

CARTIER'S THEOREM

ROLF FARNSTEINER

Let k be a field. Recall that a k -algebra H together with k -linear maps

$$\Delta : H \longrightarrow H \otimes_k H \quad ; \quad \varepsilon : H \longrightarrow k \quad ; \quad \eta : H \longrightarrow H$$

is called a *Hopf algebra* if

- Δ and ε are homomorphisms of k -algebras,
- η is an anti-homomorphism of k -algebras,
- Δ and ε satisfy axioms dual to that of an associative multiplication and an identity element.

We shall write

$$\Delta(h) = \sum_{(h)} h_{(1)} \otimes h_{(2)}$$

for every $h \in H$. Among the axioms mentioned above we shall require

- (1) $\sum_{(h)} h_{(1)} \varepsilon(h_{(2)}) = h = \sum_{(h)} \varepsilon(h_{(1)}) h_{(2)}$ for all $h \in H$, and
- (2) $\sum_{(h)} h_{(1)} \eta(h_{(2)}) = \varepsilon(h) 1 = \sum_{(h)} \eta(h_{(1)}) h_{(2)}$ for all $h \in H$.

The category of H -modules has tensor products. If M and N are H -modules, then $M \otimes_k N$ obtains the structure of an H -module by pulling the $H \otimes_k H$ -structure on $M \otimes_k N$ back along Δ :

$$h \cdot (m \otimes n) := \sum_{(h)} h_{(1)} m \otimes h_{(2)} n \quad \forall h \in H, m \in M, n \in N.$$

A commutative k -algebra A is referred to as *reduced* if 0 is the only nilpotent element of A . The object of this lecture is the following fundamental result, which implies that locally algebraic group schemes of characteristic zero are smooth, cf. [1, (II, §6, 1.1)].

Theorem (Cartier). *Let H be a finitely generated commutative Hopf algebra. If $\text{char}(k) = 0$, then H is reduced.*

Remark. The polynomial ring $k[X]$ obtains the structure of a Hopf algebra by defining

$$\Delta(X) = X \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes X \quad ; \quad \varepsilon(X) = 0 \quad ; \quad \eta(X) = -X.$$

If $\text{char}(k) = p > 0$, then the ideal $I := (X^p)$ satisfies

$$\Delta(I) \subseteq I \otimes_k H + H \otimes_k I \quad ; \quad I \subseteq \ker \varepsilon \quad ; \quad \eta(I) = I.$$

Hence the local algebra $H := k[X]/I$ inherits the Hopf algebra structure from $k[X]$, showing that Cartier's Theorem fails in positive characteristic.

The proof of Cartier's Theorem requires the following result from commutative algebra:

Theorem 1 (Krull's Intersection Theorem,[2, 3]). *Let R be a commutative noetherian ring, $\text{Max}(R)$ be the set of maximal ideals of R . Then*

$$\bigcap_{\mathfrak{M} \in \text{Max}(R)} \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathfrak{M}^n = (0).$$

Let A be a commutative k -algebra. A k -linear map, $d : A \longrightarrow M$ with values in an A -module M is called a *derivation* of A into M , provided

$$d(xy) = x.d(y) + y.d(x) \quad \forall x, y \in A.$$

Given a derivation $d : A \longrightarrow M$, the map

$$\text{id}_A \otimes d : A \otimes_k A \longrightarrow A \otimes_k M \quad ; \quad a \otimes b \mapsto a \otimes d(b)$$

is a derivation of the k -algebra $A \otimes_k A$ with values in the $(A \otimes_k A)$ -module $A \otimes_k M$, whose structure is defined by

$$(a \otimes b).(a' \otimes m) := aa' \otimes b.m.$$

Let H be a commutative Hopf algebra with augmentation ideal $I := \ker \varepsilon$. We consider the map

$$\pi_H : H \longrightarrow I/I^2 \quad ; \quad h \mapsto h - \varepsilon(h)1 + I^2,$$

which is readily seen to be a derivation. Hence $\text{id}_H \otimes \pi_H$ is a derivation of $H \otimes_k H$ with values in $H \otimes_k (I/I^2)$. Consequently,

$$d_H := (\text{id}_H \otimes \pi_H) \circ \Delta$$

is a derivation of H into $H \otimes_k (I/I^2)$, where the latter space is the tensor product of the H -modules H and I/I^2 .

Proof of the Theorem. Since the k -algebra H is finitely generated, the vector space I/I^2 is finite-dimensional. Hence there are elements $x_1, \dots, x_r \in I$ such that their residue classes form a basis of I/I^2 . Using multi-index notation, we write

$$x^m := x_1^{m_1} \cdots x_r^{m_r} \quad \text{and} \quad |m| := \sum_{i=1}^r m_i$$

for $m \in \mathbb{N}_0^r$. The following claim says that the graded algebra, defined by the powers of the augmentation ideal I , is a polynomial ring in r variables.

(i) *Let $n \geq 1$. The residue classes $\{x^m + I^{n+1} \ ; \ |m| = n\}$ form a basis of I^n/I^{n+1} .*

Given $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, we consider the k -linear map

$$f_i : I/I^2 \longrightarrow k \quad ; \quad \bar{x}_j \mapsto \delta_{ij}$$

as well as

$$\tilde{f}_i : H \otimes_k I/I^2 \longrightarrow H \quad ; \quad a \otimes v \mapsto f_i(v)a.$$

For $a, b \in H$ and $v \in I/I^2$, we have, observing (1),

$$a.(b \otimes v) = \sum_{(a)} a_{(1)}b \otimes a_{(2)}v = \sum_{(a)} a_{(1)}b \otimes \varepsilon(a_{(2)})v = \left(\sum_{(a)} a_{(1)}\varepsilon(a_{(2)}) \right) b \otimes v = ab \otimes v,$$

so that the map \tilde{f}_i is H -linear.

Consequently, the map

$$d_i : H \longrightarrow H \quad ; \quad h \mapsto \tilde{f}_i \circ d_H(h)$$

is a derivation of H with $d_i(h) = \sum_{(h)} f_i(\pi_H(h_{(2)}))h_{(1)}$ for all $h \in H$. We thus obtain

$$(\varepsilon \circ d_i)(h) = \sum_{(h)} \varepsilon(h_{(1)})f_i(\pi_H(h_{(2)})) = f_i\left(\sum_{(h)} \varepsilon(h_{(1)})\pi_H(h_{(2)})\right) = (f_i \circ \pi_H)(h),$$

whence

$$(*) \quad d_i(x_j) \equiv \delta_{ij} \pmod{I}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Let $\mathbf{1}_i \in \mathbb{N}_0^r$ be the element with coordinates δ_{ij} . Since d_i is a derivation, $(*)$ implies

$$d_i(x^m) \equiv m_i x^{m-\mathbf{1}_i} \pmod{I^{|m|}}.$$

In particular, $d_i(I^n) \subseteq I^{n-1}$ for all $n \geq 1$. Thus, if

$$\sum_{|m|=n} \alpha_m x^m \equiv 0 \pmod{I^{n+1}},$$

then, applying d_i , we obtain

$$0 = \sum_{|m|=n} m_i \alpha_m x^{m-\mathbf{1}_i} \pmod{I^n},$$

so that induction implies $m_i \alpha_m = 0$. Since $\text{char}(k) = 0$, we conclude that $\alpha_m = 0$. \diamond

(ii) If $h^2 = 0$, then $h \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I^n$.

We have $h \in I$, and if $h \notin \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I^n$, then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $h \in I^n \setminus I^{n+1}$. We write

$$h = \sum_{|m|=n} \alpha_m x^m + z,$$

with $\alpha_m \in k$ and $z \in I^{n+1}$. Our assumption in conjunction with (i) implies

$$\sum_{m+m'=t} \alpha_m \alpha_{m'} = 0$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{N}_0^r$ with $|t| = 2n$. Upon ordering the elements of \mathbb{N}_0^r lexicographically we obtain $0 = \alpha_{\tilde{m}}$, where $\tilde{m} = \max_{|m|=n} \{\alpha_m \neq 0\}$, a contradiction. \diamond

It suffices to verify our theorem under the assumption that k is algebraically closed. If $\mathfrak{M} \trianglelefteq H$ is a maximal ideal of H , then Hilbert's Nullstellensatz provides an algebra homomorphism $\lambda : H \rightarrow k$ such that $\mathfrak{M} = \ker \lambda$. Direct computation shows that λ induces an automorphism

$$\psi_\lambda : H \rightarrow H \quad ; \quad h \mapsto \sum_{(h)} \lambda(h_{(1)})h_{(2)}$$

of H , whose inverse is $\psi_{\lambda \circ \eta}$. (At this stage, we need property (2).) Since

$$(\varepsilon \circ \psi_\lambda)(h) = \sum_{(h)} \lambda(h_{(1)})\varepsilon(h_{(2)}) = \lambda\left(\sum_{(h)} h_{(1)}\varepsilon(h_{(2)})\right) = \lambda(h)$$

for every $h \in H$, it follows that $\Psi_\lambda(\mathfrak{M}) = I$.

Let $h \in H$ be nilpotent. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $h^2 = 0$. Given a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{M} = \ker \lambda$, (ii) implies that $\psi_\lambda(h) \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I^n$, whence $h \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathfrak{M}^n$. Krull's Intersection Theorem now yields $h = 0$, as desired. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Demazure and P. Gabriel, *Groupes Algébriques I*. Masson/North Holland, 1970.
- [2] D. Eisenbud, *Commutative Algebra*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics **150**. Springer-Verlag, 1996.
- [3] W. Krull, *Dimensionstheorie in Stellenringen*. J. reine angew. Math. **179** (1938), 204–226.