

## Minimal infinite cogeneration-closed subcategories.

Claus Michael Ringel

Let  $\Lambda$  be an artin algebra, and  $\text{mod } \Lambda$  the category of  $\Lambda$ -modules of finite length. The subcategories to be considered will be full subcategories closed under isomorphisms, direct sums and direct summands, we call such subcategories *additive* subcategories. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an additive subcategory. We say that  $\mathcal{C}$  is *finite* provided it contains only finitely many isomorphism classes of indecomposable modules, otherwise  $\mathcal{C}$  is said to be *infinite*. We say that  $\mathcal{C}$  is *minimal infinite* provided  $\mathcal{C}$  is infinite, but any proper additive subcategory  $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{C}$  is finite. Finally,  $\mathcal{C}$  is *cogeneration-closed*, provided it is also closed under submodules. Given a class  $\mathcal{X}$  of modules (or of isomorphism classes of modules), we denote by  $\text{add } \mathcal{X}$  the smallest additive subcategory containing  $\mathcal{X}$ .

**Theorem.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an infinite cogeneration-closed subcategory of  $\text{mod } \Lambda$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}$  contains a minimal infinite cogeneration-closed subcategory  $\mathcal{C}'$ .*

Proof. We denote by  $\mathbb{N} = \mathbb{N}_1$  the natural numbers starting with 1. Given a Gabriel-Roiter measure  $I$ , let  $\mathcal{C}(I)$  be the set of isomorphism classes of indecomposable objects in  $\mathcal{C}$  with Gabriel-Roiter measure  $I$ . An obvious adaptation of one of the main results of [R1] asserts:

*There is an infinite sequence of Gabriel-Roiter measures  $I_1 < I_2 < \dots$  such that  $\mathcal{C}(I_t)$  is non-empty for any  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  and such that for any  $J$  with  $\mathcal{C}(J) \neq \emptyset$ , either  $J = I_t$  for some  $t$  or else  $J > I_t$  for all  $t$ . Moreover, all the sets  $\mathcal{C}(I_t)$  are finite.* (Note that the sequence of measures  $I_t$  depends on  $\mathcal{C}$ , thus one should write  $I_t^{\mathcal{C}} = I_t$ ; the papers [R1,R2] were dealing only with the case  $\mathcal{C} = \text{mod } \Lambda$ , but the proofs carry over to the more general case of dealing with a cogeneration-closed subcategory  $\mathcal{C}$ ).

Since  $\text{add } \bigcup_{t \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{C}(I_t)$  is cogeneration-closed, we can assume that  $\mathcal{C} = \text{add } \bigcup_{t \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{C}(I_t)$ . In order to construct  $\mathcal{C}'$ , we will construct a sequence of subcategories

$$\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_0 \supseteq \mathcal{C}_1 \supseteq \mathcal{C}_2 \supseteq \dots$$

with the following properties:

(a) Any subcategory  $\mathcal{C}_i$  is infinite and cogeneration-closed,

(b)  $\mathcal{C}_i(I_t) = \mathcal{C}_t(I_t)$  for  $t \leq i$ .

(c) If  $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_i$  is infinite and cogeneration-closed, then

$$\mathcal{D}(I_t) = \mathcal{C}_t(I_t) \quad \text{for } t \leq i.$$

We start with  $\mathcal{C}_0 = \mathcal{C}$  (the  $t$  in conditions (b) and (c) satisfies  $t \geq 1$ , thus nothing has to be verified). Assume, we have constructed  $\mathcal{C}_i$  for some  $i \geq 0$ , satisfying the conditions (a), and the conditions (b), (c) for all pairs  $(i, t)$  with  $t \leq i$ . We are going to construct  $\mathcal{C}_{i+1}$ .

Call a subset  $\mathcal{X}$  of  $\mathcal{C}_i(I_{i+1})$  *good*, provided there is a subcategory  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}$  of  $\mathcal{C}_i$  which is infinite and cogeneration-closed and such that  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}(I_{i+1}) = \mathcal{X}$ . For example  $\mathcal{C}_i(I_{i+1})$  itself is good (with  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}} = \mathcal{C}_i$ ). Since  $\mathcal{C}_i(I_{i+1})$  is a finite set, we can choose a minimal good subset  $\mathcal{X}' \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ . For  $\mathcal{X}'$ , there is an infinite and cogeneration-closed subcategory  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}'}$  of  $\mathcal{C}_i$  such that  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}'}(I_{i+1}) = \mathcal{X}'$ . (Note that in general neither  $\mathcal{X}'$  nor  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}'}$  will be uniquely determined: usually, there may be several possible choices. Also note that  $\mathcal{X}'$  may be empty.) Let  $\mathcal{C}_{i+1} = \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}'}$ . By assumption,  $\mathcal{C}_{i+1}$  is infinite and cogeneration-closed, thus (a) is satisfied. In order to show (b) for all pairs  $(i+1, t)$  with  $t \leq i+1$ , we first consider some  $t \leq i$ . We can apply (c) for  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{C}_{i+1} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_i$  and see that  $\mathcal{D}(I_t) = \mathcal{C}_t(I_t)$ , as required. But for  $t = i+1$ , nothing has to be shown. Finally, let us show (c). Thus let  $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{i+1}$  be an infinite cogeneration-closed subcategory. Since  $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_i$ , we know by induction that  $\mathcal{D}(I_t) = \mathcal{C}_t(I_t)$  for  $t \leq i$ . It remains to show that  $\mathcal{D}(I_{i+1}) = \mathcal{C}_{i+1}(I_{i+1})$ . Since  $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{i+1}$ , we have  $\mathcal{D}(I_{i+1}) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{i+1}(I_{i+1})$ . But if this would be a proper inclusion, then  $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{D}(I_{i+1})$  would be a good subset of  $\mathcal{C}_i(I_{i+1})$  which is properly contained in  $\mathcal{C}_{i+1}(I_{i+1}) = \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}'}(I_{i+1})$ , a contradiction to the minimality of  $\mathcal{X}'$ . This completes the inductive construction of the various  $\mathcal{C}_i$ .

Now let

$$\mathcal{C}' = \bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{C}_i.$$

Of course,  $\mathcal{C}'$  is cogeneration-closed. Also, we see immediately

$$(b') \quad \mathcal{C}'(I_t) = \mathcal{C}_t(I_t) \quad \text{for all } t,$$

since  $\mathcal{C}'(I_t) = \bigcap_{i \geq t} \mathcal{C}_i(I_t) = \mathcal{C}_t(I_t)$ , according to (b).

First, we show that  $\mathcal{C}'$  is infinite. Of course,  $\mathcal{C}'(I_1) \neq \emptyset$ , since  $I_1 = \{1\}$  and a good subset of  $\mathcal{C}_0(I_1)$  has to contain at least one simple module. Assume that  $\mathcal{C}'(I_s) \neq \emptyset$  for some  $s$ , we want to see that there is  $t > s$  with  $\mathcal{C}'(I_t) \neq \emptyset$ . For every Gabriel-Roiter measure  $I$ , let  $n(I)$  be the minimal number  $n$  with  $I \subseteq [1, n]$ , thus  $n(I)$  is the length of the modules in  $\mathcal{C}(I)$ . Let  $n(s)$  be the maximum of  $n(I_j)$  with  $j \leq s$ , thus  $n(s)$  is the maximal length of the modules in  $\bigcup_{j \leq s} \mathcal{C}(I_j)$ . Let  $s'$  be a natural number such that  $n(I_j) > n(s)pq$  for all  $j > s'$  (such a number exists, since the modules in  $I_j$  with  $j$  large, have large length); here  $p$  is the maximal length of an indecomposable projective module,  $q$  that of an indecomposable injective module.

We claim that  $\mathcal{C}'(I_j) \neq \emptyset$  for some  $j$  with  $s < j \leq s'$ . Assume for the contrary that  $\mathcal{C}'(I_j) = \emptyset$  for all  $s < j \leq s'$ . We consider  $\mathcal{C}_{s'}$ . Since  $\mathcal{C}_{s'}$  is infinite, there is some  $t > s$  with  $\mathcal{C}_{s'}(I_t) \neq \emptyset$ , and we choose  $t$  minimal. Now for  $s < j \leq s'$ , we know that  $\mathcal{C}_{s'}(I_j) = \mathcal{C}_j(I_j) = \mathcal{C}'(I_j) = \emptyset$ , according to (b) and (b'). This shows that  $t > s'$ . Let  $Y$  be an indecomposable module with isomorphism class in  $\mathcal{C}_{s'}(I_t)$ . Let  $X$  be a Gabriel-Roiter submodule of  $Y$ . Then  $X$  belongs to  $\mathcal{C}_{s'}(I_j)$  with  $j < t$ . If  $j \leq s$ , then the length of  $X$  is bounded by  $n(s)$ , and therefore  $Y$  is bounded by  $n(s)pq$  (see [R2], 3.1 Corollary), in contrast to the fact that  $n(I_t) > n(s)pq$ . Thus  $j > s$ . Both then  $s < j < t$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{s'}(I_j) \neq \emptyset$  — this contradicts the minimality of  $t$ . This final contradiction shows that  $\mathcal{C}'$  is infinite.

Now, let  $\mathcal{D}$  be an infinite cogeneration-closed subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}'$ . We show that  $\mathcal{D}[I_t] = \mathcal{C}'[I_t]$  for all  $t$ . Consider some fixed  $t$  and choose an  $i$  with  $i \geq t$ . Since  $\mathcal{C}' \subseteq \mathcal{C}_i$ , we see that  $\mathcal{D}[t] = \mathcal{C}_t[t]$  the given  $t$ , according to (b) for  $\mathcal{C}_i$ . But according to (b'), we also know that  $\mathcal{C}'[t] = \mathcal{C}_t[t]$ . This completes the proof.

**Example 1.** *Any tame concealed algebras has a unique minimal infinite cogeneration-closed subcategory  $\mathcal{C}$ , namely the subcategory of all preprojective modules.*

**Example 2.** Let  $I$  be a twosided ideal in  $\Lambda$ . The category of  $\Lambda$ -modules annihilated by  $I$  is obviously cogeneration-closed and of course equivalent (or even equal) to the category of all  $\Lambda/I$ -modules. If  $\Lambda/I$  is representation-finite, then  $\text{mod } \Lambda/I$  will contain a minimal infinite cogeneration-closed subcategory. Consider for example the generalized Kronecker-algebra  $K(3)$  with three arrows  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ . The one-dimensional ideals of  $K(3)$  correspond bijectively to the elements of the projective plane  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , say  $a = (a_0 : a_1 : a_2) \in \mathbb{P}^2$  yields the ideal  $I_a = \langle a_0\alpha + a_1\beta + a_2\gamma \rangle$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}_a$  be additive subcategory of  $\text{mod } K(3)$  of all preprojective  $K(3)/I_a$ -modules. Then these are pairwise different minimal infinite cogeneration-closed subcategories (the intersection of any two of these subcategories is the subcategory of semisimple projective modules). In particular, *if the base field is finite, there are infinitely many subcategories in  $\text{mod } K(3)$  which are minimal infinite and cogeneration-closed.* (Note that the preprojective  $K(3)$ -modules provide a further subcategory which is minimal infinite and cogeneration-closed.)

**Example 3.** There can be several different take-off categories containing all the indecomposable projective modules: Take the take-off part, as well as the preprojective component of the algebra with 3 vertices  $a, b, c$ , two arrows  $b \rightarrow a$ , and two arrows  $c \rightarrow b$ .

### References.

- [R1] Ringel: The Gabriel-Roiter measure. Bull. Sci. math. 129 (2005), 726-748.
- [R2] Ringel: Foundation of the Representation Theory of Artin Algebras, Using the Gabriel-Roiter Measure. To appear in the Proceedings of the Queretaro Workshop 2004. Contemporary Mathematics. Amer.Math.Soc.