

---

# THE HODGE CONJECTURE FOR WEIL FOURFOLDS WITH DISCRIMINANT 1 VIA SINGULAR OG6-VARIETIES

by

Salvatore Floccari & Lie Fu

---

**Abstract.** — We give a new proof of the Hodge conjecture for abelian fourfolds of Weil type with discriminant 1 and all of their powers. The Hodge conjecture for these abelian fourfolds was proven by Markman using hyperholomorphic sheaves on hyper-Kähler varieties of generalized Kummer type, and by constructing semiregular sheaves on abelian varieties. Our proof instead relies on a direct geometric relation between abelian fourfolds of Weil type with discriminant 1 and the six-dimensional hyper-Kähler varieties  $\tilde{K}$  of O’Grady type arising as crepant resolutions  $\tilde{K} \rightarrow K$  of a locally trivial deformation of a singular moduli space of sheaves on an abelian surface. As applications, we establish the Hodge conjecture and the Tate conjecture for any variety  $\tilde{K}$  of OG6-type as above, and all of its powers.

## 1. Introduction

The Hodge conjecture predicts profound and fundamental properties of complex projective manifolds. Abelian varieties of Weil type [67] constitute an important testing ground for the Hodge conjecture, since the middle cohomology of such an abelian variety always contains exceptional Hodge classes, called *Hodge–Weil classes*, which cannot be expressed as linear combination of intersections of divisor classes.

Let us recall the definition of these abelian varieties. For a positive integer  $d$ , an abelian variety  $A$  of even dimension  $2n$  is of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$ -Weil type if  $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A)$  contains the imaginary quadratic field  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$  and the action of  $\sqrt{-d}$  on the tangent space of the origin of  $A$  has eigenvalues  $\sqrt{-d}$  and  $-\sqrt{-d}$ , both with multiplicity  $n$ . In each even dimension  $2n$ , abelian varieties of Weil type form countably many families of dimension  $n^2$ , where each family is characterized by the imaginary quadratic field  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$  and another discrete invariant  $\delta \in \mathbb{Q}^*/\mathrm{Nm}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})^*)$ , which is called discriminant.

---

**2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.** — 14C30, 14F20, 14J20, 14J32.

**Key words and phrases.** — Hodge conjecture, hyper-Kähler varieties, abelian varieties, Kuga–Satake construction, motives, Tate conjecture.

Until recently, even in dimension 4, only sporadic cases were known about the algebraicity of the Hodge–Weil classes: it was proven by Schoen [60] for abelian fourfolds of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ -Weil type with arbitrary discriminant or of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$ -Weil type with discriminant 1 (see also van Geemen [26] for the latter case).

A breakthrough in this direction is the following theorem of Markman [40].

**Theorem 1.1 (Markman).** — *The Hodge–Weil classes are algebraic for any abelian fourfold of Weil type with discriminant 1.*

Markman’s proof of the above theorem in [40] relies on the tight link between such abelian fourfolds and hyper-Kähler varieties of generalized Kummer type, discovered by himself and O’Grady [55]. Via results of Voisin [66], Varesco [65] and the first author [21], the above theorem has strong consequences for the Hodge conjecture for hyper-Kähler varieties of generalized Kummer type, see [19, 24].

Building on the aforementioned works [40, 66, 65], Theorem 1.1 was strengthened by the first author in [22], as follows.

**Theorem 1.2.** — *The Hodge conjecture holds for all powers of any abelian fourfold of Weil type with discriminant 1.*

Markman has recently given in [41] another proof of Theorem 1.1. He is able to obtain the much stronger result that the Hodge–Weil classes are algebraic for *any* abelian fourfold of Weil type, with no restriction on the discriminant. Most remarkably, combined with the work of Moonen and Zahrin [46, 47], this result finally settles the Hodge conjecture for all abelian varieties of dimension 4.

The purpose of this paper is to provide an alternative proof of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2, which makes these results, as well as the subsequent applications, independent from the rather involved construction of hyperholomorphic sheaves in [40] (or of semi-regular sheaves in [41]).

**Ingredients of the proof.** — Our approach towards Theorem 1.1 is inspired by the beautiful articles of Markman [40], O’Grady [55] and Voisin [66] on the Kuga–Satake construction for varieties of generalized Kummer type, but we work instead with the six-dimensional hyper-Kähler varieties discovered by O’Grady [54]. In fact, we only consider the divisors in their moduli spaces given by the crepant resolutions of *singular OG6-varieties*, which we define as the locally trivial deformations of the Albanese fibre of the singular moduli space of sheaves studied in [54]; see Theorem 3.1. These singular varieties carry pure Hodge structures of K3-type on their second cohomology. We study the Kuga–Satake construction for singular OG6-varieties.

**Theorem 1.3.** — *Let  $K$  be a projective singular OG6-variety. Then:*

- (i) *the singular locus of  $K$  is isomorphic to  $B_K/\pm 1$  for a 4-dimensional abelian variety  $B_K$ , which is of Weil type with discriminant 1;*
- (ii) *the Kuga–Satake variety of  $K$  is isogenous to  $B_K^4$ .*

*Moreover, any abelian fourfold  $A$  of Weil type with discriminant 1 is isogenous to  $B_K$  for some projective singular OG6-variety  $K$ .*

Any singular OG6-variety  $K$  is also naturally associated with a K3 surface  $S_K$ . Indeed, by the results of Mongardi–Rapagnetta–Saccà [42], any such  $K$  admits a rational double cover  $Z_K \dashrightarrow K$  where  $Z_K$  is a variety of K3<sup>[3]</sup>-type birational to a moduli space of sheaves on a projective K3 surface  $S_K$ , uniquely determined up to isomorphism. These K3 surfaces come in 4-dimensional families of generic Picard rank 16.

The Kuga–Satake variety of  $S_K$  is isogenous to a power of that of  $K$ , and, hence, to a power of  $B_K$ . By a theorem of Varesco [65] and Theorem 1.3, we can reduce Theorem 1.1 to showing that the Kuga–Satake correspondence is algebraic for all the K3 surfaces  $S_K$ . To prove this, we exploit that the double cover  $Z_K \dashrightarrow K$  ramifies over the singular locus of  $K$ , and the ramification locus in  $Z_K$  is birational to  $B_K/\pm 1$ . The rational embedding of  $B_K/\pm 1$  into  $Z_K$  yields a cycle which we use to prove that the Kuga–Satake correspondence is algebraic for  $S_K$ . Our proof explains how to recover the Kuga–Satake abelian fourfold  $B$  from the K3 surface  $S$ : there exists a moduli space of sheaves on  $S$  with a birational involution whose “fixed locus” is birational to  $B/\pm 1$ .

We then deduce Theorem 1.2 from Theorem 1.1 using results from [65] and [22]. In the final section we give applications to the Hodge and Tate conjectures and the study of the homological motive of hyper-Kähler varieties. We refer to Section 5 for the most general statements (Theorem 5.7, Theorem 5.8) and only mention the following results.

**Theorem 1.4.** — *Let  $\tilde{K}$  be a hyper-Kähler variety of OG6-type obtained as a crepant resolution of a singular OG6-variety  $K$ . Then:*

- (i) *the Hodge conjecture holds for  $\tilde{K}$  and all of its powers.*
- (ii) *the Kuga–Satake–Hodge conjecture holds for  $\tilde{K}$ .*
- (iii) *the homological motive of  $\tilde{K}$  is abelian.*

Let now  $L \subset \mathbb{C}$  be a subfield which is finitely generated over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . For a smooth projective variety  $X$  defined over  $L$  and a prime number  $\ell$ , consider the representation of the absolute Galois group of  $L$  on the  $\ell$ -adic cohomology groups  $H_{\text{ét}}^i(X_{\bar{L}}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell})$ . The strong Tate conjecture predicts that these Galois representations are semisimple and that the cycle map  $\text{cl}^i: \text{CH}^i(X)_{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}} \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^{2i}(X, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}(i))^{\text{Gal}(\bar{L}/L)}$  is surjective for each  $i$ .

**Theorem 1.5.** — *Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety over  $L$  whose base-change  $X_{\mathbb{C}}$  is a crepant resolution of a singular OG6-variety. Then, for any prime number  $\ell$ , the strong Tate conjecture holds for  $X$  and all of its powers.*

We deduce this result from Theorem 1.4 using the Mumford–Tate conjecture, which has been proven for all known hyper-Kähler varieties [18, 61, 23]. See Corollary 5.11 for a more general statement.

**Acknowledgments.** — We are grateful to Kieran O’Grady, Eyal Markman and Claire Voisin for their interest in this project. Salvatore Floccari is supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) – Project-ID 491392403 – TRR 358. Lie Fu is supported by the University of Strasbourg Institute for Advanced Study (USIAS), by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR) under projects ANR-20-CE40-0023 and ANR-24-CE40-4098, and by the International Emerging Actions (IEA) of CNRS.

## 2. Preliminaries on Kuga–Satake

A rational Hodge structure of *K3-type* is an effective pure  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Hodge structure  $V$  of weight 2 such that  $V^{2,0}$  is 1-dimensional. Starting with a polarized rational Hodge structure of K3-type  $(V, q)$ , the Kuga–Satake construction [36, 15] produces an abelian variety  $\mathrm{KS}(V)$ , well-defined up to isogeny<sup>(1)</sup>. The crucial property of  $\mathrm{KS}(V)$  is the existence of an embedding of rational Hodge structures

$$\mu: V \hookrightarrow H^2(\mathrm{KS}(V) \times \mathrm{KS}(V), \mathbb{Q}).$$

Let us briefly recall the construction, following [15]. Let  $(V, q)$  be as above. Let  $\mathbf{T}^\bullet V = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} V^{\otimes i}$  be the tensor algebra of  $V$ . One considers the Clifford algebra

$$C(V) := \mathbf{T}^\bullet V / \langle v \otimes v - q(v, v) \rangle_{v \in V},$$

which is naturally  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded,  $C(V) = C^+(V) \oplus C^-(V)$ . The Hodge structure on  $V$  induces an effective Hodge structure of weight 1 on  $C^+(V)$ , and  $C^+(V)$  with this Hodge structure equals  $H^1(\mathrm{KS}(V), \mathbb{Q})$  for an abelian variety  $\mathrm{KS}(V)$ , the Kuga–Satake variety of  $(V, q)$ . Fix a vector  $v_0 \in V$  such that  $q(v_0, v_0) \neq 0$ . The map  $\mu: V \rightarrow \mathrm{End}(C^+(V))$  given by  $\mu(v)(w) = v \cdot w \cdot v_0$  is an embedding of weight-0 Hodge structures

$$\mu: V(1) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{End}(H^1(\mathrm{KS}(V), \mathbb{Q})).$$

<sup>(1)</sup>If one starts with a polarized integral Hodge structure of K3-type, the Kuga–Satake construction gives rise to a well-defined abelian variety. We will only need the construction up to isogeny.

Identifying  $\text{End}(H^1(\text{KS}(V), \mathbb{Q}))$  with  $H^1(\text{KS}(V), \mathbb{Q})^{\otimes 2}(1)$  via a polarization, we obtain an embedding of weight-2 Hodge structures

$$\mu: V \hookrightarrow H^2(\text{KS}(X)^2, \mathbb{Q}).$$

This embedding is called the Kuga–Satake correspondence.

**Remark 2.1.** — Let  $(V_1, q_1)$  and  $(V_2, q_2)$  be polarized rational Hodge structures of K3-type, and assume that  $f: V_1 \rightarrow V_2$  is a Hodge similarity, i.e., an isomorphism of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Hodge structures which multiplies the form by a factor  $k \in \mathbb{Q}^*$ . Then, the Kuga–Satake varieties  $\text{KS}(V_1)$  and  $\text{KS}(V_2)$  are isogenous. In fact, by [64, Proposition 0.2], there exists an isogeny  $F: \text{KS}(V_1) \rightarrow \text{KS}(V_2)$  and a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_1 & \xrightarrow{f} & V_2 \\ \downarrow \mu_1 & & \downarrow \mu_2 \\ H^2(\text{KS}(V_1)^2, \mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{F_*} & H^2(\text{KS}(V_2)^2, \mathbb{Q}), \end{array}$$

where  $F_*$  is the isomorphism of Hodge structures induced by  $F$ .

Let  $(X, h)$  be a polarized compact hyper-Kähler manifold, with  $H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$  equipped with the Beauville–Bogomolov quadratic form [8]. Let  $H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})_{\text{prim}}$  be the orthogonal complement of the polarization class  $h$ , which is a polarized Hodge structure of K3-type, and let  $\text{KS}(X)$  be the Kuga–Satake variety obtained from  $H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})_{\text{prim}}$ . In this case, the Hodge conjecture predicts that the embedding  $\mu$  should be the correspondence induced by an algebraic cycle on  $X \times \text{KS}(X) \times \text{KS}(X)$ . This leads to the following conjecture, which is of central importance in this paper.

**Conjecture 2.2 (Kuga–Satake–Hodge conjecture).** — *Let  $(X, h)$  be a  $2n$ -dimensional polarized smooth hyper-Kähler variety. There exists an algebraic class  $\gamma \in H^{4n-2}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H^2(\text{KS}(X)^2, \mathbb{Q}) \subset H^{4n}(X \times \text{KS}(X)^2, \mathbb{Q})$  which induces, as a correspondence, an embedding of rational Hodge structures*

$$H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})_{\text{prim}} \hookrightarrow H^2(\text{KS}(X)^2, \mathbb{Q}).$$

**Remark 2.3.** — The transcendental cohomology  $H_{\text{tr}}^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$  of a hyper-Kähler variety  $X$  is the orthogonal complement in  $H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$  of the entire Néron–Severi group with respect to the Beauville–Bogomolov form. By construction,  $H_{\text{tr}}^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$  is an irreducible Hodge structure of K3-type, and we may consider the Kuga–Satake variety  $\text{KS}'(X)$  built from  $H_{\text{tr}}^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ . Then  $\text{KS}(X)$  is isogenous to a power of  $\text{KS}'(X)$ , and Theorem 2.2 is equivalent to the analogous statement for  $H_{\text{tr}}^2(X, \mathbb{Q}) \hookrightarrow H^2(\text{KS}'(X) \times \text{KS}'(X), \mathbb{Q})$ ; see for example [31, Chapter 4, 2.5].

Notice that there is no reference to a specific embedding in Conjecture 2.2; nevertheless, the Kuga–Satake construction yields a natural one. A more precise version of the Kuga–Satake–Hodge Conjecture 2.2 is the following.

**Conjecture 2.4.** — *Keep the assumptions as in Conjecture 2.2. There exists an algebraic class  $\gamma \in H^{4n-2}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H^2(\mathrm{KS}(X)^2, \mathbb{Q}) \subset H^{4n}(X \times \mathrm{KS}(X)^2, \mathbb{Q})$  which induces, as a correspondence, the Kuga–Satake embedding*

$$\mu: H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})_{\mathrm{prim}} \hookrightarrow H^2(\mathrm{KS}(X)^2, \mathbb{Q}).$$

The conjecture does not depend on the choice of  $v_0$  in the construction of  $\mu$ . It is not clear to us whether Conjecture 2.2 implies the more precise version Conjecture 2.4 in general. While Conjecture 2.2 is sufficient for most purposes, in some cases the algebraicity of the specific Kuga–Satake embedding can be useful; see for instance the proof of the following result.

**Theorem 2.5** ([22, Theorem 3.5]). — *Let  $(S, h)$  be a polarized K3 surface, and let  $\mathrm{KS}(S)$  be the Kuga–Satake variety constructed from  $H^2(S, \mathbb{Q})_{\mathrm{prim}}$ . Assume that the Hodge conjecture holds for all powers of  $S$  and that Conjecture 2.4 holds for  $S$ . Then, the Hodge conjecture holds for all powers of  $\mathrm{KS}(S)$ .*

Determining the Kuga–Satake variety of a polarized hyper-Kähler variety  $X$  is challenging in general. Descriptions of  $\mathrm{KS}(X)$  in terms of the geometry of  $X$  are available only in a few cases, for example, for abelian surfaces and Kummer K3 surfaces by Morrison [49], for double covers of  $\mathbb{P}^2$  ramified along six lines by Paranjape [56], and for hyper-Kähler varieties of generalized Kummer type by Markman [40] and O’Grady [55].

The following result of Lombardo [39] allows one to study abelian fourfolds of Weil type with discriminant 1 as isogeny factors of Kuga–Satake varieties (see also [27, Theorem 9.2] and [65, Theorem 4.1]).

**Theorem 2.6 (Lombardo).** — *Let  $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . Let  $A$  be an abelian fourfold of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$ -Weil type with discriminant 1. Then  $A^4$  is isogenous to the Kuga–Satake variety of a 6-dimensional polarized Hodge structure of K3-type  $(V, q)$  such that*

$$(V, q) \cong U_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \langle a \rangle \oplus \langle b \rangle,$$

with  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0}$ , where  $U$  denotes a hyperbolic plane.

Conversely, given a polarized Hodge structure of K3-type  $(V, q)$  with an isomorphism of quadratic spaces as above, the Kuga–Satake variety  $\mathrm{KS}(V)$  is isogenous to  $A^4$  for some abelian fourfold  $A$  of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-ab})$ -Weil type with discriminant 1.

By the Torelli theorem for K3 surfaces, any 6-dimensional Hodge structure  $(V, q)$  as above arises as direct summand of the Hodge structure of a projective K3 surface ([48, Corollary 2.10]). A crucial tool that we use in this paper is the following theorem of Varesco [65, Proposition 0.4].

**Theorem 2.7 (Varesco).** — *Let  $S$  be a very general K3 surface of Picard number 16 with transcendental cohomology  $H_{\text{tr}}^2(S, \mathbb{Q})$  isometric to  $U_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \langle a \rangle \oplus \langle b \rangle$ , with  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0}$ . Let  $A$  be the simple factor of  $\text{KS}(S)$  as in Theorem 2.6. If the Kuga–Satake–Hodge Theorem 2.2 holds for  $S$ , then the Hodge conjecture holds for all powers of  $A$ .*

### 3. Singular OG6-varieties and their Kuga–Satake varieties

Let  $A$  be an abelian surface. The Mukai lattice of  $A$  is the even cohomology  $H^{2\bullet}(A, \mathbb{Z})$  equipped with the pairing

$$((a, b, c), (a', b', c')) = (b, b') - ac' - a'c.$$

Given an algebraic Mukai vector  $v \in H^{2\bullet}(A, \mathbb{Z})$  and a polarization  $H$  on  $A$ , let  $M_A(v)$  be the moduli space of  $H$ -semistable coherent sheaves on  $A$  with Chern character  $v$  (see [32] for a reference); we will always assume that the polarization  $H$  is  $v$ -generic and omit it from the notation. If not empty,  $M_A(v)$  is a projective variety of dimension  $v^2 + 2$  and its smooth locus carries a symplectic form ([50]). Assume that  $v^2 \geq 6$ . The Albanese map is an isotrivial fibration  $M_A(v) \rightarrow A \times \hat{A}$ ; we let  $K_A(v)$  denote the fibre. If  $v$  is primitive, then  $M_A(v)$  is smooth, and  $K_A(v)$  is a hyper-Kähler variety of generalized Kummer type of dimension  $2n = v^2 - 2$  ([68]). If  $v$  is not primitive, then  $K_A(v)$  is singular and does not admit a crepant resolution in most cases ([34]).

Consider the Mukai vector  $(2, 0, -2) \in H^{2\bullet}(A, \mathbb{Z})$ . In [53, 54], O’Grady constructed a crepant resolution  $\tilde{K}_A(2, 0, -2) \rightarrow K_A(2, 0, -2)$ , which gives a 6-dimensional smooth hyper-Kähler variety that is not deformation equivalent to a Hilbert scheme of points on a K3 surface or to a generalized Kummer variety. Lehn and Sorger [38] showed that O’Grady’s resolution coincides with the blow-up of  $K_A(2, 0, -2)$  along its singular locus. In fact, any (non-empty) moduli space of sheaves  $K_A(v)$  with  $v = 2v_0$  for a primitive algebraic Mukai vector  $v_0$  of square 2 admits a crepant resolution  $\tilde{K}_A(v)$  by a hyper-Kähler variety deformation equivalent to O’Grady’s example ([57]).

A *hyper-Kähler manifold of OG6-type* is by definition a compact hyper-Kähler manifold which is deformation equivalent to O’Grady’s crepant resolution  $\tilde{K}_A(2, 0, -2)$ ; these manifolds form a holomorphic family of dimension 6. We shall be concerned with a subfamily of codimension 1.

**Definition 3.1 (Singular OG6-varieties).** — A *singular OG6-variety*  $K$  is a compact Kähler complex analytic space which is a locally trivial deformation of  $K_A(2, 0, -2)$ . Here, locally trivial deformation is as in the sense of [16]. An *OG6-resolution* is a compact hyper-Kähler manifold isomorphic to the crepant resolution  $\tilde{K}$  of a singular OG6-variety  $K$  obtained by blowing up its singular locus.

The theory of locally trivial deformations of singular hyper-Kähler varieties admitting a crepant resolution has been developed in [6], and it is entirely analogous to that of hyper-Kähler manifolds. The OG6-resolutions are exactly the deformations of  $\tilde{K}_A(2, 0, -2)$  on which the parallel transport of the cohomology class of the exceptional divisor of  $\tilde{K}_A(2, 0, -2) \rightarrow K_A(2, 0, -2)$  remains algebraic; thus, OG6-resolutions form a 5-dimensional holomorphic subfamily among all hyper-Kähler manifolds of OG6-type. Projective OG6-resolutions come in 4-dimensional families; as abelian surfaces only give 3-dimensional families, the very general projective OG6-resolution cannot be realized from a singular moduli space of sheaves on an abelian surface.

**Remark 3.2.** — The pull-back along the resolution  $\nu: \tilde{K} \rightarrow K$  induces an embedding  $\nu^*: H^2(K, \mathbb{Z}) \hookrightarrow H^2(\tilde{K}, \mathbb{Z})$ , by [57, Theorem 1.7]. The cohomology class of the exceptional divisor  $E$  of  $\nu$  is divisible by 2, and we have  $H^2(\tilde{K}, \mathbb{Z}) = \nu^*(H^2(K, \mathbb{Z})) \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cdot \frac{1}{2}[E]$ . In particular,  $H^2(K, \mathbb{Z})$  carries a pure weight-2 Hodge structure of K3-type. We equip  $H^2(K, \mathbb{Z})$  with the pairing induced by the restriction of the Beauville–Bogomolov form on  $H^2(\tilde{K}, \mathbb{Z})$ . As a lattice,  $H^2(K, \mathbb{Z})$  (resp.  $H^2(\tilde{K}, \mathbb{Z})$ ) is isometric to  $U^{\oplus 3} \oplus \langle -2 \rangle$  (resp. to  $U^{\oplus 3} \oplus \langle -2 \rangle \oplus \langle -2 \rangle$ ), see [58].

We will study the Kuga–Satake varieties of singular OG6-varieties and their resolutions. Let  $(K, h)$  be a polarized singular OG6-variety. We write  $H^2(K, \mathbb{Z})_{\text{prim}}$  for the primitive cohomology, that is, the orthogonal complement of  $h$  in  $H^2(K, \mathbb{Z})$ ; if  $\nu: \tilde{K} \rightarrow K$  is the OG6-resolution of  $K$ , then  $\nu^*(H^2(K, \mathbb{Z})_{\text{prim}})$  is the orthogonal complement of the 2-dimensional sublattice  $\langle \nu^*(h), [E] \rangle$  of  $H^2(\tilde{K}, \mathbb{Z})$ .

**Remark 3.3.** — Over the rational numbers,  $H^2(K, \mathbb{Q})_{\text{prim}}$  is isometric to the quadratic space  $U_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \langle -2 \rangle \oplus \langle -2d \rangle$ , where  $2d$  is the Beauville–Bogomolov degree of  $h$ .

The following theorem shows that singular OG6-varieties are naturally related to abelian fourfolds of Weil type with discriminant 1.

**Theorem 3.4.** — *Let  $(K, h)$  be a polarized singular OG6-variety, with  $h$  of Beauville–Bogomolov square  $2d$ .*

- (i) The singular locus of  $K$  is isomorphic to  $B_K/\pm 1$  for an abelian fourfold  $B_K$  of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$ -Weil type with discriminant 1.
- (ii) The Kuga–Satake variety  $\mathrm{KS}(H^2(K, \mathbb{Q})_{\mathrm{prim}})$  of  $K$  is isogenous to  $B_K^4$ .

*Proof.* — The singular locus of O’Grady’s moduli space  $K_A(2, 0, -2)$  is isomorphic to  $(A \times \hat{A})/\pm 1$ . Thus, by construction, the singular locus of a (not necessarily projective) singular OG6-variety  $K$  is a locally trivial deformation of  $(A \times \hat{A})/\pm 1$ . But, since  $-1$  acts trivially on  $H^2(A \times \hat{A}, \mathbb{Z})$ , any such deformation is of the form  $B/\pm 1$  for some complex torus  $B$  of dimension 4 (see [25, Corollary 3.6]). Hence, the singular locus of  $K$  is isomorphic to  $B_K/\pm 1$  for some complex torus  $B_K$  of dimension 4; if  $K$  is projective, then  $B_K$  is an abelian fourfold.

Next, for any singular OG6-variety  $K$ , the restriction  $H^2(K, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^2(B_K/\pm 1, \mathbb{Q})$  is injective, as was already observed in [9]. The main point is that the restriction of the holomorphic 2-form of  $K$  to its singular locus is non-zero. Hence, as for a very general (non-projective) singular OG6-variety  $K$  the Hodge structure  $H^2(K, \mathbb{Q})$  is irreducible, the restriction  $H^2(K, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^2(B_K/\pm 1, \mathbb{Q})$  must be an embedding of Hodge structures for very general  $K$ . Since the injectivity of the induced map in cohomology is a topological property, therefore invariant under locally trivial deformations, we conclude that the restriction map is injective for any singular OG6-variety  $K$ . There is an isomorphism of Hodge structures  $H^2(B_K/\pm 1, \mathbb{Q}) \cong H^2(B_K, \mathbb{Q})$ ; by the above, we thus obtain an embedding of Hodge structures

$$\psi: H^2(K, \mathbb{Q})_{\mathrm{prim}} \hookrightarrow H^2(B_K, \mathbb{Q}).$$

Assume now that  $(K, h)$  is polarized. We adapt arguments of O’Grady from [55] to complete the proof. It suffices to prove (i) and (ii) for a very general projective singular OG6-variety  $(K, h)$ . In this case,  $H^2(K, \mathbb{Q})_{\mathrm{prim}}$  is an irreducible Hodge structure, and, as a quadratic space, it is isometric to  $U_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \langle -2 \rangle \oplus \langle -2d \rangle$ , where  $2d$  is the Beauville–Bogomolov degree of  $h$  (Remark 3.3). By Lombardo’s result (Theorem 2.6), the Kuga–Satake variety built from  $H^2(K, \mathbb{Q})_{\mathrm{prim}}$  is isogenous to  $C^4$ , where  $C$  is a simple abelian fourfold of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$ -Weil type with discriminant 1. By the universal property of the Kuga–Satake construction established by van Geemen–Voisin [28] and Charles [13], the existence of the embedding of Hodge structures  $\psi$  implies that  $B_K$  is isogenous to a subquotient of the Kuga–Satake variety  $C^4$ . But since  $C$  is simple and  $B_K$  has dimension 4, we conclude that  $B_K$  is isogenous to  $C$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.5.** — The same statement holds if  $(K, h)$  is only a quasi-polarized singular OG6-variety of degree  $2d$ , with the same proof.

Given a family  $\mathcal{K} \rightarrow T$  of quasi-polarized singular OG6-varieties, we obtain a family  $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow T$  of abelian fourfolds of Weil type with discriminant 1, such that the singular locus of the fibre  $\mathcal{K}_t$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{B}_t / \pm 1$ . Together with the next proposition, Theorem 3.4 implies Theorem 1.3 from the introduction.

**Proposition 3.6.** — *For  $(K, h)$  varying in a complete family  $\mathcal{K} \rightarrow T$  of quasi-polarized singular OG6-varieties of degree  $2d$ , the family  $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow T$  of abelian fourfolds of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$ -Weil type with discriminant 1 is complete up to isogeny.*

*Proof.* — Let  $\Lambda_{\text{OG6}^{\text{sing}}} = \mathbb{U}^{\oplus 3} \oplus \langle -2 \rangle$  be the abstract lattice underlying the second cohomology of singular OG6-varieties, equipped with the Beauville–Bogomolov form. The divisibility  $\text{div}(h)$  of a class  $h \in \Lambda_{\text{OG6}^{\text{sing}}}$  is the integer such that  $(h, -) = \text{div}(h) \cdot \mathbb{Z}$ . We have  $\text{div}(h) = 1$  or  $2$ ; by Eichler’s criterion, the orbit of  $h$  under the orthogonal group  $O(\Lambda_{\text{OG6}^{\text{sing}}})$  is uniquely determined by its square and its divisibility. Let  $h$  be a positive class of degree  $2d$ , and let  $L \subset \Lambda_{\text{OG6}^{\text{sing}}}$  be the orthogonal complement to  $h$ . If  $\text{div}(h) = 1$ , then  $h^\perp$  is isometric to  $\mathbb{U}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \langle -2 \rangle \oplus \langle -2d \rangle$ , while if  $\text{div}(h) = 2$  we must have  $d = 4d' - 1$  for some integer  $d'$ , and then  $h^\perp$  is isometric to  $\mathbb{U}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} -2 & -1 \\ -1 & -2d' \end{pmatrix}$ . In any case,  $h^\perp \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$  is isometric to  $\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \langle -2 \rangle \oplus \langle -2d \rangle$ .

Let  $L$  denote the lattice  $H^2(K_t, \mathbb{Z})_{\text{prim}}$  for a very general fibre  $(\mathcal{K}_t, h_t)$  of a family  $\mathcal{K} \rightarrow T$  as above. By the surjectivity of the period map for singular OG6-varieties, any Hodge structure of K3-type on the lattice  $L$  is realized as primitive cohomology  $H^2(\mathcal{K}_t, \mathbb{Z})_{\text{prim}}$  of a fibre  $(\mathcal{K}_t, h_t)$ . By Theorem 3.4 it suffices to show that, given any abelian fourfold  $B$  of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$ -Weil type with discriminant 1, there exists a Hodge structure of K3-type  $L_B$  on the lattice  $L$  such that  $\text{KS}(L_B)$  is isogenous to  $B^4$ .

By Lombardo’s Theorem 2.6, there exists a polarized  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Hodge structure of K3-type  $(V, q)$  such that  $\text{KS}(V)$  is isogenous to  $B^4$ , with  $(V, q)$  isometric to  $\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \langle a \rangle \oplus \langle b \rangle$  for negative integers  $a, b$  such that  $ab = c^2 \cdot d$  for some  $c \in \mathbb{Q}^*$ . Let  $(V', q')$  be the same Hodge structure as  $V$ , but with the form multiplied by  $-2a$ ; by Remark 2.1, the Kuga–Satake variety  $\text{KS}(V')$  is also isogenous to  $B^4$ . Notice that  $(V', q')$  is isometric to  $\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \langle -2 \rangle \oplus \langle -2d \rangle$ . Hence, there exists an isometry  $V' \cong L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ ; the desired Hodge structure  $L_B$  is the one induced on  $L$  by that on  $V'$  via this isometry.  $\square$

#### 4. Proof of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2

Thanks to the work of Mongardi–Rapagnetta–Saccà [42], any OG6-resolution  $\tilde{K}$  admits a rational double cover  $Z_K \dashrightarrow \tilde{K}$  from a manifold  $Z_K$  of K3<sup>[3]</sup>-type. The existence of this double cover comes from the fact that the class of the exceptional divisor of  $\tilde{K} \rightarrow K$  is divisible by 2 in the Picard group of  $\tilde{K}$  ([58]).

More precisely, let  $K$  be a singular OG6-variety (Theorem 3.1), and denote by  $\Sigma$  (resp.  $\Omega$ ) the singular locus of  $K$  (resp. of  $\Sigma$ ); then  $\Sigma \cong B_K/\pm 1$  for the 4-dimensional complex torus  $B_K$  of Theorem 3.4, and  $\Omega$  consists of the 256 nodes of  $\Sigma$ .

**Theorem 4.1 (Mongardi–Rapagnetta–Saccà).** — *Let  $K$  be any singular OG6-variety. Then there exists a manifold  $Z_K$  of K3<sup>[3]</sup>-type and a degree-2 generically finite morphism  $\phi: Z_K \rightarrow K$  such that:  $\phi|_{\phi^{-1}(K \setminus \Sigma)}$  is an étale double cover,  $\Delta := \phi^{-1}(\Sigma)$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Bl}_\Omega(\Sigma)$ , and  $\phi|_\Delta: \Delta \rightarrow \Sigma$  is identified with the blow-up map.*

*Proof.* — In [42], the theorem is stated only when  $K$  is the Albanese fibre of a moduli space  $M_A(v)$  of sheaves on an abelian surface  $A$ . Not all singular OG6-varieties may be constructed in this way, but it is immediate to deduce the stronger statement using locally trivial deformations as in [42, Proof of Proposition 5.3].  $\square$

**Remark 4.2.** — The map  $\phi: Z_K \rightarrow K$  induces a Hodge isometry

$$\phi_*: H_{\text{tr}}^2(Z_K, \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\text{tr}}^2(K, \mathbb{Q})(2),$$

where the form on the right hand side is multiplied by 2, see [20, Lemma 3.4]. Hence, the Kuga–Satake varieties of  $Z_K$  and  $K$  share the same isogeny factors, by Remark 2.1.

**Corollary 4.3.** — *Let  $K$  be a projective singular OG6-variety. Then the Mongardi–Rapagnetta–Saccà double cover  $Z_K$  is a projective variety of K3<sup>[3]</sup>-type which is birational to a moduli space of stable sheaves on a K3 surface  $S_K$ . Moreover,  $S_K$  is uniquely determined up to isomorphism.*

*Proof.* — The proof is exactly the same as that of [20, Proposition 3.3]. By the above remark, the transcendental lattice of  $Z_K$  is of rank  $k \leq 6$  and has signature  $(2, k - 2)$ . Hence, by the Torelli theorem there exists a K3 surface  $S_K$  such that  $H_{\text{tr}}^2(S_K, \mathbb{Z})$  is Hodge isometric to  $H_{\text{tr}}^2(Z_K, \mathbb{Z})$  (see [48, Corollary 2.10]). Then it follows from [1, Proposition 4] that  $Z_K$  is birational to a smooth and projective moduli space  $M_{S_K}(v)$  of stable sheaves, for some Mukai vector  $v$  and a generic polarization. Since  $S_K$  is projective of Picard rank at least 16, it is determined up to isomorphism by its transcendental lattice, by [31, Chapter 16, Corollary 3.8].  $\square$

**Remark 4.4.** — By [43, Proposition 2.3], we can realize  $Z_K$  as a moduli space of objects in the derived category of  $S_K$  which are stable with respect to a generic Bridgeland stability condition ([10, 7]).

Let now  $K$  be a projective singular OG6-variety, let  $\phi: Z_K \rightarrow K$  be the morphism given in Theorem 4.1, and let  $S_K$  be the K3 surface given by Corollary 4.3.

**Theorem 4.5.** — *For any projective singular OG6-variety  $K$ , the Kuga–Satake–Hodge Conjecture 2.2 holds for the K3 surface  $S_K$ .*

*Proof.* — By specialization of cycles, it suffices to prove the theorem when  $K$  is very general. Then  $H_{\text{tr}}^2(K, \mathbb{Z}) = H^2(K, \mathbb{Z})_{\text{prim}}$  is of rank 6. By Remark 4.2, the Kuga–Satake variety of  $Z_K$  is isogenous to a power of that of  $K$ ; by Theorem 3.4, the Kuga–Satake variety  $\text{KS}(H_{\text{tr}}^2(Z_K, \mathbb{Q}))$  is in this case isogenous to  $B_K^4$ , where  $B_K$  is the abelian variety such that the singular locus of  $K$  is isomorphic to  $B_K / \pm 1$ .

By Theorem 4.1, there is a closed embedding  $j: \text{Bl}_{\Omega}(\Sigma) \hookrightarrow Z_K$ , where  $\Sigma \cong B_K / \pm 1$  and  $\Omega$  is the singular locus of  $\Sigma$ , which is the image of the subset  $B_K[2]$  of points of order 2 in  $B_K$ . Then,  $\text{Bl}_{\Omega}(\Sigma) \cong (\text{Bl}_{B_K[2]}(B_K)) / \pm 1$  and there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \text{Bl}_{B_K[2]}(B_K) & \\
 p \swarrow & & \searrow q \\
 B_K & & \text{Bl}_{\Omega}(\Sigma) \\
 & \searrow & \swarrow \\
 & B_K / \pm 1 &
 \end{array}$$

in which the maps going to the left are blow-ups and those going to the right are quotients with respect to the action of  $-1$ . We then get a morphism of Hodge structures

$$\Psi: H_{\text{tr}}^2(Z_K, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^2(B_K, \mathbb{Q})$$

by setting  $\Psi := p_* \circ q^* \circ j^*$ . By construction,  $\Psi$  is the restriction of the correspondence induced by an algebraic class  $\gamma \in H^{\bullet}(Z_K \times B_K, \mathbb{Q})$ . Since, by [12] and [35], the standard conjectures hold for both the K3<sup>[3]</sup>-variety  $Z_K$  and the abelian variety  $B_K$ , the Künneth component  $\bar{\gamma} \in H^{10}(Z_K, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H^2(B_K, \mathbb{Q})$  inducing  $\Psi$  is algebraic.

We claim now that  $\Psi$  is injective, which will prove Conjecture 2.2 for  $Z_K$ . Since  $H_{\text{tr}}^2(Z_K, \mathbb{Q})$  is an irreducible Hodge structure, it is sufficient to show that  $\Psi$  is not the zero map. Let  $\sigma$  be a holomorphic symplectic 2-form on  $Z_K$ . If  $\sigma|_{\text{im}(j)}$  was identically 0, then  $\text{im}(j)$  would have dimension at most 3, but this is not the case. Hence,  $j^*(\sigma)$  is a non-zero holomorphic 2-form on  $\text{Bl}_{\Omega}(\Sigma)$ . It is well-known that  $p_* \circ q^*$  induces an isomorphism

$$p_* \circ q^*: H^0(\text{Bl}_{\Omega}(\Sigma), \Omega^2) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^0(B_K, \Omega^2)$$

between the spaces of holomorphic 2-forms. Therefore,  $\Psi(\sigma)$  is a non-zero holomorphic 2-form on  $B_K$ , and we conclude that  $\Psi$  is an embedding, as claimed.

By Corollary 4.3, there exists a projective K3 surface  $S_K$  such that  $Z_K$  is birational to a smooth and projective moduli space  $M_{S_K}(v)$  of stable sheaves on  $S_K$ . By [51, 52],

there exists a Hodge isometry

$$\Phi: H_{\text{tr}}^2(S_K, \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\text{tr}}^2(M_{S_K}(v), \mathbb{Q}),$$

which is induced by an algebraic class  $\delta \in H^2(S_K, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H^2(M_{S_K}(v), \mathbb{Q})$  (see [21, pp. 407] or [22, §5.3]). Moreover, by [30, Corollary 5.2] a birational map  $f: M_{S_K}(v) \dashrightarrow Z_K$  induces a Hodge isometry

$$f_*: H^2(M_{S_K}(v), \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^2(Z_K, \mathbb{Q});$$

since the standard conjectures hold for varieties of K3<sup>[3]</sup>-type by [12], the isometry  $f_*$  is induced by an algebraic class  $\eta \in H^{10}(M_{S_K}(v), \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H^2(Z_K, \mathbb{Q})$ . Therefore, the composition  $\Psi \circ f_* \circ \Phi$  gives an embedding of Hodge structures

$$\Psi \circ f_* \circ \Phi: H_{\text{tr}}^2(S_K, \mathbb{Q}) \hookrightarrow H^2(B_K, \mathbb{Q})$$

which is induced by an algebraic class in  $H^2(S_K, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H^2(B_K, \mathbb{Q})$ . Since the Kuga–Satake variety of  $S_K$  is isogenous to a power of that of  $K$ , and, hence, to a power of  $B_K$ , we have shown that the Kuga–Satake–Hodge Conjecture 2.2 holds for  $S_K$ .  $\square$

We can now complete the proof of our main results.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* — Let  $B$  be a very general abelian fourfold of Weil type with discriminant 1. By Proposition 3.6, there exists a singular OG6-variety  $K$  such that  $B$  is isogenous to the fourfold  $B_K$  associated with  $K$  as in Theorem 3.4. By Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 4.3, there exists a K3 surface  $S_K$  whose Kuga–Satake variety is isogenous to a power of  $B_K$ . Moreover, by Theorem 4.5, Conjecture 2.2 holds for  $S_K$ . Since  $B$  is very general, we can now apply Varesco’s Theorem 2.7 to conclude that the Hodge conjecture holds for  $B$  (and all of its powers). In particular, the Hodge–Weil classes are algebraic. Via specialization, it follows that the Hodge–Weil classes are algebraic for any abelian fourfold of Weil type with discriminant 1.  $\square$

By work of Varesco, Theorem 4.5 has the following consequence.

**Corollary 4.6.** — *For any projective singular OG6-variety  $K$ , the Hodge conjecture holds for all powers of the K3 surface  $S_K$ .*

*Proof.* — Starting from a complete polarized family  $\mathcal{K} \rightarrow T$  of singular OG6-varieties, we obtain a 4-dimensional family of K3 surfaces  $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow T$ , of general Picard rank 16, such that the Kuga–Satake variety of a very general fibre  $\mathcal{S}_t$  is a power of a simple abelian fourfold of Weil type with discriminant 1. By Theorem 4.5, the Kuga–Satake–Hodge conjecture holds for the K3 surfaces  $\mathcal{S}_t$  for any  $t \in T$ . By [65, Theorem 0.2], the Hodge conjecture holds for any power of the K3 surface  $\mathcal{S}_t$ , for any  $t \in T$ .  $\square$

We will now prove Theorem 1.2 using the criterion given in Theorem 2.5. We first bootstrap from Theorem 4.5 to establish the stronger version of the Kuga–Satake–Hodge conjecture as stated in Conjecture 2.4.

**Corollary 4.7.** — *Let  $K$  be a projective singular OG6-variety. Let  $S_K$  be the K3 surface associated with  $K$ . Then Conjecture 2.4 holds for  $S_K$ .*

*Proof.* — As the Kuga–Satake construction as well as the definition of the Kuga–Satake correspondence  $\mu$  can be done in families, via specialization of cycles we may assume that  $K$  is a very general projective singular OG6-variety. In this case,  $S_K$  is of Picard rank 16 and  $\mathrm{KS}(H_{\mathrm{tr}}^2(S_K, \mathbb{Q}))$  is isogenous to  $B_K^4$  for a very general abelian fourfold  $B_K$  of Weil type with discriminant 1. By Theorem 4.5, the Kuga–Satake–Hodge Conjecture 2.2 holds for  $S_K$ ; by Varesco’s Theorem 2.7, the Hodge conjecture holds for all powers of  $B_K$ . Via a standard argument using homological motives, it follows that the Hodge conjecture holds for any variety isomorphic to a product of powers of  $S_K$  and  $B_K$  (see Example 5.5.(iv) and Lemma 5.4). Since  $\mathrm{KS}(S_K)$  is isogenous to a power of  $B_K$ , the Kuga–Satake correspondence  $\mu: H^2(S_K, \mathbb{Q})_{\mathrm{prim}} \hookrightarrow H^2(\mathrm{KS}(S_K)^2, \mathbb{Q})$  of Conjecture 2.4 is algebraic, being induced by a Hodge class  $[\mu] \in H^2(S_K, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H^2(\mathrm{KS}(S_K)^2, \mathbb{Q})$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* — Let  $A$  be an abelian fourfold of Weil type with discriminant 1. By Theorem 3.4 and Proposition 3.6, there exists a polarized singular OG6-variety  $(K, h)$  whose Kuga–Satake variety is isogenous to  $A^4$ . Let  $S_K$  be the K3 surface associated with  $K$  via Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 4.3; the Kuga–Satake variety  $\mathrm{KS}(S_K)$  of  $S_K$  is isogenous to a power of  $A$ . The Hodge conjecture holds for any power of  $S_K$  by Corollary 4.6, and the Kuga–Satake correspondence of Conjecture 2.4 is algebraic for  $S_K$  by Theorem 4.7. By Theorem 2.5, the Hodge conjecture holds for all powers of the Kuga–Satake variety  $\mathrm{KS}(S_K)$  of  $S_K$ , and, hence, for any power of  $A$ .  $\square$

## 5. Applications

In the final section we will deduce some consequences for algebraic cycles on the hyper-Kähler varieties appearing in this paper. We will work with the category  $\mathrm{Mot}$  of homological motives with rational coefficients over  $\mathbb{C}$ , see [4]. The objects of  $\mathrm{Mot}$  are triples  $(X, p, n)$ , where  $X$  is a smooth and projective variety,  $p$  is an idempotent correspondence induced by an algebraic cohomology class in  $H^{2 \dim X}(X \times X, \mathbb{Q})$ , and  $n$  is an integer. The morphisms between motives  $(X, p, n)$  and  $(Y, q, m)$  are defined as the correspondences  $f$  induced by an algebraic class in  $H^{2 \dim X - 2n + 2m}(X \times Y, \mathbb{Q})$  such that  $f = f \circ p = q \circ f$ . There is a natural functor attaching to a smooth projective variety  $X$

its motive  $h_X := (X, [\Delta_X], 0)$ , where  $\Delta_X \subset X \times X$  is the diagonal. We denote by  $Q(-i)$  the Tate motive  $(\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}, [\Delta], -i)$  of weight  $2i$ . There is also a faithful realization functor  $R: \text{Mot} \rightarrow \text{HS}$  to the category of pure polarizable  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Hodge structures which sends a motive  $(X, p, n)$  to the Hodge structure  $p_*(H^\bullet(X, \mathbb{Q})(n))$ . The Hodge conjecture is the statement that the realization functor is full.

The category  $\text{Mot}$  is a pseudo-abelian rigid symmetric monoidal category. Grothendieck's standard conjectures [29] predict that  $\text{Mot}$  would in fact be a semisimple abelian category, thanks to work of Jannsen [33] and André [3]. Given  $m \in \text{Mot}$ , we let  $\langle m \rangle_{\text{Mot}}$  be the pseudo-abelian tensor subcategory of  $\text{Mot}$  generated by  $m$ ; in other words,  $\langle m \rangle_{\text{Mot}}$  is the subcategory generated from  $m$  by taking direct sums, tensor products, duals, and subquotients.

**Theorem 5.1** ([5, Theorem 4]). — *The standard conjectures hold for a smooth and projective variety  $X$  if and only if  $\langle h(X) \rangle_{\text{Mot}}$  is a semisimple abelian category.*

**Remark 5.2.** — The standard conjectures hold for curves, surfaces and abelian varieties ([35]). They hold for varieties  $X$  and  $Y$  if and only if they hold for  $X \times Y$ .

**Definition 5.3.** — Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be smooth projective varieties. We say that  $X$  is *motivated* by  $Y$  if the motive  $h(X) \in \text{Mot}$  belongs to the subcategory  $\langle h(Y) \rangle_{\text{Mot}}$ .

This notion has the following immediate implications.

**Lemma 5.4.** — *Assume that  $X$  is motivated by  $Y$ . If the standard conjectures hold for  $Y$ , then they hold for  $X$ ; if the Hodge conjecture holds for all powers of  $Y$ , then it holds for  $X$  and all of its powers.*

*Proof.* — These statements are immediate from the definition, using Theorem 5.1 and that the Hodge conjecture for all powers of  $X$  is equivalent to the fullness of the realization functor  $R: \text{Mot} \rightarrow \text{HS}$  when restricted to  $\langle h(X) \rangle_{\text{Mot}}$ .  $\square$

Let us recall some examples.

- Example 5.5.** — (i) Let  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  be a dominant morphism of smooth projective varieties. Then  $X$  is motivated by  $Y$ .
- (ii) Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety and  $Z \subset X$  a smooth closed subvariety. Let  $Y \rightarrow X$  be the blow-up of  $X$  along  $Z$ . Then  $Y$  is motivated by  $X \times Z$ .
- (iii) Projective spaces are motivated by any smooth and projective variety  $X$ . Indeed, an ample divisor on  $X$  yields a split submotive  $Q(-1) \subset h(X)$ . Thus, all Tate motives are contained in  $\langle h(X) \rangle_{\text{Mot}}$ . Since  $h(\mathbb{P}^n)$  is a sum of Tate motives, it belongs to  $\langle h(X) \rangle_{\text{Mot}}$ .

- (iv) Let  $S$  be a projective K3 surface and assume that the Kuga–Satake–Hodge Conjecture 2.2 holds for  $S$ . Then  $S$  is motivated by its Kuga–Satake variety  $\mathrm{KS}(S)$ . Indeed,  $\mathrm{h}(S)$  is the sum of its transcendental part  $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{tr}}(S)$  and Tate motives; by the above, it suffices to show that  $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{tr}}(S) \in \langle \mathrm{h}(\mathrm{KS}(S)) \rangle_{\mathrm{Mot}}$ . By assumption, there exists a morphism  $\phi: \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{tr}}(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{h}(\mathrm{KS}(S)^2)$  in  $\mathrm{Mot}$ , whose realization  $R(\phi): H_{\mathrm{tr}}^2(S, \mathbb{Q}) \hookrightarrow H^2(\mathrm{KS}(S)^2, \mathbb{Q})$  is an embedding of Hodge structures. The standard conjectures hold for  $S \times \mathrm{KS}(S)^2$  (see Remark 5.2); by Theorem 5.1, the subcategory  $\langle S \times \mathrm{KS}(S)^2 \rangle_{\mathrm{Mot}}$  is abelian and semisimple. Hence, there exists motives  $\ker(\phi)$  and  $\mathrm{im}(\phi)$  which are the kernel and the image of  $\phi$  respectively. Since the realization of  $\phi$  is injective, the Hodge realization of  $\ker(\phi)$  is zero; then  $\ker(\phi)$  is the zero motive and  $\phi: \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{tr}}(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{h}(\mathrm{KS}(S)^2)$  is a split inclusion in  $\mathrm{Mot}$ .
- (v) Let  $S$  be a projective K3 surface and let  $M_S(v)$  be a smooth and projective moduli space of stable sheaves on  $S$  with Mukai vector  $v$ . Then Bülles proved in [11] that the variety of  $\mathrm{K3}^{[n]}$ -type  $M_S(v)$  is motivated by the K3 surface  $S$ .

It is generally expected that the motive of a hyper-Kähler variety  $X$  should be controlled by its second cohomology  $H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ . We make this precise through the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 5.6.** — (i) *Let  $X$  be a hyper-Kähler variety and let  $\mathrm{KS}(X)$  be its Kuga–Satake variety. Then  $X$  is motivated by  $\mathrm{KS}(X)$ .*

(ii) *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be deformation equivalent hyper-Kähler varieties. Assume that there exists a Hodge isometry  $H^2(X, \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^2(Y, \mathbb{Q})$ . Then the motives of  $X$  and  $Y$  are isomorphic.*

Recall that the abelian variety  $\mathrm{KS}(X)$  is built using only  $H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ , so that (i) says that this cohomology group controls the whole motive of  $X$  in some way. At the level of Hodge structures, the above conjecture is known: the total Hodge structure  $H^\bullet(X, \mathbb{Q})$  can be embedded in  $H^\bullet(\mathrm{KS}(X)^j, \mathbb{Q})$  for some positive integer  $j$  ([37]), and deformation equivalent hyper-Kähler varieties with Hodge isometric second cohomology have Hodge isomorphic cohomology algebras ([62]).

Conjecture 5.6 may be formulated in various categories of motives; the strongest statement would be in the setting of Chow motives. In the realm of André motives, Conjecture 5.6 (i) has been confirmed for all hyper-Kähler varieties of known deformation type ([61, 23]), while (ii) has been proven for all hyper-Kähler varieties with second Betti number at least 7 in [17] (this assumption is satisfied by all known examples). We will focus here on the intermediate case of homological motives.

Let  $K$  be a projective singular OG6-variety. We have introduced the following smooth and projective varieties related to  $K$ :

- the OG6-resolution  $\tilde{K} \rightarrow K$ ;
- the abelian fourfold  $B_K$  such that  $\text{sing}(K) \cong B_K / \pm 1$ , as in Theorem 3.4;
- the K3<sup>[3]</sup>-variety  $Z_K$  arising as double cover of  $K$  via Theorem 4.1;
- the K3 surface  $S_K$  of Corollary 4.3 such that  $Z_K$  is birational to a moduli space of stable sheaves on  $S_K$ .

Recall that, by Theorem 3.4, the Kuga-Satake variety  $\text{KS}(K)$  is isogenous to  $B_K^4$ . Since we have Hodge isometries (see Remark 4.2 and the proof of Theorem 4.5)

$$H_{\text{tr}}^2(S_K, \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\text{tr}}^2(Z_K, \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\text{tr}}^2(K, \mathbb{Q})(2),$$

the Kuga-Satake varieties  $\text{KS}(Z_K)$  and  $\text{KS}(S_K)$  are isogenous to a power of  $B_K$  as well. The following result establishes Conjecture 5.6.(i) at the level of homological motives for each of the above varieties.

**Theorem 5.7.** — *Let  $K$  be any projective singular OG6-variety. Then the OG6-resolution  $\tilde{K}$ , the Mongardi–Rapagnetta–Saccà double cover  $Z_K$  and the K3 surface  $S_K$  are all motivated by the abelian fourfold  $B_K$ .*

*Proof.* — By Theorem 4.5, the Kuga–Satake Hodge Conjecture 2.2 holds for  $S_K$ . By Example 5.5.(iv), this K3 surface is thus motivated by its Kuga–Satake variety, and, hence,  $S_K$  is motivated by  $B_K$ . By construction,  $Z_K$  is birational to a smooth and projective moduli space  $M_{S_K}(v)$  of stable sheaves on  $S_K$ . By work of Riess [59], the motives of  $Z_K$  and  $M_{S_K}(v)$  are isomorphic. Hence, by [11], the variety  $Z_K$  is motivated by the K3 surface  $S_K$  (see Example 5.5.(v)), and, therefore, by  $B_K$ .

Let us now consider the OG6-resolution  $\tilde{K}$ . The map  $\phi: Z_K \rightarrow K$  of Theorem 4.1 induces a rational map  $\tilde{\phi}: Z_K \dashrightarrow \tilde{K}$  which is resolved as follows. Recall that  $Z_K$  contains a subvariety  $\Delta \cong \text{Bl}_{B_K[2]}(B_K / \pm 1)$ , and let  $\Gamma \subset \Delta$  be the union of the 256 copies of  $\mathbb{P}^3$  arising as exceptional divisor of the blow-up map  $\Delta \rightarrow B_K / \pm 1$ . Consider now the blow-up  $Y := \text{Bl}_{\Gamma}(Z_K)$ . By [42, Remark 4.6], the strict transform  $\Delta' \subset Y$  of  $\Delta$  is again isomorphic to  $\text{Bl}_{B_K[2]}(B_K / \pm 1)$ . Setting  $\hat{Y} := \text{Bl}_{\Delta'}(Y)$ , the rational map  $\tilde{\phi}$  extends to a regular morphism  $\psi: \hat{Y} \rightarrow \tilde{K}$ .

By (i) and (ii) in Example 5.5, the OG6-resolution  $\tilde{K}$  is motivated by  $\hat{Y}$ , which in turn is motivated by  $Z_K \times \Gamma \times \Delta'$ . Hence, it will be sufficient to show that each of  $Z_K$ ,  $\Gamma$  and  $\Delta$  is motivated by  $B_K$ . This is clear for  $\Gamma$  which is a union of projective spaces, and was proven above for  $Z_K$ . Finally,  $\Delta' \cong \text{Bl}_{B_K}(B_K / \pm 1)$  is motivated by  $B_K$  as well, by Example 5.5.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.8.** — *Let  $K$  be a projective singular OG6-variety, with associated varieties  $B_K$ ,  $\tilde{K}$ ,  $Z_K$  and  $S_K$  as above. The Hodge conjecture holds for any variety  $X$  isomorphic to a product of powers of  $B_K$ ,  $\tilde{K}$ ,  $Z_K$  and  $S_K$ .*

*Proof.* — By Theorem 5.7, the homological motive of  $X$  belongs to  $\langle h(B_K) \rangle_{\text{Mot}}$ . By Theorem 1.2, the Hodge conjecture holds for any power of  $B_K$ , and, hence, for  $X$ .  $\square$

**Remark 5.9.** — If  $K$  and  $K'$  are projective singular OG6-varieties such that there exists a Hodge similarity between  $H_{\text{tr}}^2(K, \mathbb{Q})$  and  $H_{\text{tr}}^2(K', \mathbb{Q})$ , then  $B_K$  and  $B_{K'}$  are isogenous (see Remark 2.1), and in particular there is an isomorphism  $h(B_K) \cong h(B_{K'})$  of homological motives. We can then conclude that the Hodge conjecture holds for any variety  $X$  isomorphic to a product of powers of  $B_K$ ,  $\tilde{K}$ ,  $Z_K$ ,  $S_K$  and of  $B_{K'}$ ,  $\tilde{K}'$ ,  $Z_{K'}$ ,  $S_{K'}$ .

A priori, there may exist non-isomorphic crepant resolutions of a singular OG6-variety, but any two such resolutions are birational varieties of OG6-type and have isomorphic motive by [59]. As special cases of the above corollary, any crepant resolution  $\tilde{K} \rightarrow K$  of a singular OG6-variety satisfies the Kuga–Satake–Hodge conjecture, and the Hodge conjecture holds for all powers of  $\tilde{K}$ . This proves Theorem 1.4 from the introduction.

As a consequence of the above theorem we can show that Conjecture 5.6.(ii) holds for the homological motives of OG6-resolutions.

**Corollary 5.10.** — *Let  $\tilde{K}$  and  $\tilde{K}'$  be projective OG6-resolutions, and assume that there exists a Hodge isometry  $H^2(K, \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^2(K', \mathbb{Q})$ . Then the homological motives of  $K$  and  $K'$  are isomorphic.*

*Proof.* — By [62] (see also [17]), there exists an isomorphism of total Hodge structures  $f: H^\bullet(\tilde{K}, \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^\bullet(\tilde{K}', \mathbb{Q})$  (which in fact is an isomorphism of graded algebras). Then  $f$  is induced by a Hodge class in  $H^{12}(\tilde{K} \times \tilde{K}', \mathbb{Q})$ . By Corollary 5.8 and Remark 5.9, the Hodge conjecture holds for  $\tilde{K} \times \tilde{K}'$  and therefore  $f$  and its inverse are induced by algebraic correspondences; thus,  $f$  is the realization of an isomorphism  $f: h(\tilde{K}) \xrightarrow{\sim} h(\tilde{K}')$  of homological motives.  $\square$

Let  $L \subset \mathbb{C}$  be a subfield which is finitely generated over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and let  $X$  be a smooth and projective variety over  $L$ . We refer to [45, 2.1] for the statement of the Mumford–Tate conjecture. If this conjecture holds for  $X$ , then the strong Tate conjecture for  $X$  and its powers is equivalent to the Hodge conjecture for  $X_{\mathbb{C}}$  and its powers. In this setting, we obtain the following result, a special case of which is Theorem 1.5 from the introduction.

**Corollary 5.11.** — *Let the notation be as in Corollary 5.8. Assume that  $B_K$ ,  $\tilde{K}$ ,  $Z_K$ , and  $S_K$  are all defined over a subfield  $L \subset \mathbb{C}$  which is finitely generated over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then, for any prime  $\ell$ , the strong Tate conjecture holds for any variety  $X$  over  $L$  isomorphic to a product of powers of  $B_K$ ,  $\tilde{K}$ ,  $Z_K$  and  $S_K$ .*

*Proof.* — By Corollary 5.8, the Hodge conjecture holds for  $X_{\mathbb{C}}$  and all of its powers. It will thus be sufficient to prove that the Mumford–Tate conjecture holds for  $X$ . By a theorem of Commelin [14, Theorem 10.3] it is enough to show that the Mumford–Tate conjecture holds for each of the varieties  $B_K$ ,  $\tilde{K}$ ,  $Z_K$  and  $S_K$ , since we know from Theorem 5.7 that these varieties all have abelian motive. The Mumford–Tate conjecture holds for  $S_K$ , as it holds for any K3 surface by [63, 2]. It holds for  $\tilde{K}$  and  $Z_K$ , because it has been proven for any hyper-Kähler variety of known deformation type in [18, 61, 23]. As for  $B_K$ , while the Mumford–Tate conjecture is still open for abelian varieties of dimension 4, it holds for any abelian fourfold of Weil type. Indeed, following [44, 2.4.7], the conjecture holds for abelian varieties of dimension  $\leq 3$  (and products of them, by [14, Theorem 1.2]) and for simple abelian fourfolds  $A$  with  $\text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A) \neq \mathbb{Q}$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] N. ADDINGTON – “On two rationality conjectures for cubic fourfolds”, *Math. Res. Lett.* **23** (2016), no. 1, p. 1–13.
- [2] Y. ANDRÉ – “On the Shafarevich and Tate conjectures for hyper-kähler varieties”, *Mathematische Annalen* **305** (1996), no. 1, p. 205–248.
- [3] ———, “Pour une théorie inconditionnelle des motifs”, *Publications Mathématiques de l’IHÉS* **83** (1996), no. 1, p. 5–49.
- [4] ———, *Une introduction aux motifs (motifs purs, motifs mixtes, périodes)*, Panoramas et Synthèses, no. 17, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2004.
- [5] D. ARAPURA – “Motivation for Hodge cycles”, *Adv. Math.* **207** (2006), no. 2, p. 762–781.
- [6] B. BAKKER & C. LEHN – “A global Torelli theorem for singular symplectic varieties”, *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* **23** (2021), no. 3, p. 949–994.
- [7] A. BAYER & E. MACRÌ – “Projectivity and birational geometry of Bridgeland moduli spaces”, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **27** (2014), no. 3, p. 707–752.
- [8] A. BEAUVILLE – “Variétés Kählériennes dont la première classe de Chern est nulle”, *J. Differential Geom.* **18** (1983), no. 4, p. 755–782.
- [9] V. BERTINI & F. GIOVENZANA – “Hodge structure of O’Grady’s singular moduli spaces”, *Annali Scuola Normale Superiore - Classe di Scienze* **25** (2024), no. 4, p. 2331–2368.
- [10] T. BRIDGELAND – “Stability conditions on K3 surfaces”, *Duke Math. J.* **141** (2008), no. 2, p. 241–291.
- [11] T.-H. BÜLLES – “Motives of moduli spaces on K3 surfaces and of special cubic fourfolds”, *Manuscripta Math.* **161** (2020), p. 109–124.
- [12] F. CHARLES & E. MARKMAN – “The standard conjectures for holomorphic symplectic varieties deformation equivalent to Hilbert schemes of K3 surfaces”, *Compos. Math.* **149** (2013), no. 3, p. 481–494.

- [13] F. CHARLES – “Two results on the Hodge structure of complex tori”, *Math. Z.* **300** (2022), p. 3623–3643.
- [14] J. COMMELIN – “The Mumford–Tate conjecture for products of abelian varieties”, *Algebr. Geom.* **6** (2019), no. 6, p. 650–677.
- [15] P. DELIGNE – “La conjecture de Weil pour les surfaces K3”, *Invent. Math.* **15** (1971), no. 3, p. 206–226.
- [16] H. FLENNER & S. KOSAREW – “On locally trivial deformations”, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **23** (1987), no. 4, p. 627–665.
- [17] S. FLOCCARI – “Galois representations on the cohomology of hyper-Kähler varieties”, *Math. Z.* **301** (2022), p. 893–916.
- [18] ———, “On the Mumford-Tate conjecture for hyper-Kähler varieties”, *Manuscripta Math.* **168** (2022), p. 893–916.
- [19] ———, “The Hodge and Tate conjectures for hyper-Kähler sixfolds of generalized Kummer type”, *arXiv preprint: 2308.02267* (2023).
- [20] ———, “On the motive of O’Grady’s six dimensional hyper-Kähler varieties”, *Épjournal de Géométrie Algébrique* **7** (2023), no. 4.
- [21] ———, “Sixfolds of generalized Kummer type and K3 surfaces”, *Compositio Mathematica* **160** (2024), no. 2, p. 388,410.
- [22] ———, “K3 surfaces associated to varieties of generalized Kummer type”, *arXiv preprint: 2501.02315* (2025).
- [23] S. FLOCCARI, L. FU & Z. ZHANG – “On the motive of O’Grady’s ten-dimensional hyper-Kähler varieties”, *Communications in Contemporary Mathematics* **23** (2021), no. 4.
- [24] S. FLOCCARI & M. VARESCO – “Algebraic cycles on hyper-Kähler varieties of generalized Kummer type”, *Mathematische Annalen* **391** (2025), p. 4443–4453.
- [25] A. FUJIKI – “On Primitively Symplectic Compact Kähler V-Manifolds of Dimension Four”, in *Classification of algebraic and analytic manifolds* (K. Ueno, ed.), Progr. Math., vol. 39, 1983, p. 71–250.
- [26] B. VAN GEEMEN – “Theta functions and cycles on some abelian fourfolds”, *Math. Z.* **221** (1996), no. 4, p. 617–631.
- [27] ———, “Kuga-Satake Varieties and the Hodge Conjecture”, in *The Arithmetic and Geometry of Algebraic Cycles* (B. B. Gordon, J. D. Lewis, S. Müller-Stach, S. Saito & N. Yui, eds.), Springer Netherlands, 2000, p. 51–82.
- [28] B. VAN GEEMEN & C. VOISIN – “On a Conjecture of Matsushita”, *International Mathematics Research Notices* **2016** (2015), no. 10, p. 3111–3123.
- [29] A. GROTHENDIECK – “Standard conjectures on algebraic cycles”, in *Algebraic geometry. Int. Colloq., Tata Institute, Bombay 1968*, Oxford Univ. Press, London, 1969, p. 193–199.
- [30] D. HUYBRECHTS – “Compact hyper-Kähler manifolds: basic results”, *Invent. Math.* **135** (1999), no. 1, p. 63–113.
- [31] ———, *Lectures on K3 surfaces*, vol. 158, Cambridge University Press, 2016.
- [32] D. HUYBRECHTS & M. LEHN – *The Geometry of Moduli Spaces of Sheaves*, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., Cambridge University Press, 2010.
- [33] U. JANNSEN – “Motives, numerical equivalence, and semi-simplicity”, *Invent. Math.* **107** (1992), no. 3, p. 447–452.
- [34] D. KALEDIN, M. LEHN & C. SORGER – “Singular symplectic moduli spaces”, *Invent. Math.* **164** (2006), no. 3, p. 591–614.
- [35] S. KLEIMAN – “Algebraic cycles and the Weil conjectures”, in *Dix exposés sur la cohomologie des schémas*, North-Holland, 1968, p. 359–386.

- [36] M. KUGA & I. SATAKE – “Abelian Varieties Attached to Polarized K3-Surfaces”, *Mathematische Annalen* **169** (1967), p. 239–242.
- [37] N. KURNOSOV, A. SOLDATENKOV & M. VERBITSKY – “Kuga-Satake construction and cohomology of hyperkähler manifolds”, *Adv. Math.* **351** (2019), p. 275–295.
- [38] M. LEHN & C. SORGER – “La singularité de O’Grady”, *J. Algebraic Geom.* **15** (2006), p. 753–770.
- [39] G. LOMBARDO – “Abelian varieties of Weil type and Kuga-Satake varieties”, *Tohoku Math. J.* **53** (2001), no. 3, p. 453–466.
- [40] E. MARKMAN – “The monodromy of generalized Kummer varieties and algebraic cycles on their intermediate Jacobians”, *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* **25** (2022), no. 1, p. 231–321.
- [41] ———, “Cycles on abelian 2n-folds of weil type from secant sheaves on abelian n-folds”, *arXiv preprint: 2502.03415* (2025).
- [42] G. MONGARDI, A. RAPAGNETTA & G. SACCÀ – “The Hodge diamond of O’Grady’s six-dimensional example”, *Compositio Math.* **154** (2018), no. 5, p. 984–1013.
- [43] G. MONGARDI & M. WANDEL – “Automorphisms of O’Grady’s manifolds acting trivially on cohomology”, *Algebraic Geometry* **4** (2017), no. 1, p. 104–119.
- [44] B. MOONEN – “Families of Motives and the Mumford-Tate Conjecture”, *Milan J. of Math.* **85** (2017), no. 2, p. 257–307.
- [45] ———, “On the Tate and Mumford–Tate conjectures in codimension 1 for varieties with  $h^{2,0} = 1$ ”, *Duke Math J.* **166** (2017), no. 4, p. 739–799.
- [46] B. MOONEN & Y. ZARHIN – “Hodge classes and Tate classes on simple abelian fourfolds”, *Duke Mathematical Journal* **77** (1995), no. 3, p. 553 – 581.
- [47] ———, “Hodge classes on abelian varieties of low dimension”, *Mathematische Annalen* **315** (1999), p. 711–733.
- [48] D. MORRISON – “On K3 surfaces with large Picard number”, *Invent. Math.* **75** (1984), p. 105–122.
- [49] ———, “The Kuga-Satake variety of an abelian surface”, *Journal of Algebra* **92** (1985), no. 2, p. 454–476.
- [50] S. MUKAI – “Symplectic structure of the moduli space of sheaves on an abelian or K3 surface”, *Invent. Math.* **77** (1984), no. 1, p. 101–116.
- [51] ———, “On the moduli space of bundles on K3 surfaces. I”, in *Vector bundles on algebraic varieties (Bombay, 1984)*, *Tata Inst.*, vol. 11, Fund. Res. Stud. Math, 1987, p. 341–413.
- [52] K. G. O’GRADY – “The weight-two Hodge structure of moduli spaces of sheaves on a K3 surface”, *J. Algebraic Geom.* **6** (1997), no. 4, p. 599–644.
- [53] ———, “Desingularized moduli spaces of sheaves on a K3”, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **512** (1999), p. 49–117.
- [54] ———, “A new six-dimensional irreducible symplectic variety”, *J. Algebraic Geom.* **12** (2003), no. 3, p. 435–505.
- [55] ———, “Compact Tori Associated to Hyperkähler Manifolds of Kummer Type”, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2021** (2019), no. 16, p. 12356–12419.
- [56] K. PARANJAPÉ – “Abelian varieties associated to certain K3 surfaces”, *Compositio Math.* **68** (1988), no. 1, p. 11–22.
- [57] A. PEREGO & A. RAPAGNETTA – “Deformation of the O’Grady moduli spaces”, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **678** (2013), p. 1–34.
- [58] A. RAPAGNETTA – “Topological invariants of O’Grady’s six dimensional irreducible symplectic variety”, *Math. Z.* **256** (2007), no. 1, p. 1–34.
- [59] U. RIESS – “On the Chow ring of birational irreducible symplectic varieties”, *Manuscripta Math.* **145** (2014), no. 3-4, p. 473–501.

- [60] C. SCHOEN – “Hodge classes on self-products of a variety with an automorphism”, *Compositio Mathematica* **65** (1988), no. 1, p. 3–32.
- [61] A. SOLDATENKOV – “Deformation principle and André motives of projective hyper-Kähler manifolds”, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2022** (2021), no. 21, p. 16814–16843.
- [62] ———, “On the Hodge structure of compact hyper-Kähler manifolds”, *Math. Res. Letters* **28** (2021), no. 2, p. 623–635.
- [63] S. G. TANKEEV – “K3 surfaces over number fields and the Mumford–Tate conjecture”, *Math. USSR-Izv.* **37** (1991), no. 1, p. 191–208.
- [64] M. VARESCO – “Hodge similarities, algebraic classes, and Kuga-Satake varieties”, *Math. Z.* **305** (2023), no. 69.
- [65] ———, “The Hodge Conjecture for Powers of K3 Surfaces of Picard Number 16”, *Michigan Mathematical Journal* (2024), p. 1–32, Advance Publication.
- [66] C. VOISIN – “Footnotes to papers of O’Grady and Markman”, *Math. Z.* **300** (2022), p. 3405–3416.
- [67] A. WEIL – “Abelian varieties and the Hodge ring”, in *Collected papers*, vol. 3, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979, p. 421–429.
- [68] K. YOSHIOKA – “Moduli spaces of stable sheaves on abelian surfaces”, *Math. Ann.* **321** (2001), no. 4, p. 817–884.

---

SALVATORE FLOCCARI, Fakultät für Mathematik, Universität Bielefeld, Germany

*E-mail* : sfloccari@math.uni-bielefeld.de

LIE FU, IRMA, Université de Strasbourg, France • *E-mail* : lie.fu@math.unistra.fr