

### A Proposition of Kedlaya

Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field of char.  $p > 0$ . Let  $W = W(k)$  be the Witt ring and  $\sigma = \sigma_1^a$ ,  $a > 0$  be a power of the Frobenius. We will denote by  $\bar{W}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  an algebraic closure of  $W \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ . We extend  $\sigma$  in an arbitrary way to an automorphism of  $\bar{W}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . Let  $f = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n t^n \in \bar{\mathcal{L}}$ , where  $a_n \in \bar{W}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  be a Laurent series. If  $a_n \in W_{\mathbb{Q}}$  we will write  $f \in \mathcal{L}$ .

We consider the open unit disc

$$D = \{x \in \bar{W} \mid |x| < 1\}$$

If  $y \in D$  and  $f$  converges for  $|t| = |y|$  then we have  $f(y) \in \bar{W}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

We define

$$f^\sigma = \sum \sigma(a_n) t^{nq}.$$

Consider the map

$$\tau : D \rightarrow D, \quad \tau(x) = \sigma^{-1}(x^q).$$

We note that  $|\tau(x)| = |x|^q$

Let  $x \in D$ . Then we have

$$f^\sigma(x) = \sigma(f(\tau(x))), \tag{1}$$

whenever one side of this equation makes sense.

**Remark:** This suggest the following fact which is easily verified: Let  $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ , such that  $0 < \delta < 1$ .

If  $f^\sigma$  converges for  $\delta < |t| < 1$ , iff  $f$  converges for  $\delta^q < |t| < 1$ .

We will also use this in the form. If  $f^\sigma$  converges for  $u > \text{ord } t > 0$ , iff  $f$  converges for  $qu > \text{ord } t > 0$ .

**Proposition 1** *Let  $a \in \Gamma^c$ ,  $a \neq 0$ . We assume that  $f = a^\sigma/a$  is a rational function in  $t$ .*

*Then  $a$  is the product of a rational function and a unit in  $W[[t]]$ .*

**Proof:** We know that  $\Gamma^c[1/p]$  is a field. Then  $f \in \Gamma^c[1/p]$  and our assumption says that  $f$  is in the subring  $W_{\mathbb{Q}}((t))$  of  $\Gamma^c[1/p]$ . We find primitive polynomials  $g, h \in W[t]$  (i.e. the greatest common divisor of the coefficients is 1) such that

$$f = g/h,$$

and  $g$  and  $h$  have no common divisor.

We prove the Proposition by induction on  $\deg g + \deg h$ . If this is zero we have  $a^\sigma = ca$  for some  $c \in W_{\mathbb{Q}}$ ,  $c \neq 0$ . By the remark above this implies that  $a^\sigma$  and  $a$  converges for  $0 < |t| < 1$ . But we may apply the same remark to

the equation  $(a^{-1})^\sigma = c^{-1}a^{-1}$  and conclude that  $a^{-1}$  converges in the same range. But then Lemma 5.1 of [Kedl] proves the result.

We assume now that  $\deg g + \deg h = d$  and that the Proposition holds for numbers smaller than  $d$ .

We may assume that  $g$  and  $h$  have no zeros outside the open unit disc. Indeed in the opposite case we find a nonconstant primitive polynomial  $u \in W[t]$  which has only roots outside the open unit disc and which divides  $g$  or  $h$ . Let  $c_0$  be the constant coefficient of  $u$ . If  $\text{ord } c_0 > 0$  then the Newton polygon of  $u$  would have a negative slope which would imply a zero in  $D$ . Therefore  $c_0 \in W$  is a unit. We see that  $u$  is a unit in  $W[[t]]$ . But then by [MZ] Lemma 31 we may write  $u = b^\sigma/b$  for some unit  $b \in W[[t]]$ . Hence in the equation

$$a^\sigma/a = g/h \tag{2}$$

we may put the factor  $u$  from the right hand side to the left hand side, and apply the induction assumption.

We will call two elements of  $\bar{W}$  equivalent if they differ by a  $q$ -th root of unity.

Let  $S_1, \dots, S_n$  the equivalence classes of roots of  $h$ . We write

$$S_i = \{r_{i1}, \dots, r_{iq}\}$$

We denote by  $m_{ij}$  the multiplicity of  $r_{ij}$  as a root of  $h$ . Let  $m_i$  the maximum of the  $m_{ij}$  for fixed  $i$ . We have  $m_i > 0$  and we may assume that  $m_i = m_{i1}$ .

Let  $e$  be the polynomial with the roots  $\tau(S_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  where every root appears exactly with multiplicity  $m_i$ . Then the roots of  $e^\sigma$  are  $S_1 \cup S_2 \cup \dots \cup S_n$ , where each root appears with multiplicity  $m_i$ . We note that an element  $\rho \in \text{Gal}(\bar{W}/W)$  permutes the sets  $S_i$ . Moreover if  $\rho(S_i) = S_k$  then the multiplicities  $m_{ij}$  and  $m_{kj}$  for  $j = 1, \dots, q$  are up to permutation the same. In particular we have  $m_i = m_j$ . This implies that  $e^\sigma \in W[t]$  and therefore  $e \in W[t]$  is also true.

Therefore  $e^\sigma$  is a multiple of the polynomial  $h$ . We obtain the equation

$$(ae)^\sigma = ag(e^\sigma/h). \tag{3}$$

Let  $\delta \geq 0$  be the smallest number such that  $a$  and  $a^{-1}$  converge for  $\delta < |t| < 1$ . We note that by (3) the Laurent series  $(ae)^\sigma$  converges in the same range. Hence the remark before the Proposition shows that  $ae$  converges for  $\delta^q < |t| < 1$ .

The Proposition will follow if we prove:

**Lemma 2** *The polynomial  $e$  has no roots  $s$  with  $\delta^q < |s| < 1$ .*

We begin to show how the lemma implies the Proposition. By the Lemma  $e$  is a unit in the ring of Laurent series converging for  $\delta^q < |s| < 1$  and therefore  $a$  converges in this domain because  $ae$  does. On the other hand we have the equation

$$\frac{(a^{-1})^\sigma}{a^{-1}} = \frac{h}{g}$$

If we apply the same considerations as to the equation (2) we see that also  $a^{-1}$  converges in the range  $\delta^q < |t| < 1$ . By the choice of  $\delta$  after (3) this is only possible if  $\delta = 0$ . But then Proposition 5.1 [K] shows that  $a$  is of the form  $ct^n u$  with  $c \in W_{\mathbb{Q}}$  and  $u \in W[[t]]$  a unit. This proves the Proposition.

We prove now the Lemma. Let us assume the existence of a zero  $s$  of  $e$  such that

$$\delta^q < |s| < 1.$$

We may assume that  $s = \tau(S_1)$  and in particular  $s = \tau(r_{11})$ . Since  $r_{11}$  is a zero of  $h$  it is not a zero of  $g$ .

Since  $|r_{11}|^q = |s|$  we have  $\delta < |r_{11}| < 1$ . Therefore  $(ae)^\sigma$  converges in  $r_{11}$  so that the evaluation  $(ae)^\sigma(r_{11})$  makes sense. Note that by our choice of  $\delta$  the Laurent series  $a$  has no zero in  $r_{11}$ . It follows immediately from (3) that  $r_{11}$  is not a zero of  $(ae)^\sigma$ . By (1) we find

$$(ae)^\sigma(r_{11}) = \sigma(ae(\tau(r_{11}))).$$

Therefore  $ae$  doesn't vanish in  $s = \tau(r_{11})$ . Since  $\tau(r_{1j}) = s$  for  $j = 1, \dots, q$  there is no zero of  $(ae)^\sigma$  among

$$r_{11}, r_{12}, \dots, r_{1q}. \tag{4}$$

These elements are neither zeros of  $a$  and  $g$  as we already remarked. It follows from (3) that these are also not zeros of  $(e^\sigma/h)$ . We see that the order of zero of  $e^\sigma$  and  $h$  at the elements (4) is the same, namely  $m_1$ .

Let  $e_1 \in W[t]$  be the polynomial of minimal degree divisible by  $(t - s)^{m_1}$ . Then  $e_1$  divides  $e$ . Moreover  $e_1^\sigma$  divides  $h$ . (Note that also all conjugates of the elements  $r_{1j}$  appear with multiplicity  $m_1$  as zeros of  $h$ ) We write:

$$\frac{ge_1^\sigma}{he_1} = \frac{ae_1^\sigma}{ae_1}.$$

If we reduce the fraction on the left hand side by dividing numerator and denominator by  $e_1^\sigma$  we see that the sum of the degree of the numerator and denominator is less than  $\deg g + \deg h$ . Therefore we are done by induction. *Q.E.D.*