

Borcherds-Kac-Moody Algebras
study group:

Weyl groups & Root systems.

(Wakimoto's book, §2.2 – 2.3)

Jean-Marie Bois

7 November 2008

§ 0 – Reminder.

A **BKM matrix** is $A \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ with:

- $a_{ii} = 2$ or $a_{ii} \leq 0$,
- if $i \neq j$, $a_{ij} \leq 0$,
- $a_{ij} = 0 \iff a_{ji} = 0$,
- if $a_{ii} = 2$ then $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all j .

Write $I = \{1, \dots, n\}$. There are **real indices** and **imaginary indices**:

$$I^{re} = \{i \in I \mid a_{ii} = 2\},$$

$$I^{im} = \{i \in I \mid a_{ii} \leq 0\}.$$

Assume A **symmetrisable**, $A = \text{diag}(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)B$.

We have a vector space \mathfrak{h} and:

- **simple roots**, $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\} \subseteq \mathfrak{h}^*$,
- **simple coroots** $\Pi^\vee = \{\alpha_1^\vee, \dots, \alpha_n^\vee\} \subseteq \mathfrak{h}$,
- **real and imaginary** simple roots (Π^{re} , Π^{im}),
- a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form $(\cdot | \cdot)$ on \mathfrak{h} , with which we *identify* \mathfrak{h} and \mathfrak{h}^* .

Properties:

- $\langle \alpha_i^\vee, \alpha_j \rangle = a_{ij}$,
- for all $h \in \mathfrak{h}$, $(\alpha_i^\vee | h) = \varepsilon_i \langle \alpha_i, h \rangle$,
- $\alpha_i^\vee = \varepsilon_i \alpha_i$,
- $(\alpha_i | \alpha_j) = b_{ij}$,
- if $a_{ii} \neq 0$:

$$\frac{2(\alpha_i | \lambda)}{(\alpha_i | \alpha_j)} = \frac{2\langle \alpha_i^\vee, \lambda \rangle}{a_{ii}} \quad (\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*).$$

The **BKM algebra** $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(A)$ is defined by

generators: $e_1, \dots, e_n, f_1, \dots, f_n, \mathfrak{h}$

relations:

- $[\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}] = 0,$
- $[h, e_i] = \alpha_i(h) e_i, \text{ for } h \in \mathfrak{h},$
- $[h, f_i] = -\alpha_i(h) f_i, \text{ for } h \in \mathfrak{h},$
- $[e_i, f_j] = \delta_{i,j} \alpha_i^\vee,$
- (for $i \in I^{re}, j \in I, i \neq j$):

$$\begin{cases} (ad e_i)^{1-a_{ij}} e_j &= 0, \\ (ad f_i)^{1-a_{ij}} f_j &= 0, \end{cases}$$
- (for $i, j \in I, i \neq j$): if $a_{ij} = 0$, then
 $[e_i, e_j] = [f_i, f_j] = 0.$

Properties:

- root space decomposition:

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Delta} \mathfrak{g}_\lambda,$$

- **positive** and **negative** roots Δ_\pm ,
- triangular decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+$,
- $(\cdot|.)$ extends to a non-degenerate symmetric *invariant* bilinear form on \mathfrak{g} , with
 - if $\alpha + \beta \neq 0$ then $(\mathfrak{g}_\alpha | \mathfrak{g}_\beta) = 0$,
 - for $\alpha \in \Delta$, $(\cdot|.)$ is non-degenerate on $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \times \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$,
 - for $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta$, $x \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, $y \in \mathfrak{g}_\beta$:
$$[x, y] = (x|y) \alpha.$$

§ 1 – Weyl groups.

1.1. Definitions.

Let $\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ with $(\alpha|\alpha) \neq 0$. Define $r_\alpha \in \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{h})$ to be:

$$r_\alpha : \begin{cases} \mathfrak{h} & \rightarrow \mathfrak{h} \\ h & \mapsto h - 2 \frac{(\alpha|h)}{(\alpha|\alpha)} \alpha, \end{cases}$$

the **reflection w.r.t. the hyperplane** α^\perp .

Exercise:

- $r_\alpha^2 = \mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{h}}$,
- for $h, h' \in \mathfrak{h}$: $(r_\alpha(h)|r_\alpha(h')) = (h|h')$,
- If both α, β non-isotropic:

$$r_{r_\beta(\alpha)} = r_\beta \circ r_\alpha \circ r_\beta^{-1}.$$

For $i \in I^{re}$, write simply $r_i := r_{\alpha_i}$ for the corresponding **simple reflection**.

Alternative formulas:

$$(\forall \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*), \quad r_i(\lambda) = \lambda - \langle \alpha_i^\vee, \lambda \rangle \alpha_i,$$

$$(\forall h \in \mathfrak{h}), \quad r_i(h) = h - \langle \alpha_i, h \rangle \alpha_i^\vee.$$

Definition (Weyl group):

$$W = \langle r_i \mid i \in I^{re} \rangle \subseteq \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{h}).$$

1.2. Properties of the Weyl group.

Immediate properties:

- For non-isotropic $\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}$ and all $w \in W$:

$$r_{w(\alpha)} = w \circ r_\alpha \circ w^{-1}.$$

- The bilinear form $(\cdot | \cdot)$ on \mathfrak{h} is W -invariant.

Proposition.

(1) $W(\Delta) = \Delta$; in particular $W(\Pi) \subseteq \Delta$.

(2) If $\alpha \in \Delta$ and $w \in W$, then:

$$\text{mult}(w(\alpha)) = \text{mult}(\alpha).$$

1.3. Real and imaginary roots.

Define **real** and **imaginary** roots:

$$\Delta^{re} = W(\Pi^{re}), \quad \Delta^{im} = \Delta \setminus \Delta^{re}.$$

Exercise: $\alpha \in \Delta^{re} \Rightarrow -\alpha \in \Delta^{re}$.

Proposition. For $\alpha \in \Delta^{re}$, we have:

- (1) $(\alpha|\alpha) > 0$,
- (2) $r_\alpha \in W$,
- (3) $\text{mult}(\alpha) = 1$.

Proposition. For $i \in I^{re}$, we have:

$$r_i(\Delta_+ \setminus \{\alpha_i\}) = \Delta_+ \setminus \{\alpha_i\}.$$

1.4. Complement: Presentation of W .

W is a Coxeter group, presented by:

generators: r_i , for $i \in I^{re}$,

relations:

- $r_i^2 = 1$,
- $(r_i r_j)^{m_{i,j}} = 1$, with exponents:

$a_{ij} a_{ji}$	0	1	2	3	≥ 4
m_{ij}	2	3	4	6	∞

§ 2 – Root systems.

2.1. Definitions.

- $Q = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z} \alpha_i$, the **root lattice**,
- $Q_+ = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{N} \alpha_i$, the **positive root lattice**.

Support of $\alpha = \sum m_i \alpha_i \in Q$:

$$\text{supp}(\alpha) = \{i \in I \mid m_i \neq 0\}.$$

The **quasi-Dynkin diagram** $\text{qDyn}(A)$:

- vertices: $1 \quad 2 \quad \dots \quad n$,
- edges: $i — j$ iff. $a_{ij} \neq 0$.

Say $\text{supp}(\alpha)$ is **connected** if it is a connected subset of $\text{qDyn}(A)$.

Lemma. $\alpha \in \Delta \Rightarrow \text{supp}(\alpha)$ is connected.

2.2. Real roots.

Proposition. Let $\alpha \in \Delta$ and $i \in I^{re}$.

(1) The set $\{j \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \alpha + j\alpha_i \in \Delta\}$ is finite:

- it has the form $\{m, m+1, \dots, M\}$.
- $m+M = -\langle \alpha_i^\vee, \alpha \rangle$.
- the sequence

$$\{\text{mult}(\alpha + j\alpha_i) \mid j = m, \dots, M\}$$

has bilateral symmetry, and the left half is non-decreasing.

$$(2) (\alpha|\alpha_i) > 0 \Rightarrow \alpha - \alpha_i \in \Delta_+$$
$$(\alpha|\alpha_i) < 0 \Rightarrow \alpha + \alpha_i \in \Delta_+.$$

Corollary. ($\alpha \in \Delta$ and $i \in I^{re}$)

If $\alpha + \alpha_i \notin \Delta$ and $\alpha - \alpha_i \in \Delta$, then $(\alpha|\alpha_i) > 0$.

2.3. Imaginary roots.

Proposition. Let $i \in I^{im}$ and $\alpha \in \Delta_+ \setminus \{\alpha_i\}$ such that $\text{supp}(\alpha + \alpha_i)$ connected. Then:

$$(\forall j \in \mathbb{N}), \quad \alpha + j \alpha_i \in \Delta_+.$$

Remark. If $\alpha \in \Delta^{re}$, then:

$$\mathbb{Z}\alpha \cap \Delta = \{\alpha, -\alpha\}.$$

If $\alpha \in \Delta^{im}$, it may happen that $\mathbb{Z}\alpha \subseteq \Delta$.

Set $\mathfrak{h}'_{\mathbb{R}} = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{R} \alpha_i$.

Define the **Positive Weyl chamber**:

$$C^\vee = \left\{ h \in \mathfrak{h}'_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \langle h, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle \geq 0 \ \forall i \in I^{re} \right\}.$$

Lemma.

- (1) Δ_+^{im} is W -invariant.
- (2) For $\alpha \in \Delta_+^{im}$:

$$\exists w \in W \text{ st. } w\alpha \in -C^\vee.$$

- (3) For $\alpha \in \Delta_+$:

$$\alpha \in \Delta_+^{im} \iff (\alpha|\alpha) \leq 0.$$

Corollary. A root $\alpha \in \Delta$ is real iff. $(\alpha|\alpha) > 0$.

Let:

$$K = \left\{ \alpha \in Q_+ \text{ st. } \begin{cases} \langle \alpha, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle \leq 0 \ \forall i \in I^{re}, \\ \text{supp}(\alpha) \text{ connected.} \end{cases} \right\}$$

$$\overset{\circ}{K} = \{ \alpha \in K \text{ st. } |\text{supp}(\alpha)| \geq 2 \}.$$

Imaginary roots can be **domestic** or **alien**:

- $\Delta^{dom} = W(\Pi^{im}) \cup W(-\Pi^{im}) \subseteq \Delta^{im}$,
- $\Delta^{ali} = \Delta^{im} \setminus \Delta^{dom}$.

Theorem (imaginary roots of symmetrisable BKM matrix).

$$(1) \Delta_+^{ali} = W(\overset{\circ}{K}).$$

$$(2) \Delta_+^{im} = W(\overset{\circ}{K}) \cup W(\Pi^{im}).$$

Exercise: On the grid of next page (thanks to Daiva for drawing it!), represent Δ_+ in the case

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -c & -d \end{bmatrix}, \text{ where } c > 0 \text{ and } d \geq 0.$$

