{\text{op}}$$

be the op-involution, the unique magma homomorphism with  $* \mapsto *$ . It can be described as a nested transpose. Examples are  $*(**) \leftrightarrow (**)*$ ,  $((**)*)* \leftrightarrow *((**))$ .

Extended by the identity maps on  $V^{\otimes n}$ , it yields a module automorphism

$$\sigma: M^\bullet V \rightarrow M^\bullet V$$

We call this map the *paren involution* (or is there another name in the literature?). Thus the paren involution just permutes the  $C_n$  components of  $M^n V$ .

The op-involution on  $M^\bullet$ ,

$$\iota: M^\bullet V \rightarrow (M^\bullet V)^{\text{op}}$$

is the algebra homomorphism defined by the universal property extending the identity on  $M^1 V = V$ . It commutes with  $\sigma$  and the composition  $\tau = \sigma \circ \iota = \iota \circ \sigma$  acts on  $\mathbf{Z}[X_n]$  as identity and on  $V^{\otimes n}$  by the transpose

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_n: V^{\otimes n} &\rightarrow V^{\otimes n} \\ \tau_n(x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_n) &= x_n \otimes \dots \otimes x_1 \end{aligned}$$

(Passing to  $T^\bullet V$ , the paren involution becomes the identity and we are left with the remark that the op-involution on  $T^\bullet V$  is given by the  $\tau_n$ .)

Now we turn to alternative  $R$ -algebras. (A fitting reference for this text is [8, Chap. 13, Free Alternative Algebras, p. 258]). It is easy to guess a construction of the universal  $R$ -linear morphism  $V \rightarrow A$  to (unital) alternative  $R$ -algebras. It is given by the quotient

$$B^\bullet V = M^\bullet V / \text{alternativity}$$

of  $M^\bullet V$  by the alternative rules

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha\alpha)\beta &= \alpha(\alpha\beta) \\ (\alpha\beta)\beta &= \alpha(\beta\beta) \end{aligned}$$

for  $\alpha, \beta \in M^\bullet V$ . If we add the linearized alternative rules

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha\gamma + \gamma\alpha)\beta &= \alpha(\gamma\beta) + \gamma(\alpha\beta) \\ (\alpha\beta)\gamma + (\alpha\gamma)\beta &= \alpha(\beta\gamma + \gamma\beta) \end{aligned}$$

we may assume that  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  are homogeneous, that is  $\alpha \in M^a V$ ,  $\beta \in M^b V$ ,  $\gamma \in M^c V$  for some integers  $a, b, c \geq 1$ . It is therefore clear that  $B^\bullet V$  inherits the grading and there is a natural decomposition

$$B^\bullet V = B^0 V \oplus B^1 V \oplus B^2 V \oplus B^3 V \oplus B^4 V \oplus \dots$$

with each  $B^n V$  a quotient of  $M^n V$ .

Evidently there are the obvious epimorphisms of  $R$ -algebras

$$M^\bullet V \rightarrow B^\bullet V \rightarrow T^\bullet V \rightarrow S^\bullet V$$

given by the respective strengthenings of algebraic structures.

The algebra  $B^\bullet V$  inherits the paren involution, denoted by

$$\sigma: B^\bullet \rightarrow B^\bullet$$

as well.

In the very first degrees there are the bijections

$$M^{\leq 1} V = B^{\leq 1} V = T^{\leq 1} V = S^{\leq 1} V$$

$$M^{\leq 2} V = B^{\leq 2} V = T^{\leq 2} V$$

To compute further, let

$$M^n V = \ker(M^n V \rightarrow T^n V)$$

$$B^n V = \ker(B^n V \rightarrow T^n V)$$

$$K^n V = \ker(M^n V \rightarrow B^n V)$$

( $K^n V \subset M^n V$  is given by homogeneous alternativity rules).

In other words, there is the commutative exact diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & K^n V & = & K^n V & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & M^n V & \longrightarrow & M^n V & \longrightarrow & T^n V \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & B^n V & \longrightarrow & B^n V & \longrightarrow & T^n V \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 
 \end{array}$$

The submodule  $M^n V$  of  $M^n V$  is generated by the various  $n$ -linear expressions involving an associator. For instance

$$M^3 V = V^{\otimes 3} \subset M^3 V = (V^{\otimes 2} \otimes V) \oplus (V \otimes V^{\otimes 2})$$

is generated by the associators

$$A(x, y, z) = ((xy)z, -x(yz))$$

Similarly,  $M^4 V$  is generated by expressions of the form  $A(x, y, z)t, A(xy, z, t)$ , etc.

Clearly  $K^n V \subset M^n V$ —after all, alternativity is a condition on the associator (namely that it is alternating). The first non-trivial case is

$$K^3 V \subset M^3 V = V^{\otimes 3}$$

which is generated by the 3-tensors  $xyx, xyx$ . It follows that  $B^3 V = \Lambda^3 V$  and one gets the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & V^{\otimes 3} & \longrightarrow & M^3 V & \longrightarrow & T^3 V \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \Lambda^3 V & \longrightarrow & B^3 V & \longrightarrow & T^3 V \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

This computation of  $B^3 V$  shows that there exists a not-associative alternative algebra. Further, it encodes the definition of an alternative algebra  $A$  in the form that the associator is alternating, i.e., a map

$$\Lambda^3 A \rightarrow A$$

Namely, if  $x_1, x_2, x_3$  are elements in  $A$  and  $B^\bullet V \rightarrow A$ ,  $V = \langle e_1, e_2, e_3 \rangle_R$  is the corresponding homomorphism, then

$$\Lambda^3 V \subset B^3 V \rightarrow A$$

maps  $e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3$  to the associator  $(x_1 x_2) x_3 - x_1 (x_2 x_3)$ .

So far things were simple enough. What happens in higher degrees? The main purpose of this text is to present a computation of  $B^4 V$  for locally free  $V$ .

As for degrees  $\geq 5$ , we don't know much. Is  $B^n V$  a locally free  $R$ -module for locally free  $R$ -modules  $V$ ? (This question reduces to the case  $R = \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $V = \mathbf{Z}^N$ .)

As for a computation of  $B^5 V$ : One has to look at a quotient of  $M^5 V = [V^{\otimes 5}]^{\oplus 14}$  and an ad hoc computation quickly gets tiring. It seems one should first write down the chain complex of the 3-dimensional associahedron, if only to get all signs right. See [4] ([Notes on the associator, April 2024, \[pdf\]](#)) for a related discussion.

In the following we assume that  $V$  is locally free. The letter  $V$  will often be dropped from statements. In parts for brevity, but it should be noted anyway that the functors  $M, B, T, S$  are polynomial functors, and the morphisms we consider are morphisms of polynomial functors.

Here is the main result about  $B^4$ :

**Proposition.** *There is an isomorphism*

$$\Phi: B^4 \rightarrow (T^1 \otimes \Lambda^3)^{\oplus 2}$$

Clearly, if  $\text{rank } V = 2$ , it follows that  $B^4 V = 0$ . This is a reflection of Artin's theorem (an alternative algebra with 2 generators is associative).

For another illustration, let

$$\begin{aligned} \delta: \Lambda^4 &\rightarrow T^1 \otimes \Lambda^3 \\ \delta(v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge v_3 \wedge v_4) &= \sum_i (-1)^{i-1} v_i \otimes \wedge \widehat{v}_i \end{aligned}$$

be the standard map and put

$$f = -\Phi^{-1} \circ (\delta, \delta): \Lambda^4 \rightarrow B^4$$

By the universality of  $B^\bullet V$ , it follows that for any alternative algebra  $A$  there is a certain 4-alternating map

$$f: \Lambda^4 A \rightarrow A$$

This is the function  $f$  considered in Bruck and Kleinfeld 1951 [2, p. 880], see my text [5, Corollary 4, p. 5] ([Notes on associator identities, May 2024, \[pdf\]](#)) for details.

### §1. The computation

1.1. **The complex  $C_\bullet$ .** The first step is to set up an exact sequence

$$(1) \quad 0 \rightarrow C_2 \xrightarrow{R} C_1 \xrightarrow{A} C_0 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} T^4 \rightarrow 0$$

with the terms

$$\begin{aligned} T^4 V &= V^{\otimes 4} \\ C_0 V &= M^4 V = [V^{\otimes 4}]^{\oplus 5} \\ &= [(V^{\otimes 2} \otimes V) \otimes V] \oplus [(V \otimes V^{\otimes 2}) \otimes V] \\ &\quad \oplus [V \otimes (V^{\otimes 2} \otimes V)] \oplus [V \otimes (V \otimes V^{\otimes 2})] \\ &\quad \oplus [V^{\otimes 2} \otimes V^{\otimes 2}] \\ C_1 V &= [V^{\otimes 4}]^{\oplus 5} \\ &= [V^{\otimes 3} \otimes V] \oplus [V \otimes V^{\otimes 2} \otimes V] \oplus [V \otimes V^{\otimes 3}] \\ &\quad \oplus [V \otimes V \otimes V^{\otimes 2}] \oplus [V^{\otimes 2} \otimes V \otimes V] \\ C_2 V &= V^{\otimes 4} \end{aligned}$$

Let  $C_5$  be the cyclic group of order 5 with generator  $\zeta$  and consider the standard exact sequence

$$(2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} \xrightarrow{\sum_i \zeta^i} \mathbf{Z}[C_5] \xrightarrow{1-\zeta} \mathbf{Z}[C_5] \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

of  $C_5$ -modules.

The sequence (1) is defined exactly as (2) tensored (over  $\mathbf{Z}$ ) with  $T^4$ : If one takes  $1, \zeta, \zeta^2, \zeta^3, \zeta^4$  as basis for  $\mathbf{Z}[C_5]$ , then the maps in (1) have the same matrices as the maps in (2), with respect to the indicated decompositions of  $C_0$  and  $C_1$ . For instance the map  $A: C_1 \rightarrow C_2$  is on the first component given by

$$\begin{aligned} [V^{\otimes 3} \otimes V] &\rightarrow [(V^{\otimes 2} \otimes V) \otimes V] \oplus [(V \otimes V^{\otimes 2}) \otimes V] \oplus 0 \oplus 0 \oplus 0 \\ (xyz)t &\mapsto (((xy)z)t, -(x(yz))t, 0, 0, 0) \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,  $R$  is just the diagonal and  $\varepsilon$  is the sum.

See also [5, Lemma 1, p. 4] ([Notes on associator identities, May 2024, \[pdf\]](#)). The sequence (2) is the augmented chain complex of the 2-dimensional associahedron (the pentagon). However we do not refer here to associahedra, but have set up (1) from scratch.

Since  $M^4 = \ker \varepsilon$ , we have a resolution

$$0 \rightarrow C_2 \xrightarrow{R} C_1 \xrightarrow{A} M^4 \rightarrow 0$$

In order to compute  $B^4 = M^4/K^4$ , we will describe a lift  $\tilde{K}^4$  (of an extension) of  $K^4$  to  $C_1$ .

1.2. **The module  $X(V)$ .** But first we need some further notations. There is the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow S_3 V \rightarrow S_2 V \otimes V \oplus V \otimes S_2 V \rightarrow V^{\otimes 3} \rightarrow \Lambda^3 V \rightarrow 0$$

where  $S_k V = (V^{\otimes k})^{\Sigma_k}$  denotes the module of symmetric tensors.

Put

$$X(V) = \ker(V^{\otimes 3} \rightarrow \Lambda^3 V) = \frac{S_2 V \otimes V \oplus V \otimes S_2 V}{(i, -i)(S_3 V)}$$

1.3. **The submodule  $\tilde{K}^4V$ .** Let

$$\tilde{K}^4V \subset C_1V$$

be the submodule generated by

$$\begin{aligned} &(X(V) \otimes V, 0, 0, 0, 0) \\ &(0, 0, V \otimes X(V), 0, 0) \\ &(0, x(yz)x, 0, 0, 0) \\ &(0, x(yz)t, 0, xt(yz), 0) \\ &(0, x(yz)t, 0, 0, (yz)xt) \end{aligned}$$

Observe that  $\tilde{K}^4$  contains the elements

$$\begin{aligned} &(0, 0, 0, xx(yz), 0) \\ &(0, 0, 0, 0, (yz)xx) \\ &(0, 0, 0, xy(zt), (zt)yx) \end{aligned}$$

Using this, it not difficult to check that  $A$  maps  $\tilde{K}^4$  onto  $K^4$ . Hint: Spelling out the homogeneous alternativity rules of degree 4 yields an epimorphism

$$(X(V) \otimes V)^{\oplus 2} \oplus (S_2 \otimes V^{\otimes 2})^{\oplus 3} \oplus (V^{\otimes 4})^{\oplus 3} \rightarrow K^4$$

Now eliminate some redundant terms.

Hence there is an exact sequence

$$C_2 \oplus \tilde{K}^4 \rightarrow C_1 \rightarrow B^4 \rightarrow 0$$

1.4. **More notations.** We abbreviate

$$P = T^1 \otimes \Lambda^3$$

Let

$$\mu: P \rightarrow \Lambda^4$$

be the multiplication in the exterior algebra and let

$$p: T^4 \rightarrow \Lambda^4$$

$$p(x_1x_2x_3x_4) = x_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge x_3 \wedge x_4$$

be the projection.

Put

$$\rho_i, \bar{\rho}: T^4 \rightarrow P$$

$$\rho_1(x_1x_2x_3x_4) = +x_1 \otimes (x_2 \wedge x_3 \wedge x_4)$$

$$\rho_2(x_1x_2x_3x_4) = -x_2 \otimes (x_1 \wedge x_3 \wedge x_4)$$

$$\rho_3(x_1x_2x_3x_4) = +x_3 \otimes (x_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge x_4)$$

$$\rho_4(x_1x_2x_3x_4) = -x_4 \otimes (x_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge x_3)$$

$$\bar{\rho} = \rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3 + \rho_4$$

The signs ensure that the  $\mu \circ \rho_i$  are all equal to the projection  $p$ :

$$\mu \circ \rho_i = p \quad (i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$$

Moreover,  $\bar{\rho}$  is alternating and factors as

$$\bar{\rho}: T^4 \xrightarrow{p} \Lambda^4 \xrightarrow{\delta} P$$

where  $\delta$  is the standard map (the natural inclusion via  $\Lambda^n V \subset V^{\otimes n}$ ).

1.5. **The map  $\Phi$ .** Define

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\Phi}: C_1 &\rightarrow P \oplus P \\ \widehat{\Phi} &= \begin{pmatrix} \rho_4 & \rho_2 & 0 - \bar{\rho} & \rho_3 & \rho_1 \\ 0 & \rho_3 & \rho_1 - \bar{\rho} & \rho_4 & \rho_2 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

where the matrix notation corresponds to the definition of  $C_1$  above, copied here for convenience:

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 V &= [V^{\otimes 3} \otimes V] \oplus [V \otimes V^{\otimes 2} \otimes V] \oplus [V \otimes V^{\otimes 3}] \\ &\oplus [V \otimes V \otimes V^{\otimes 2}] \oplus [V^{\otimes 2} \otimes V \otimes V] \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\widehat{\Phi}$  is an epimorphism (already the first 2 columns are epimorphic as the  $\rho_i$  are epimorphisms).

Clearly  $\widehat{\Phi}$  vanishes on the image of  $C_2$  (the row sums are trivial).

It vanishes also on  $\widetilde{K}^4$ : Namely,  $\rho_4$  vanishes obviously on  $X \otimes T^1 = \ker \rho_4$ . Similarly,  $\rho_1$  vanishes on  $T^1 \otimes X = \ker \rho_1$ . Hence  $\bar{\rho} = \delta\mu\rho_1$  vanishes as well on  $T^1 \otimes X$ . Next note that  $\rho_2, \rho_3$  are alternating in  $x_1, x_4$  and so vanish on the elements  $x(yz)x$ . Finally, the elements

$$\begin{aligned} (0, x(yz)t, 0, xt(yz), 0) \\ (0, x(yz)t, 0, 0, (yz)xt) \end{aligned}$$

map to

$$\begin{pmatrix} -y \otimes xzt + y \otimes xzt \\ +z \otimes xyt - z \otimes xyt \end{pmatrix} = 0, \quad \begin{pmatrix} -y \otimes xzt + y \otimes xzt \\ +z \otimes xyt - z \otimes xyt \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

respectively.

Hence  $\widehat{\Phi}$  factors through  $B^4$ , inducing an epimorphism

$$\Phi: B^4 \rightarrow P \oplus P$$

Here is an explicit description of  $\Phi$  in terms of the generators of  $M^4$  (the associators):

$$\begin{aligned} A(x, y, z)t &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} -t \otimes xyz \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ A(x, yz, t) &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} -y \otimes xzt \\ z \otimes xyt \end{pmatrix} \\ xA(y, z, t) &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} -x \otimes yzt + y \otimes xzt - z \otimes xyt + t \otimes xyz \\ y \otimes xzt - z \otimes xyt + t \otimes xyz \end{pmatrix} \\ -A(x, y, zt) &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} z \otimes xyt \\ -t \otimes xyz \end{pmatrix} \\ -A(xy, z, t) &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} x \otimes yzt \\ -y \otimes xzt \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$



1.6. **Injectivity of  $\Phi$ .** One defines a left inverse to  $\Phi$ . Consider

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi: P \oplus P &\rightarrow B'^4 \\ (t \otimes xyz, 0) &\mapsto -A(x, y, z)t \\ (0, z \otimes xyt) &\mapsto A(x, yz, t) - A(x, z, t)y\end{aligned}$$

We first show that  $\Psi$  is well defined. For the first component this is obvious as  $A$  is alternating. For the second component one needs additionally that the right hand side vanishes for  $t = y$ :

$$A(x, yz, y) = A(x, z, y)y$$

This is a basic relation in alternative algebras and can be shown in one way or the other. We refer here to [5, Corollary 5, (2.3), p. 5]. As mentioned there, it appears in Zorn [9, p. 142] and was used by Moufang [3, p. 419] to derive what are called the Moufang identities.

One finds  $\Psi \circ \Phi = \text{id}$  by the formulas just formulated.

It remains to show that  $\Psi$  is surjective. It catches the first 2 summands of  $C_1$ . The 3rd summand of  $C_1$  can be eliminated using  $R(C_2)$  and the 4th and 5th summands can be reduced to the 2nd using  $\tilde{K}^4$ .

*Remark.* In a future version of this text I might start out with  $\Psi$  (including a proof that it is well defined) and then establish its inverse  $\Phi$ .

## §2. More considerations

2.1. **A resolution of  $B'^4$ .** Consider

$$\bar{C}_1 = C_1 / \tilde{K}^4$$

There is the induced exact sequence

$$C_2 \xrightarrow{\bar{R}} \bar{C}_1 \rightarrow B'^4 \rightarrow 0$$

One may compute the kernel of  $\bar{R}$ . This needs some further work. In the end one gets the following:

Let

$$\begin{aligned}\eta: T \otimes S_2 \otimes T &\rightarrow T^4 \\ \eta(x \otimes yy \otimes t) &= (xy + yx)(yt + ty) - xyty \\ &= xyty + yxyt + yxty\end{aligned}$$

**PropositionXL.** *There exists a natural exact sequence*

$$\begin{aligned}0 \rightarrow S_4 &\xrightarrow{(3,i)} S_4 \oplus (T \otimes S_2 \otimes T) \xrightarrow{(i,-\eta)} \\ &T^4 \xrightarrow{\bar{R}'} (\Lambda^3 \otimes T^1) \oplus (T^1 \otimes \Lambda^2 \otimes T^1) \oplus (T^1 \otimes \Lambda^3) \\ &\xrightarrow{\Phi'} (\Lambda^3 \otimes T^1) \oplus (T^1 \otimes \Lambda^3) \rightarrow 0\end{aligned}$$

with

$$B'^4 = \text{coker } \bar{R}'$$

On the way the following exact sequence is useful:

$$0 \rightarrow S_4 \rightarrow S_2 \otimes S_2 \rightarrow T^1 \otimes \Lambda^2 \otimes T^1 \rightarrow (\Lambda^3 \otimes T^1) \oplus (T^1 \otimes \Lambda^3) \rightarrow \Lambda^4 \rightarrow 0$$

Here the components are the obvious maps, decorated with signs. Exactness follows for instance from the exactness of the Koszul complexes  $(S_i \otimes \Lambda^{N-i})_i$  for  $N \leq 4$ .

**2.2. The Kleinfeld function.** As for the Kleinfeld function

$$\begin{aligned} f: \Lambda^4 V &\rightarrow B'^4 V \subset B^4 V \\ f(x, z, y, t) &= A(x, yz, t) - A(x, z, t)y - zA(x, y, t) \\ &= A(x, z, [y, t]) + A([x, z], y, t) \end{aligned}$$

see [5, Corollary 4, p. 5] and also [8, Chap. 7, Simple alternative algebras, p. 139]: one finds indeed

$$\Phi \circ f = (0, -\delta, -\delta)$$

**2.3. Endomorphisms.** The endomorphism algebra of  $P = T^1 \otimes \Lambda^3$  as a polynomial functor over  $\mathbf{Z}$  is

$$\text{End}(P) = \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]/(\alpha^2 - 4\alpha)$$

with  $\alpha = \delta \circ \mu$ .

An interesting involution of  $P^{\oplus 2}$  is

$$\omega = \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha & 1 + \alpha \\ 1 - \alpha & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(\text{End}(P)) = \text{Aut}(P \oplus P)$$

It is related with the paren involution on  $B^4$ .

One finds ( $\tau$  is the switch involution)

$$\omega \circ \widehat{\Phi} = \tau \circ \widehat{\Phi}'$$

with

$$\widehat{\Phi}' = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_4 - \bar{\rho} & \rho_2 & 0 & \rho_3 & \rho_1 \\ 0 - \bar{\rho} & \rho_3 & \rho_1 & \rho_4 & \rho_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

or

$$\widehat{\Phi}' - \widehat{\Phi} = \begin{pmatrix} -\bar{\rho} & 0 & \bar{\rho} & 0 & 0 \\ -\bar{\rho} & 0 & \bar{\rho} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

**2.4. A variant of  $\Phi$ .** The formulas for  $\widehat{\Phi}$ ,  $\widehat{\Phi}'$  have an apparent asymmetry because of the  $\bar{\rho}$ -column (which is essentially the Kleinfeld function). As we have just seen, using  $\omega$  one can move the  $\bar{\rho}$ -column to the other possible slot.

An alternative is the following: There is the split exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow P \oplus P \xrightarrow{i} \Lambda^4 \oplus P \oplus P \xrightarrow{\pi} \Lambda^4 \rightarrow 0 \\ 0 &\rightarrow P \oplus P \xleftarrow{j} \Lambda^4 \oplus P \oplus P \xleftarrow{s} \Lambda^4 \rightarrow 0 \\ \pi(\eta, \beta, \gamma) &= 3\eta + \mu(\beta) + \mu(\gamma) \\ s(\eta) &= (-\eta, \delta(\eta), 0) \\ j(\eta, \beta, \gamma) &= (\beta + \delta(\eta), -\gamma) \\ i(\beta, \gamma) &= (\mu(\beta - \gamma), \beta - \delta\mu(\beta - \gamma), -\gamma) \end{aligned}$$

Note the factor 3 in the definition of  $\pi = (3, \mu, \mu)$ .

For the composition with  $\widehat{\Phi}$  one finds

$$i \circ \widehat{\Phi} = \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 & -p & 0 & 0 \\ \rho_4 - \bar{\rho} & +\rho_2 & 0 & +\rho_3 & +\rho_1 \\ 0 & -\rho_3 & -\rho_1 + \bar{\rho} & -\rho_4 & -\rho_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

which has a slightly more symmetric form (the extra term  $\bar{\rho}$  appears in both columns).

Under this map, the paren involution corresponds to

$$(\eta, \beta, \gamma) \mapsto (-\eta, -\gamma, -\beta)$$

*Remark.* The resulting exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow B'^4 \xrightarrow{i \circ \widehat{\Phi}} \Lambda^4 \oplus P \oplus P \xrightarrow{(3, \mu, \mu)} \Lambda^4 \rightarrow 0$$

has the shape of our first computation of  $B'^4$ . It somehow appeared naturally.

After eliminating the  $\Lambda^4$ -terms using the section  $s$  (and some sign changes) we obtained the formula for  $\widehat{\Phi}$ . The latter is perhaps a bit more transparent and seems to be more convenient for proofs. However it breaks a symmetry caused by the choice of the section  $s$ . The other obvious choice  $s(\eta) = (-\eta, 0, \delta(\eta))$  gives rise to  $\widehat{\Phi}'$ .

*Remark.* Finally let us note that

$$\begin{aligned} T^4 &\rightarrow B^4 \\ xyzt &\mapsto (xy)(zt) \end{aligned}$$

is a section to  $B^4 \rightarrow T^4$  which invariant under the paren involution.

This section is a particular feature in degree 4, as  $(**)(**)$  is the only fixed point of the paren involution acting on  $X_4$ .

### References

- [1] N. Bourbaki, *Éléments de mathématique. Fasc. XXXVII. Groupes et algèbres de Lie. Chapitre II: Algèbres de Lie libres. Chapitre III: Groupes de Lie*, Actualités Scientifiques et Industrielles [Current Scientific and Industrial Topics], No. 1349, Hermann, Paris, 1972. MR [573068](#) [1](#)
- [2] R. H. Bruck and E. Kleinfeld, *The structure of alternative division rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **2** (1951), 878–890. MR [45099](#) [5](#)
- [3] R. Moufang, *Zur Struktur von Alternativkörpern*, Math. Ann. **110** (1935), no. 1, 416–430. MR [1512948](#) [9](#)
- [4] M. Rost, *Notes on the associator*, Preprint, 2024, [www.math.uni-bielefeld.de/~rost/hopf.html#assoc1](http://www.math.uni-bielefeld.de/~rost/hopf.html#assoc1) [pdf]. [4](#)
- [5] ———, *Notes on associator identities*, Preprint, 2024, [www.math.uni-bielefeld.de/~rost/hopf.html#assoc4](http://www.math.uni-bielefeld.de/~rost/hopf.html#assoc4) [pdf]. [5](#), [6](#), [9](#), [10](#)
- [6] J.-P. Serre, *Lie algebras and Lie groups*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1500, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006, 1964 lectures given at Harvard University, Corrected fifth printing of the second (1992) edition. MR [2179691](#) [1](#)
- [7] K. A. Ževlakov, A. M. Slin'ko, I. P. Šestakov, and A. I. Širšov, *Kol'tsa, blizkie k assotsiativnym*, Sovremennaya Algebra. [Modern Algebra], “Nauka”, Moscow, 1978. MR [518614](#) [12](#)
- [8] ———, *Rings that are nearly associative*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 104, Academic Press, Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London, 1982, Translated from the Russian [7] by Harry F. Smith. MR [668355](#) [2](#), [10](#)
- [9] M. Zorn, *Theorie der alternativen Ringe*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **8** (1931), no. 1, 123–147. MR [3069547](#) [9](#)

FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT BIELEFELD, POSTFACH 100131, D-33501 BIELEFELD, GERMANY

*Email address:* `rost at math.uni-bielefeld.de`

*URL:* `www.math.uni-bielefeld.de/~rost`